

Issues Summary

Many environmental “charity” and deductible gift recipient organisations are undertaking activities that are well outside the scope of the definition of “advocacy” and many of these activities are contrary to community expectations of the behaviour of charitable or organisations eligible for tax deductible donations.

Charities are expected to help poor or vulnerable people, not to further foreign commercial or political interests by undertaking or promoting illegal activities.

Most of these groups are only championing the environmental cause as a veneer for other agendas. These groups represent a “marriage of convenience” between anti-capitalist and crony-capitalist interests. The anti-capitalists are targeting fossil fuels because they realise the extremely strong linkage between our economic vitality and cheap, accessible and reliable energy resources. The ultimate financial backers of most of these groups, however, are the crony capitalists, who want to further their commercial interests by creating a political and social environment that is conducive to providing economic rents on their massive and potential trillion-dollar investments in so-called “renewable” energy sources.

In some cases, such as the recent allegations about the Sea Change Foundation, the crony capitalists are funding activists in order to further their existing interests in mining and gas producers that are located in countries with which we compete for market share. The activist organisations have limited or no presence in these competitor countries.

The definition of advocacy is provided below:

advocacy

noun

1. **public support for or recommendation of a particular cause or policy.**

"his outspoken advocacy of the agreement has won no friends"

synonyms: support for, argument for, arguing for, calling for, pushing for, pressing for; defence, espousal, espousing, approval, approving, endorsement, endorsing, recommendation, recommending, advising in favour, backing, supporting, favouring, promotion, promoting, championship, championing, sanctioning, acceptance; informal boosterism

"he incurred opprobrium for his advocacy of contraception"

The definition of advocacy is almost entirely passive and does not involve protest activities. The synonyms of “advocacy” are almost entirely positively framed, and do not include destructive, dangerous or harmful activities.

Taxpayer Support of Illegal Activities

These groups fund, support or participate in a range of unacceptable and unconscionable protest activities, often placing themselves at risk, but worse than this, placing the lives of mine-workers and

emergency services staff at risk also. Examples of such actions include illegal activities such as trespass and property damage, as well as illegal commercial activities such as business interruption, secondary boycotts, and sharemarket manipulation. The worst activities involve dangerous actions, putting lives of others at risk, such as when open cut mine explosives were tampered with and compromised at Maules Creek. I also have knowledge of activist groups placing booby-traps around mine sites with the intention to cause personal injury and vehicle damage.

Furthermore, persons believed to be associated with local activist groups have engaged in a campaign of phone threats, including threats of arson and malicious damage and death threats against a company who was advertising on behalf of a coal mining project. The series of threats lead to that particular company withdrawing the advertising. Bullying and intimidation is common amongst this set of people.

It is unacceptable that these groups and their supporters encourage, support, promote, or endorse such unethical, immoral, illegal or unlawful activity.

It is also unacceptable that these organisations receive millions of dollars (and possibly far more) from undisclosed offshore interests, in arrangements that have money-laundering-like characteristics in order to obscure the true motives of the funders.

If mining companies promoted similar types of activities against activist groups, their boards and management would (rightly) be prosecuted by authorities for breaking the law.

I would like to share with you some images of the types of protest these organisations engage in.

Activists on train tracks – illegal, and dangerous:





Activists on a train – illegal, and dangerous:





Activist hanging upside down off mine infrastructure – illegal and dangerous, particularly to rescuers:




Activist dangles off ship's ropes – dangerous and illegal:



Activist dangles off bucket wheel reclaimer counterweight – really stupid and illegal:



Activist chains self to conveyor belt – note a conveyor such as this would easily dismember a person if they became entangled. Very dangerous and illegal:



Activist uses pipe mechanism to lock self to machinery:



Activist locks neck to gate:



Sabbotaged explosives at Maules Creek – reckless, illegal and immoral (and should be criminal) – mine employees pictured:



<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/mining-energy/mining-industry-calls-whitehaven-coal-protest-reckless-and-dangerous/news-story/26df12ae49b75e970ed8696f79d9d865>

Opaque Funding Arrangements

It is not possible under current regulatory requirements to determine the source of funding for these groups. They operate a network of funding arrangements akin to a money-laundering operation which acts to hide and obscure the source of fund, and give the appearance of spontaneous, grass-roots opposition to projects.

Auspicing is rife within these organisations. Auspicing is defined as:

An arrangement where one organisation agrees to receive project funds on behalf of another group running the project.

This practice is revealed in the flow chart from the strategic plan “Stopping the Coal Export Boom”, where funding flows occur between donor and recipient organisations as well as between recipient organisations. This practice has the hallmarks of money-laundering operations, and makes it very difficult to ascertain the source of funds and the interests behind the funding.

It could very well be that some of these arrangements are actual money-laundering activities, given the lack of reporting obligations that facilitates unknown and untaxed cash flows.

Case Study – Market Forces, IEEFA and The Australia Institute:

Some of the linkages between the three activist organisations, Market Forces, IEEFA, and The Australia Institute, and their offshore funders are provided below:

- Market Forces and 350.org have jointly commissioned paid reports from The Australia Institute on a number of anti-fossil-fuel topics creating a stream of income for The Australia Institute. The Australia Institute claims to be an “Independent” think tank.
- Market Forces is an affiliate of Friends of the Earth Australia.
- Friends of the Earth Australia receives funding from the Wallace Global Fund. 350.org is also a major beneficiary of the Wallace Global Fund. <http://wgf.org/grants/grantee-database-2/>
- The head of investment at the Wallace Global Fund is Matt Gelfand. Matt is a Managing Director of Rockefeller & Co. <http://wgf.org/mission-investing/>
- The Wallace Global Fund has also made donations to other US-based organisations such as Tides. Tides is described as a clearing house for major US (and apparently Russian) donors and takes a pass-through cut of 9% of donor funds. The money flows to-and-from Tides and other donor and recipient organisations and between various Tides entities are impossible to understand, and completely non-transparent. (see attachment 3)
- Tides has also funded Market Forces to the tune of several hundred thousand dollars in recent years. (See attachment 2)
- Leaked emails tie organisations like Market Forces back to the US Democrats political party. (see attachment 1)
- Tides also receives funds from the Rockefeller Family Fund and the Rockefeller Brothers Fund. These funds are presumably passed on to Australian recipients including Market Forces and Friends of the Earth Australia. (See attachment 3)
- The Rockefeller Family Fund financed the leaked strategic plan “Stopping the Australian Coal Export Boom”. One of the co-authors of that document facilitated the largest ever single

Australian political donation to the Australian Greens.

http://www.abc.net.au/mediawatch/transcripts/1206_greenpeace.pdf

- The Growald Family Fund is a donor of Market Forces (this information is now deleted from the Growald Fund website but can be found via the Internet Archive here <https://web.archive.org/web/20141120054040/http://growaldfamilyfund.org:80/grantees.html>)
- The co-founder of the Growald Family Fund is Eileen Rockefeller Growald. The other co-founder is Paul Growald (Eileen's husband). Paul was a long-time trustee of the Rockefeller Family Fund, the David Rockefeller Fund, and co-chaired his wife's family's generational association. <https://growaldfamilyfund.org/about-us/>
- The Growald Family Fund is also a donor of the Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis. <https://growaldfamilyfund.org/our-grantees.html>
- The focus of the IEEFA is almost entirely anti-coal, and pro-renewables. The President of the IEEFA is Larry Shapiro. Larry is an associate director for program development at the Rockefeller Family Fund (RFF). <http://ieefa.org/bios/>
- Market Forces and the IEEFA work hand-in-glove with the Australia Institute on many projects.
- The Rockefellers are now heavily invested in renewable energy: *"John D Rockefeller, the founder of Standard Oil, moved America out of whale oil and into petroleum," Stephen Heintz, president of the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, said in a statement. "We are quite convinced that if he were alive today, as an astute businessman looking out to the future, he would be moving out of fossil fuels and investing in clean, renewable energy."* <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2014/sep/22/rockefeller-heirs-divest-fossil-fuels-climate-change>

What you can see above is just a very small sample of the close inter-linkages of these activist groups, with some clues pointing back to the point source of the funds, and the political and commercial imperatives for the funding of these green activist groups ("follow-the-money").

Interestingly, groups like Market Forces who have received funding from Tides might have been funded by Russian, as well as US interests. It has recently come to light that tens of millions of dollars have been funnelled through an organisation called the "Sea Change Foundation" from Russian interests specifically to oppose competing fossil fuel developments. This has also been tied to actual money laundering operations via the Bermuda-based company that handled the funds.

https://www.biggreenradicals.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/Klein_Report.pdf

Should groups like The Australia Institute be eligible as charities?

The Australia Institute is registered as a charity with the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission. The Commission's website states that:

Some purposes cannot be charitable

Some purposes are deliberately disqualified from being charitable, such as the purposes of:

- engaging in or promoting activities that are unlawful or against public policy, or
- promoting or opposing a political party or a candidate for political office.

One only needs to look at the facebook page of The Australia Institute to find multiple reasons that it should be disqualified as a charity. For example, it *promotes* activities that are unlawful, for example by “liking” the page of “lock the gate” – an organisation that routinely undertakes illegal protest activities, and other pages such as those of individual activists who undertake illegal and dangerous protest activities.

The Australia Institute also promotes the website of “no new coal mines” - which is an initiative of the Australia Institute. This group lists as partners Greenpeace (which routinely undertakes illegal protests – readily searchable on google). The charitable status of Greenpeace, incidentally, should have been revoked a long time ago on this basis. The Australia Institute’s #nonewcoalmines twitter hashtag has been used in conjunction with many unlawful protests including secondary boycotts of banks and illegal protests outside branches and at events. This is clearly the promotion of activities that are unlawful.



The so-called “independent” think tank is also highly aligned with the Greens and promotes the Greens political platform and policy agenda almost exclusively. Most of the staff of the Australia Institute are former Greens staffers or in other ways aligned with the Greens – for example, former political candidates, or involved in the Greens organisational structure. Further information can be provided upon request about the extensive linkages between the Australia Institute and the Greens.

The charitable status of the Australia Institute clearly should be investigated and revoked, as this organisation is a sham charity and a front for a political party.

Overseas Experience

The Canadian experience mirrors the current campaign in Australia. Vivian Krause explained the commercial motivation for the funding of these groups in her 2014 article for the Alberta Oil Magazine:

So, what’s the motivation of the funders? One of the few documents that provide insights into the funding of the climate movement is a strategy paper, Design to

Win: Philanthropy's Role in the Fight Against Global Warming. *According to Design to Win, the overarching goal of voter and consumer education campaigns is to create a policy context for a massive shift in investment capital and a billion-dollar market for renewable energy.*

<https://www.albertaoilmagazine.com/2014/07/vivian-krause-great-green-trade-barrier/>

A recent article in the Australian details allegations of foreign interference by environmental groups in Canadian elections:

“Allegations in Canada suggest so-called third parties influenced its 2015 election campaign, with MPs pushing for an inquiry into claims the Tides Foundation sank \$700,000 into eight politically -active groups that may have used the funds on election advertising.”

<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/business/mining-energy/green-activists-intent-on-killing-coal-accept-funding-from-us-foundation/news-story/0931ba74250825611827c3294c3a8c00>

Conclusion

The world's richest people are being given tax breaks by hard-working, honest Australian taxpayers for activities that are promoting their foreign commercial and political interests and damaging the Australian economy.

These foreign interests go to great lengths to hide the sources of their funding, and engage in money-laundering-like activities to do so, using intermediaries and subsidiaries to hide the true source of funding.

There is a chance that these environmental groups are assisting in actual money-laundering activities, or perhaps accepting dirty money to advance foreign commercial interests. The process of auspicing and the secretive funding arrangements of these groups make this a very real possibility. Most of the groups engage in negligible amounts of legitimate environmental advocacy.

The groups themselves or their supporters often promote or engage in illegal activities, such as property damage, trespass, sharemarket manipulation and secondary boycotts.

Taxpayers should not be in the business of supporting these activities through tax-deductible gift recipient status.

Recommendations

1. all organisations that are registered for tax-deductible gift recipient status must produce audited annual financial statements that list the source of all funds (including fees for services) and donations over the value of \$1,000
2. The practice of “auspicing” needs to be outlawed.
3. Stricter enforcement of the existing rules for disqualification of charitable status, especially for political organisations posing as charities and for organisations promoting or supporting illegal protest activities.

4. remove tax-deductible gift recipient status or charity status from organisations that are promoting or opposing specific types of energy, as opposed to advocating for tangible, measurable environmental outcomes.
5. remove tax-deductible gift recipient status or charity status from organisations that are promoting divestment outcomes, as opposed to advocating for tangible, measurable environmental outcomes.
6. remove tax-deductible gift recipient status or charity status from organisations that are primarily promoting or supporting protest activities, as opposed to advocating for tangible, measurable environmental outcomes.
7. remove tax-deductible gift recipient status or charity status from organisations whose major source of funds is from overseas donors.
8. Implement rules for the directors and senior management of charities and deductible gift recipient organisations, similar to those under the various state-based health and safety laws, and prosecution of directors and company officers where the members of their organisations expose themselves and others to unsafe acts or unsafe circumstances at a place of work.
9. Implement rules for the directors and senior management of charities and deductible gift recipient organisations, in line with the duties for company officers and directors under the Corporations Act (i.e. general duties (duty of care etc), duty not to trade while insolvent, and duty to keep books and records), and prosecutions by ASIC/the Commonwealth for non-compliance.

Attachments:

Attachment 1:

Green campaign against Australian coal: trail leads to John Podesta

- The Australian
- 12:00AM October 29, 2016
- DENNIS SHANAHAN

Political Editor

Canberra

A secretive cabal of foreign-funded green groups is working to delay and ultimately destroy the vast Adani coal proposal in central Queensland, as well as pursuing the wider aim of stopping Australia's coal industry. So far, it is succeeding.

This is not some wacky conspiracy theory but the stated aims and strategy of a network of environmental activists funded by the so-called US "billionaires club" arrayed against global coalmining — particularly in Australia and India — as revealed in their own email trails to multibillion-dollar US environmental foundations.

The groups and their billionaire donors are encouraged and helped at the highest level of the US Democratic Party through John Podesta, the chairman of Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign chairman and a former counsellor to Barack Obama.

The mining industry and Australian government ministers and MPs have long suspected co-operation among foreign and Australian-based environmental groups using taxpayer-funded charity exemptions to boost their finances and hide the real source of funds while they campaign against coal.

Public confirmation of the co-ordinated campaign against the \$16 billion Adani Carmichael mine project, which claims it will create 10,000 jobs in depressed central Queensland, has come as a result of a WikiLeaks exposure of the emails of Podesta, who is getting into more political difficulty as the campaign goes on.

The leader of a group of foreign-funded Australian activists, John Hepburn, executive director of The Sunrise Project, confirmed the emails revealing the campaign against the Adani project by influencing indigenous landowners and environmental legal challenges exposed in briefings to Clinton's campaign director.

Challenges to coal projects in Queensland have been based on efforts to protect the yakka skink, a slightly poisonous reptile, and the black-throated finch as part of a wider strategy to stop Australian coalmines and coal exports.

Hepburn says the revelations via WikiLeaks in *The Australian* are a "warning" for the Turnbull government. "That a major US philanthropist has been emailing the senior adviser

to the likely next US president about the expansion of coalmining in Australia highlights a major diplomatic risk for the Turnbull government,” he said last weekend.

Another group identified as part of the US-funded campaign against coal mining in Australia, GetUp!, has also confirmed the global campaign, saying the Adani mine has “too much power over the major parties” in Australia.

In a celebratory email in August 2015 to the US Sandler Foundation, which funds Sunrise, after a decision against the Adani mine, Hepburn, a former Greenpeace activist and one of the authors of the strategy to block coalmining in Australia, thanks the foundation for its support and names the groups working together as “our colleagues at GetUp!, Greenpeace, 350.org, Australian Youth Climate Coalition, Mackay Conservation Group, Market Forces and the brilliant and tireless Sunrise team”. The US leader of 350.org, Bill McKibben, has said previously that the campaign is about more than the black-throated finch and has set out a wide strategy to stop Australian coal exports.

The leaked emails also created a furore in India this week after revelations that last year Podesta tried to help Greenpeace in India, which was facing a challenge to its charity status and expulsion for causing delays to projects that the Indian government estimated were costing the nation 2 to 3 per cent of its gross domestic product.

After the revelations, Malcolm Turnbull revived Coalition proposals to restrict the interference of the foreign-funded groups stalling major developments — from highways and dams to mining projects — and to limit charity status, which the advocacy groups use to get taxpayer-funded exemptions and to hide donors.

Financial Services Minister Kelly O’Dwyer is looking at proposals to restrict tax-exempt charity status to environmental groups that don’t put at least 25 per cent of their effort into environmental remedial work. The Prime Minister said it was right to express “concern” about the activities of the groups and it was clear there was a “very systematic” campaign being conducted in Australia.

“We believe we can have strong development, stronger growth, more jobs, and at the same time make sure that our environment is protected. The problem that we have faced is that it has taken too long to get a decision. It is just the whole approval process takes too long,” he said in regional Queensland this week.

Resources Minister Matt Canavan has referred to a “cabal” of environmental groups trying to destroy projects through “lawfare”, exploiting environmental laws to achieve the stated aim of delaying projects for so long that they become financially unviable. Energy and Environment Minister Josh Frydenberg says the campaign of delay involves vexatious litigation.

An Institute of Public Affairs report released this week finds that although 87 per cent of cases brought by environmental groups under the Environment Protection Act fail completely, the delays are costing the economy up to \$1.2 billion. IPA says BAEconomics had found reducing project delays in Australia by one year “would add \$160bn to national output by 2025 and add 69,000 jobs”, many in regional and rural areas.

One judge, in dismissing a failed claim against a coalmine in NSW, said the argument was no more than “an expression of dissatisfaction” with the approval.

The Sunrise Project emails from May and August last year to Sandler Foundation, passed on to Podesta, confirm the network of groups operating in Australia and mock the mining industry for the “wacky idea” there is a conspiracy to stop coalmining.

The emails also highlight the importance of charity status to provide tax exemptions, but more importantly to hide donors — because part of the strategy is for the groups to appear to be community-based “grassroots” campaigns with little money, in a “David v Goliath” tussle with mining companies. The Indian email reveals the same concerns are held in India and Canada, and names “the Abbott government” as trying to rein in the taxpayer-funded exemptions.

The use of charity status to funnel funds to activists without charity exemptions and to hide the source of donations is of major concern to the Australian government and the Australian Taxation Office as well as to a group of US senators who two years ago examined the “billionaires club”.

A minority report of the US Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works found US’s environmental movement had grown into a billion-dollar industry described by *Forbes* magazine as “a well-oiled machine that receives its funding from a handful of super-rich liberal donors operating behind the anonymity of foundations and charities”.

The report — *The Chain of Environmental Command: How a Club of Billionaires and Their Foundations Control the Environmental Movement and Obama’s EPA* — sets out how the club funds nearly all of the leading environmental non-government organisations as well as many media outlets and supposed grassroots activists in the US.

But the Podesta emails disclose the US foundations are also funding anti-coal campaigns in India and Australia. The emails revealed Podesta was told on May 27, 2015 of the campaign against Greenpeace in India and the linkages between the Indian company Adani and the Australian project as well as coal exports.

Only two days before, he had been passed the emails from The Sunrise Project in Queensland alerting the Sandler Foundation to the potential threat to its status as a charity in Australia and the disclosure of donors.

The Democratic presidential campaign chairman agreed to pass on the Greenpeace concerns about its expulsion from India to his brother Tony, with whom he founded the highly influential lobbying firm the Podesta Group, which lobbied in Washington for the Indian government.

After being told about the Abbott government’s actions to tighten restrictions on environmental groups in Australia, Podesta responded that the number of groups being affected seemed to be growing.

Podesta has refused to confirm the authenticity of the thousands of leaked emails, and others have suggested they were leaked and possibly doctored by Russian intelligence services. [yeh right, “quick, look over there, Russians”] The Australian-based Sunrise Project has confirmed its US-funded campaign against Adani and the emails, but denies knowing they were passed to Podesta. [yeh right and the tooth fairy exists too]

Regardless, the Turnbull government faces a concerted global campaign to finish off one of Australia’s most important industries — which Turnbull says has a place for “years and

years” — and it has to stop that campaign without throwing itself open to the charge of climate change denialism.

US group funds activists intent on killing coal

EXCLUSIVE

JOE KELLY

The US-based Tides Foundation has donated millions of dollars to Australian green groups including members of an alliance aimed at killing off the giant Adani mine, reigniting calls to tighten rules on foreign funding of politically active charities.

The Tides Foundation, established in 1976 in San Francisco, gave \$105,000 in both 2015 and 2016 to an Australian group, Market Forces, which works to stop “investment in projects that would harm the environment”.

Allegations in Canada suggest so-called third parties influenced its 2015 election campaign, with MPs pushing for an inquiry into claims the Tides Foundation sank \$700,000 into eight politically active groups that may have used the funds on election advertising.

Market Forces is an affiliate of the green group Friends of the Earth, but is not registered with the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission. An ABN check shows it is not “entitled

to receive tax-deductible gifts”.

The ACNC will appear before a Senate estimates hearing today, with the chairwoman of the joint standing committee on electoral matters, Linda Reynolds, telling *The Australian* that “only Australians should be able to influence Australia’s electoral process”.

“The integrity of Australia’s electoral system is essential,” Senator Reynolds said. “Unregulated electoral actors are receiving significant funding, in part, from foreign sources that are not currently publicly disclosed in the AEC third-party returns.”

In a key report, the committee said it would further review foreign donations and deductible gift-recipient status by the end of this year.

The Tides Foundation has donated to a range of Australian environmental groups registered as charities since 2012, including \$5000 to the Mackay Conservation Group, \$20,000 to the Bob Brown Foundation, \$20,000 to the Climate Council of Australia, \$10,000 to the Australian Marine Conservation Society, \$100,000 to Sea Shepherd, \$405,000 to the Lock the Gate Alliance, \$452,000

to the Sunrise Project; \$568,472 to the Great Barrier Reef Foundation, and \$568,323 to Nature Conservancy Australia, according to donations listed on Tides Foundation reports.

The Mackay Conservation Group, Bob Brown Foundation, Sunrise Project, Australian Marine Conservation Society and Market Forces are members of the Stop Adani alliance aimed at quashing the \$16.5 billion Carmichael mine in Queensland’s Galilee Basin. The alliance wants new coal projects banned.

Market Forces executive director Julien Vincent said his organisation worked to “direct finance and investment away from activities that pose severe risks to the environment, such as the proposed Adani Carmichael coalmine”.

Acting Liberal federal director Andrew Bragg said a “cabal” of environmental activists “undermined electoral laws” daily. “Our democracy is no longer a level playing field,” he said. “This anti-Liberal, anti-business cabal opaquely gather and spend millions on political campaigning nationally and in marginal seats.”

Attachment 3: 2014 Senate Billionaire Club Report

**United States Senate
Committee on Environment and Public Works
Minority Staff Report**

The Chain of Environmental Command:



***How a Club of Billionaires and Their
Foundations Control the Environmental
Movement and Obama's EPA***

July 30, 2014

Contact: Luke Bolar — Luke_Bolar@epw.senate.gov (202) 224-6176
Cheyenne Steel — Cheyenne_Steel@epw.senate.gov (202) 224-6176
U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works (Minority)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In his 2010 State of the Union Address, President Obama famously chided the Supreme Court for its recent campaign finance decision by proclaiming, “With all due deference to the separation of powers, the Supreme Court reversed a century of law to open the floodgates for special interests – including foreign corporations – to spend without limit in our elections.”¹ In another speech he further lamented, “There aren’t a lot of functioning democracies around the world that work this way where you can basically have millionaires and billionaires bankrolling whoever they want, however they want, in some cases undisclosed. What it means is ordinary Americans are shut out of the process.”²

These statements are remarkable for their blatant hypocrisy and obfuscation of the fact that the President and his cadre of wealthy liberal allies and donors embrace the very tactics he publically scorned. In reality, an elite group of left wing millionaires and billionaires, which this report refers to as the “Billionaire’s Club,” who directs and controls the far-left environmental movement, which in turn controls major policy decisions and lobbies on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Even more unsettling, a dominant organization in this movement is Sea Change Foundation, a private California foundation, which relies on funding from a foreign company with undisclosed donors. In turn, Sea Change funnels tens of millions of dollars to other large but discreet foundations and prominent environmental activists who strive to control both policy and politics.

This report examines in detail the mechanisms and methods of a far-left environmental machine that has been erected around a small group of powerful and active millionaires and billionaires who exert tremendous sway over a colossal effort. Although startling in its findings, the report covers only a small fraction of the amount of money that is being secreted and moved around. It would be virtually impossible to examine this system completely given the enormity of this carefully coordinated effort and the lack of transparency surrounding it.

The failure to openly acknowledge this force and the silence of the media with whom they coordinate further emphasize the fact that until today, the Billionaire’s Club operated in relative obscurity hidden under the guise of “philanthropy.” The scheme to keep their efforts hidden and far removed from the political stage is deliberate, meticulous, and intended to mislead the public. While it is uncertain why they operate in the shadows and what they are hiding, what is clear is that these individuals and foundations go to tremendous lengths to avoid public association with the far-left environmental movement they so generously fund.

The report attempts to decipher the patterns of “charitable giving.” Often the wealthiest foundations donate large sums to intermediaries – sometimes a pass through and sometimes a fiscal sponsor. The intermediary then funnels the money to other 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations that the original foundation might also directly support. The report offers theories

¹ Bill Mears, *Chief justice chides State of the Union as ‘political pep rally’*, CNN, March 11, 2010, 7:33 A.M., <http://www.cnn.com/2010/POLITICS/03/10/obama.supremecourt> (last visited July 27, 2014).

² Ben Wolfgang, *Obama weighs in for campaign finance limits*, THE WASHINGTON TIMES, Oct. 8, 2013, <http://www.washingtontimes.com/blog/inside-politics/2013/oct/8/obama-weighs-campaign-finance-limits> (last visited July 27, 2014).

that could explain this bizarre behavior, but at its core, the Billionaire’s Club is not, and seemingly does not, want to be transparent about the groups they fund and how much they are supporting them.

In advancing their cause, these wealthy liberals fully exploit the benefits of a generous tax code meant to promote genuine philanthropy and charitable acts, amazingly with little apparent Internal Revenue Service scrutiny. Instead of furthering a noble purpose, their tax deductible contributions secretly flow to a select group of left wing activists who are complicit and eager to participate in the fee-for-service arrangement to promote shared political goals. Moreover, the financial arrangement provides significant insulation to these wealthy elite from the incidental damage they do to the U.S. economy and average Americans.

Through these arrangements, the Billionaire’s Club gains access to a close knit network of likeminded funders, environmental activists, and government bureaucrats who specialize in manufacturing phony “grassroots” movements and in promoting bogus propaganda disguised as science and news to spread an anti-fossil energy message to the unknowing public. Not only is the system incredibly sophisticated, but the Club’s attorneys and accountants have mastered the loopholes and gray areas in the tax code, which enable them to obtain a full tax benefit, even when the recipient of the grant is not recognized as a public charity, and even if the money indirectly and impermissibly funds political activities.

In order to understand how the Billionaire’s Club colludes with the far-left environmental activists and government officials, the report articulates the fundamental framework that governs these relationships. Essentially, the far-left environmental machine is comprised of hundreds of nonprofit organizations. Each entity is set up according to its designated purpose and is either a private foundation or a public charity, depending on where the cog fits in this well-designed wheel.

The facilitators – both organizations and individuals who bring together the private foundations and the activists – are a key component of the movement’s success. The report identifies three organizations that serve prominent roles as facilitators: the Environmental Grantmakers Association, the Democracy Alliance, and the Divest/Invest movement. There is also a narrow set of individuals whose careers are part of the fabric of the far-left environmental movement and who serve as coordinators and intermediaries between the Billionaire’s Club and the activist groups.

The ultimate recipients of donations from the Billionaire’s Club work in tandem with wealthy donors to maximize the value of their tax deductible donations and leverage their combined resources to influence elections and policy outcomes. Often, they lobby on behalf of the EPA and advance policy positions important to the agency, which is statutorily prohibited from lobbying on its own behalf. But most importantly, they serve as the face of the environmental movement and present themselves as non-partisan benevolent charities to a public not aware of the secretive backroom deals and transfers.

The Billionaire’s Club achieves many of its successes through the “capture” of key employees at EPA. These “successes” are often at the expense of farmers, miners, roughnecks,

small businesses, and families. This report proves that the Obama EPA has been deliberately staffed at the highest levels with far-left environmental activists who have worked hand-in-glove with their former colleagues. The green-revolving door at EPA has become a valuable asset for the far-left and their wealthy donors. In addition to providing insider access to important policy decisions, it appears activists now at EPA also funnel government money through grants to their former employers and colleagues. The report tracks the amount of government aid doled out to activist groups and details a troubling disregard for ethics by certain high powered officials.

The report further describes what the Billionaire's Club is purchasing with their fortunes. It reveals that activists are skilled at creating and pushing out propaganda disguised as science and news. For example, both the Park Foundation and the Schmidt Family Foundation have financed questionable scientists to produce anti-fracking research, which the *Huffington Post*, *Mother Jones*, and *Climate Desk* – all grant recipients themselves – eagerly report on.

The Billionaire's Club has also perfected the craft of assembling and funding fake grassroots movements to assist in ballot measures and other state initiatives. The efforts in New York and Colorado to ban fracking are prime examples. The report explains how these faux grassroots efforts are actually funded by foundations outside the states they seek to influence. All these groups are similarly utilizing their platform to attack jobs, economic development, and infrastructure projects across the country.

The Energy Foundation is a quintessential example of a pass through frequently employed by the Billionaire's Club. Energy Foundation receives money from several key foundations and redirects it to activists. In doing so, they are providing two services: distance between the donor and the activist, and enhancing the clout of the donors as their individual influence is maximized by pooling resources. One of the major funders of the Energy Foundation is Sea Change, which has gone to great lengths to hide the source of its money. This is especially concerning in light of recent revelations that environmental activists do not appear to be morally conflicted over where their money comes from – so long as it supports their goals.

The Billionaire's Club is also adept at converting charitable donations into political outcomes by taking advantage of loopholes in the tax code. Numerous examples raise questions as to whether the charitable donations are indirectly supporting political activity. For example, in many cases they fund a 501(c)(3), like the Energy Foundation or the League of Conservation Voters, which then transfers large sums to an affiliated 501(c)(4), which can engage in political activity. The affiliated groups often share office space, staff, and even board members. In the case of the 501(c)(4) Green Tech Action Fund, which received donations from the Energy Foundation, and in turn, donated funds to 501(c)(4) far-left environmental activist organizations.

The evidence provided in this report highlights the lengths the far-left environmental movement goes to hide sources of funding and to disguise their actions – bought and paid for by millionaires and billionaires – as charitable acts in service of their fellow man. This report outlines a sampling of the individuals, foundations, and practices that are active in our political system today, shedding light on just a fraction of the activities of the far-left environmental machine that undermines American free enterprise and resource security.

FINDINGS:

- The “Billionaire’s Club,” an exclusive group of wealthy individuals, directs the far-left environmental movement. The members of this elite liberal club funnel their fortunes through private foundations to execute their personal political agenda, which is centered around restricting the use of fossil fuels in the United States. (Pg. 6)
- The Billionaire’s Club has established a dozen prominent private foundations with huge sums of money at their disposal to spend on environmental causes. (Pg. 9)
- Members of the Billionaire’s Club also donate directly to 501(c)(3) public charities. Generally, the public charity is considered the preferred status under the tax code, based on the greater tax benefits and protections on donor disclosures. (Pg. 10)
- Public charities attempt to provide the maximum amount of control to their donors through fiscal sponsorships, which are a legally suspect innovation unique to the left, whereby the charity actually sells its nonprofit status to a group for a fee. (Pg. 12)
- Nearly all of the public charities discussed in this report have an affiliated 501(c)(4) that engages in activities designed to influence elections and have no restrictions on their lobbying efforts. The funding of a 501(c)(4) by a 501(c)(3) affiliate is provocative in light of the legal restrictions on public charities from participating in political campaigning, either directly or indirectly, while permitting a 501(c)(4) to significantly engage in campaign activities. (Pg. 14)
- Members of the Billionaire’s Club put a premium on access to the complex environmental infrastructure that has evolved to leverage substantial assets towards achieving defined policy outcomes. (Pg. 16)
- Environmental Grantmakers Association (EGA) is a place where wealthy donors meet and coordinate the distribution of grants to advance the environmental movement. EGA encourages the use of prescriptive grantmaking. It is a secretive organization, refusing to disclose their membership list to Congress. (Pg. 16)
- Democracy Alliance (DA), a facilitator for wealthy donors seeking to advance a broader far-left agenda, does not disclose the details of any transaction it facilitates, and its members and donor-recipients cannot speak publically about the organization. (Pg. 18)
- Environmental activist groups are well aligned with the greater far-left agenda. One of DA’s acclaimed successes in the last year includes President Obama’s executive actions on climate change. (Pg. 20)
- Many far-left environmental foundations and groups have pledged to divest in fossil fuels and invest in renewable projects as well as “philanthropy.” (Pg. 22)

- There is a narrow set of individuals whose careers are part of the fabric of the far-left environmental movement. These individuals exercise outsized influence regarding the distribution of funds. (Pg. 23)
- Public charity activist groups propagate the false notion that they are independent, citizen-funded groups working altruistically. In reality, they work in tandem with wealthy donors to maximize the value of the donors' tax deductible donations and leverage their combined resources to influence elections and policy outcomes, with a focus on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (Pg. 25)
- Far-left environmental activists, while benefiting from nonprofit status, essentially sell a product to wealthy foundations who are seeking to drive policy and political outcomes. (Pg. 38)
- The Obama Administration has installed an audacious green-revolving door among senior officials at EPA, which has become a valuable asset for the environmental movement and its wealthy donors. (Pg. 28)
- In one example, senior EPA officials planned to use Michelle DePass's position on the Board of Directors of EGA, her eminent employment at EPA, and her relationship with former EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson, to enhance her influence with EGA. (Pg. 29)
- Former far-left environmentalists working at EPA funnel government money through grants to their former employers and colleagues, often contributing to the bottom line of environmental activist groups. (Pg. 34)
- Under President Obama, EPA has given more than \$27 million in taxpayer-funded grants to major environmental groups. Notably, the Natural Resources Defense Council and Environmental Defense Fund – two key activists groups with significant ties to senior EPA officials – have collected more than \$1 million in funding each. (Pg. 34)
- EPA Region 2 Administrator Judith Enck appears to be inappropriately and personally involved in the allocation of EPA grants to favored groups. Enck is also the subject of an inquiry led by the EPA Office of Inspector General. (Pg. 35)
- EPA also gives grants to lesser-known extreme groups. For example, the Louisiana Bucket Brigade received hundreds of thousands of grants under former Administrator Lisa Jackson despite challenges by state regulators over the use of such grants. (Pg. 33)
- Some of the most valued services activists provide the Billionaire's Club includes promulgation of propaganda, which creates an artificial echo chamber; appearance of a faux grassroots movement; access to nimble and transient groups under fiscal sponsorship arrangements; distance/anonymity between donations made by well-known donors and activities of risky activist groups; and above all – the ability to leverage tens of millions of dollars in questionable foreign funding. (Pg. 38)

- Foundations finance research to justify desired predetermined policy outcome. The research is then reported on by a news outlet, oftentimes one that is also supported by the same foundation, in an effort to increase visibility. In one example, a story reporting on a Park Foundation-supported anti-fracking study was reproduced by a Park-funded news organization through a Park-funded media collaboration where it was then further disseminated on Twitter by the maker of Park-backed anti-fracking movies. (Pg. 41)
- Another service provided to the Billionaire’s Club is the manufacturing of an artificial grassroots movement where it is not the citizen’s interest that drives the movement; rather, it is part of a well-funded national strategy. (Pg. 43)
- In New York and Colorado, a pseudo grassroots effort to attack hydraulic fracturing has germinated from massive amounts of funding by the NY-based Park Foundation, as well as CA-based Schmidt Family Foundation and Tides Foundation. (Pg. 44)
- The same California and New York-based foundations behind the New York anti-fracking efforts have shifted to Colorado through two coalitions – Local Control Colorado and Frack Free Colorado. (Pg. 45)
- Bold Nebraska is another example of faux grassroots where a purportedly local organization is, in fact, an arm of the Billionaire’s Club. It is nothing more than a shield for wealthy and distant non-Nebraskan interests who seek to advance a political agenda without drawing attention to the fact that they, too, are outsiders with little connection to the state. (Pg. 50)
- The Energy Foundation is a pass through public charity utilized by the most powerful EGA members to create the appearance of a more diversified base of support, to shield them from accountability, and to leverage limited resources by hiring dedicated energy/environment staff to handle strategic giving. (Pg. 51)
- The Energy Foundation is the largest recipient of grants from the foreign-funded Sea Change Foundation; yet, it appears the Energy Foundation attempts to hide donations from Sea Change, as it is not listed as one of Energy Foundation’s partners. (Pg. 53)
- The circumstances surrounding the flow of money from 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) groups, and the likelihood of lax oversight, raises questions as to whether 501(c)(3) nonprofit foundations and charities are indirectly funding political activities. (Pg. 56)
- 501(c)(4) Green Tech Action Fund receives millions of dollars from green 501(c)(3) organizations, then distributes the funds to other 501(c)(4) groups that donate to political campaigns. (Pg. 57)
- Many of the large environmental organizations form both 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) nonprofits that are publically advertised as separate and independent entities. In reality, they are closely associated groups that transfer money from the Billionaire’s Club to nonprofits, and eventually into political campaigns. (Pg. 58)

- Between 2010 and 2012, Tides Foundation gave over \$10 million to Tides Center, and Tides Center gave over \$39 million to Tides Foundation. It is unclear what purpose the transfer of funds between these two organizations serves, other than obscuring the money trail. (Pg. 60)
- Tides Center is a fiscal sponsor to over 200 groups, which are subject to Tides Center's oversight and direction in important aspects that include forming a governing board, managing payroll, and monitoring risk. (Pg. 60).
- The New York-based Sustainable Markets Foundation is also a significant fiscal sponsor and receives vast sums from the Billionaire's Club. It only exists on paper and has zero public presence – no website, no Facebook page, no Twitter account, nothing. (Pg. 64)
- The Billionaire's Club knowingly collaborates with questionable offshore funders to maximize support for the far-left environmental movement. (Pg. 65)
- The little information available on Sea Change is limited to a review of its IRS Form-990 for 2010 and 2011 as its 2012 form is not public, and a sparsely worded website – listing solely the logo and a three-sentence mission statement. (Pg. 65)
- Klein Ltd., an overseas company contributing tens of millions to organizations dedicated to abolishing the use of affordable fossil fuels through a U.S. private foundation is highly problematic. This is only compounded by the fact that it is deliberately and completely lacking in transparency – having no website and withholding its funders. (Pg. 68)

Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
I. Legal Framework of Far-Left Environmental Movement	3
a. 501(c)(3) Private Foundations and Public Charities	3
b. The 501(c)(4)	8
II. The Billionaire’s Club: Leveraging their Investment	11
a. The Ties that Bind: Key Relationships Between Facilitators, Donors, and Activists	11
b. The Facilitators: Key Environmental Activists	18
c. The Face of the Environmental Movement: Public Charity Activists	21
III. The Bureaucrats: How the Obama EPA is Deeply Intertwined with the Billionaire’s Club and their Far-left Environmental Activists	23
a. EPA’s Green Revolving Door	23
b. The Obama EPA Helps to Fund the Far-Left Environmental Groups 29	
c. Questionable Behavior by Regional Administrator Judith Enck	31
IV. Billionaire’s Club in Action: Case Studies of Services Rendered	33
a. Activists Groups Provide Billionaire’s Club with Propaganda	33
b. Activist Groups Provide Billionaire’s Club with Artificial Grassroots Movements	38
c. Converting Charitable Donations into Political Outcomes	49
d. Fiscal Sponsorships Provide the Billionaire’s Club with Access to Nimble and Transient Groups and also Provide Distance from their Hired Hands	54
e. The Billionaire’s Club Collaborating with Shady Foreign Funders	60
CONCLUSION	67
APPENDIX A: TOP FOUNDATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GIVING	68
APPENDIX B: ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS	73

INTRODUCTION

The “Billionaire’s Club,” an exclusive group of wealthy individuals, directs the far-left environmental movement. The members of this elite liberal club funnel their fortunes through private foundations to execute their personal political agenda, which is centered around restricting the use of fossil fuels primarily in the United States.

This report demonstrates that, far from pursuing philanthropic goals, the money from this elite group is funneled to like-minded activists in a defined fee-for-service arrangement. Fiscal sponsorships, a legally suspect vehicle for charitable funding, allows new and transient groups the ability to receive foundation funding while quickly mobilizing in local communities to provide rapid response services where their ability to affect public change is greatest.

The Billionaire’s Club has formed exclusive networks and alliances – in and out of the federal government – to maximize the effectiveness of its “investment.” One such outfit is the Environmental Grantmakers Association – command central of the environmental movement. It is also very secretive, refusing to disclose their membership list to Congress. The wealthy liberal elite have also formed public charities, including the Energy Foundation, the ClimateWorks Foundation, the Sustainable Markets Foundation, and the Tides Center, to coordinate and leverage their expenditures. Moreover, efforts like the recently exposed Democracy Alliance and the Divest/Invest Movement have pooled hundreds of millions of dollars in collective resources to funnel funds towards chosen activists.

The Natural Resources Defense Council, Sierra Club, Environmental Defense Fund, League of Conservation Voters, Center for Biological Diversity, National Wildlife Federation, World Wildlife Fund, and other environmental activist organizations serve as the face of the movement and provide cover for where the secretive foundations direct their resources.

Klein Ltd., a foreign corporation, has risen to prominence in the far-left environmental community – doling out tens of millions to favored charities via Sea Change Foundation. In fact, none of this foreign corporation’s funding is disclosed in any way. This is clearly a deceitful way to hide the source of millions of dollars that are active in our system, attempting to effect political change.

Finally, the success of this movement is hinged on direct access to policy makers who are loyal to the cause and work to implement the far-left environmental agenda when they occupy government positions. Relationships with policy makers provide the opportunity for the Billionaire’s Club and activists to change public policy and obtain government grants. The Committee demonstrates how the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under President Obama’s watch has installed an audacious green-revolving door, which has become a valuable asset for the environmental movement and the Billionaire’s Club.

The common goal of this network appears to be the explosion of stringent restrictions on energy access and a reformation of the capitalist system. This goal was clearly articulated by Billionaire Club member, Nat Simons, President and Founder of Sea Change Foundation:

[T]o get it done, quickly, is going to take a Herculean effort from all sides. Because it's not really a question of whether we move to a low carbon economy. I think it's clear we're moving there... the question is how quickly. The role of philanthropy is really to facilitate that process.

While this report sheds significant light on the who and the how, the truly outrageous nature of these complex arrangements are only understood by exploring the why. This report articulates several possible reasons for the convoluted and secretive structure of the far-left environmental movement; yet, at the end of the day, we are still asking – why? Why are members of the Billionaire's Club going to such extreme lengths to hide their generous support of supposed charitable causes?

I. Legal Framework of Far-Left Environmental Movement

The far-left environmental movement is comprised of hundreds of nonprofit organizations. Each entity is strategically set up according to its designated purpose in the funding chain. This section lays out the most prevalent forms used by a group of wealthy individuals choreographing the far-left environmental movement, namely the 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) nonprofits, as well as fiscal sponsorship arrangements available for groups who have not obtained nonprofit status from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

a. 501(c)(3) Private Foundations and Public Charities

Members of the Billionaire's Club who want a seat at the environmental policy table have the option to fund a 501(c)(3) private foundation or public charity. Importantly, by funding a 501(c)(3), they obtain the added benefit of making contributions on a tax deductible basis. In 2010, tax deductions for charitable contributions to 501(c)(3) organizations resulted in an estimated \$40 billion loss to federal revenue.³ While the rules for disclosing donations received by private foundations and public charities differ, both organizations are required to file an annual IRS 990-form to maintain its 501(c)(3) tax-exempt status. Moreover, both a public charity and a private foundation must disclose contributions to other entities if the amount exceeds \$5,000.⁴

In exchange for the generous tax benefits donors receive, limits exist on 501(c)(3) activities. For instance, they must not directly or indirectly participate in political campaigns.⁵ The IRS clearly articulates the restriction on political activities, advising that "501(c)(3) organizations are absolutely prohibited from directly or indirectly participating in, or intervening in, any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for elective public office."⁶ Breaching this provision may result in denial or revocation of tax-exempt status and the imposition of certain excise taxes.⁷ Further, 501(c)(3)s are limited in their ability to lobby,⁸ as they cannot devote more than an "insubstantial" (i.e. between 5 and 10%) portion of their resources to lobbying activities.⁹

³ Jane G. Gravelle and Molly F. Sherlock, *Tax Issues Relating to Charitable Contributions and Organizations*, CONG. RESEARCH SERVICES, Jan. 29, 2013, page 1, <http://ybcf.pgdc.com/pgdc/crs-reports-tax-issues-relating-charitable-contributions-and-organizations> (last visited July 27, 2014).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ *The Restriction of Political Campaign Intervention by Section 501(c)(3) Tax-Exempt Organizations*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, [http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/The-Restriction-of-Political-Campaign-Intervention-by-Section-501\(c\)\(3\)-Tax-Exempt-Organizations](http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/The-Restriction-of-Political-Campaign-Intervention-by-Section-501(c)(3)-Tax-Exempt-Organizations) (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁶ IRS, *The Restriction of Political Campaign Intervention by Section 501(c)(3) Tax-Exempt Organizations*, [http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/The-Restriction-of-Political-Campaign-Intervention-by-Section-501\(c\)\(3\)-Tax-Exempt-Organizations](http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/The-Restriction-of-Political-Campaign-Intervention-by-Section-501(c)(3)-Tax-Exempt-Organizations) (last visited July 29, 2014).

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ IRS, *Tax Information for Charities & Other Non-Profits* <http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Lobbying> Organizations may, however, involve themselves in issues of public policy without the activity being considered as lobbying. For example, organizations may conduct educational meetings, prepare and distribute educational materials, or otherwise consider public policy issues in an educational manner without jeopardizing their tax-exempt status.

⁹ Hurwit & Associates, *Lobbying & 501(c)(4) Primer*, http://www.hurwitassociates.com/p_1_lobby_primer.pdf (last visited July 27, 2014).

i. Private Foundations

Typically, the most wealthy far-left individuals have elected to fund their own 501(c)(3) private foundation.¹⁰ In fact, each member of the Billionaire's Club has a private foundation that is extremely politically motivated and holds considerable sway over the environmental community. By creating a private foundation, they can make a substantial contribution to their foundation and enjoy a sizable tax break of up to 30% of their adjusted gross income (AGI), while the foundation itself does not pay a tax on this income.¹¹ Private foundations must disclose all donors on its IRS Form 990-PF, and so starting a private foundation allows the donor to associate his or her family name with the foundation's work.¹²

As depicted in the chart below, there are roughly a dozen prominent private foundations created by the Billionaire's Club that have huge sums of money at their disposal to spend on environmental causes. Among this list, the Committee focused on several extremely active private foundations, including the Rockefeller Brothers Fund,¹³ the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation,¹⁴ the David and Lucile Packard Foundation,¹⁵ the Schmidt Family Foundation,¹⁶ the Sea Change Foundation,¹⁷ the Park Foundation,¹⁸ and the Marisla Foundation.¹⁹

¹⁰ *Comparing Public Charities and Private Foundations*, FOUNDATION SOURCE, 2012, <http://www.foundationsource.com/ks/ComparingPublicCharitiesandPrivateFoundations.pdf> (last visited July 27, 2014).

¹¹ *What is a 501(c)(3)?*, FOUNDATION GROUP, <http://501c3.org/what-is-a-501c3> (last visited July 24, 2014).

¹² *Public Disclosure and Availability of Exempt Organizations Returns and Applications: Requirements for Private Foundations*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, <http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Public-Disclosure-and-Availability-of-Exempt-Organizations>Returns-and-Applications:-Requirements-for-Private-Foundations> (last updated Mar. 28, 2014).

¹³ *History*, THE ROCKEFELLER BROTHERS FUND, <http://www.rbf.org/content/history> (last visited July 28, 2014). (The fund was created by the sons of John D. Rockefeller, Jr. -John D. III, Nelson, Winthrop, Laurence, and David.)

¹⁴ *William and Flora Hewlett and the Hewlett Foundation*, THE WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION, <http://www.hewlett.org/about-us/hewlett-family-history> (last visited July 28, 2014). (The foundation was created by William Hewlett, co-founder of Hewlett-Packard, along with his wife and eldest son, from the Hewlett's "vast personal wealth.")

¹⁵ *Our history*, THE DAVID AND LUCILE PACKARD FOUND., <HTTP://WWW.PACKARD.ORG/ABOUT-THE-FOUNDATION/OUR-HISTORY> (last visited July 28, 2014). (The foundation was created by David Packard, co-founder of Hewlett Packard, along with his wife.)

¹⁶ *About*, THE SCHMIDT FAMILY FOUND., <http://tsffoundation.org/about/> (last visited July 24, 2014). (The foundation was created by Eric Schmidt, a software engineer, who previously served as CEO of Google, where he is the current executive chairman.)

¹⁷ SEA CHANGE, <http://www.seachange.org> (last visited July 28, 2014). (The foundation is funded by Nat Simons, son of Renaissance Technologies founder James Simons.)

¹⁸ PARK FOUND. <http://www.parkfoundation.org/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

¹⁹ Laurie Bennett, *Getty Oil Heir Quietly Supports Democrats*, FORBES (July 30, 2012, 9:54 AM), <http://www.forbes.com/sites/lauriebennett/2012/07/30/getty-oil-heir-quietly-supports-democrats>.

Billionaire’s Club Private Foundations

Foundation	Total Assets - 2012
David and Lucile Packard Foundation	\$6,299,952,716
Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation	\$5,697,258,026
Heinz Family Foundation	\$117,095,904
Marisla Foundation	\$51,482,397
Park Foundation	\$366,405,008
Rockefeller Brothers Foundation	\$800,956,943
Schmidt Family Foundation	\$46,542,559
Sea Change Foundation	\$124,350,435*
Walton Family Foundation	\$1,999,066,369
William and Flora Hewlett Foundation	\$7,735,371,139

* Assets from most recent IRS Form-990-PF available (2011)

As a private foundation director/trustee, the donor can manage and invest the funds and select the eventual charitable recipient over a period of years.²⁰ Private foundations also give the donor the greatest amount of discretion over how funds are distributed and used. The private foundations discussed in this report generally do not donate in an altruistic or philanthropic manner. Instead, they employ a “prescriptive grantmaking” technique wherein they seek beneficiaries whose actions and work fit the agenda of the foundation and its donors.²¹ Prescriptive grantmaking foundations impose a very tightly defined strategic plan for proposals that match the defined formula.²² Examples of prescriptive grantmaking by some of the Billionaire’s Club private foundations include a \$50,000 grant from the Park Foundation to the New York Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) for “continuation of its widespread public education campaign on the issue of gas drilling in New York;”²³ a \$200,000 grant from the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation to the Union of Concerned Scientists “for coal retirement and removing market barriers to renewable energy projects;”²⁴ as well as a \$79,690 grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation to The Nature Conservancy “to support efforts to protect the wild salmon ecosystems of Alaska’s Bristol Bay region.”²⁵

ii. Public Charities

The Billionaire’s Club also donates directly to 501(c)(3) public charities. Generally, the public charity is considered the preferred status under the tax code, based on the greater tax benefits and protections on donor disclosures. A donor’s tax deductible limit for a charitable

²⁰ Kurt Peterson, Melissa Martin & Karen Goldberg, *Wealth of Knowledge - Spring 2012 - A Primer on Private Foundations*, EISNER AMPER ACCOUNTANTS & ADVISORS (Apr. 16, 2012), http://www.eisneramper.com/Wealth_of_Knowledge/private-foundation-0412.aspx (last visited July 27, 2014).

²¹ Joel J. Orosz, Programming Director, W.K. Kellogg Foundation, Speech at the Council of Michigan Foundations’ 23rd Annual Conference, THE MONTANA COMMUNITY FOUNDATION 2, <https://community.mtcf.org/NetCommunity/Document.Doc?id=23> (last visited July 24, 2014).

²² *Id.*

²³ Park Found., IRS form 990, 2012.

²⁴ William and Flora Hewlett Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁵ Gordon and Betty Moore Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

donation to a public charity is much higher than a private foundation, up to 50% of their AGI.²⁶ In order to qualify as a public charity, one-third of donations must come from relatively small donors, from other public charities, or from the government.²⁷ For individual donors, only \$5,000 of an individual donation can count towards the one-third public funding requirement.²⁸ However, if the public charity receives a grant from the government, 100% of those funds count towards the one-third public funding requirement.²⁹

“Anonymity is very important to most of the people we work with.”
– Drummond Pike of Tides Foundation

Unlike a private foundation, public charities are not required to disclose donors, creating an opportunity for the wealthy to make anonymous contributions to pay for ‘charitable activities.’³⁰ Indeed, anonymity makes donating to a public charity highly attractive to donors wishing to remain unknown. According to Drummond Pike, founder of the Tides Foundation and its related nonprofit groups, “Anonymity is very important to most of the people we work with.”³¹

A public charity may be characterized as a foundation or an otherwise nonprofit organization. Some of the most active public charities in the far-left environmental sphere are characterized as foundations. These include the Tides Foundation, Energy Foundation, ClimateWorks Foundation and the Sustainable Markets Foundation. Other public charities represent themselves as activists, such as the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Sierra Club, Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), League of Conservation Voters (LCV), Greenpeace, Center for Biological Diversity (CBD), National Wildlife Federation (NWF), and the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), which act as the public face of the environmental movement.

Top Public Charity Foundations

Organization	Total Assets - 2012
ClimateWorks Foundation	\$219,543,071
Energy Foundation	\$32,212,733
Pew Charitable Trusts	\$735,245,419
Sustainable Markets Foundation	\$2,056,007*
Tides Foundation	\$141,039,613

*Assets from most recent IRS Form-990 available (2011)

²⁶ Greg McRay, *Public Charity vs. Private Foundation*, FOUNDATION GROUP (May 26, 2009), <http://501c3.org/blog/public-charity-vs-private-foundation>.

²⁷ *What is a 501(c)(3)?*, *supra* note 11.

²⁸ 26 C.R.F. § 1.509(a)-3 (2012).

²⁹ *Section 501(c)(3) Organizations*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, <http://www.irs.gov/publications/p557/ch03.html> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁰ *Exempt Organizations Annual Reporting Requirements–Public Disclosure and Availability of Exempt Organizations Returns and Applications*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE 4, http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/eo_disclosure_faqs.pdf (last visited July 11, 2013).

³¹ Steve Baldwin, *Who Funds the Radical Left in America?*, WESTERN JOURNALISM, <http://www.westernjournalism.com/exclusive-investigative-reports/who-funds-the-radical-left-in-america> (last visited July 24, 2014).

Top Public Charity Activist Organizations

Organization	Total Assets - 2012
American Lung Association	\$31,049,040
BlueGreen Alliance Foundation	\$3,179,681
Center for American Progress	\$50,042,142
Center for Biological Diversity	\$12,282,335
Earthjustice	\$58,945,673
Environmental Defense Fund	\$208,751,208
Environmental Integrity Project	\$1,744,942
Greenpeace Fund	\$15,313,140
League of Conservation Voters Education Fund	\$7,545,946
National Audubon Society	\$450,334,791
National Wildlife Federation	\$66,456,891
Natural Resources Defense Council	\$268,165,564
Nature Conservancy	\$6,168,924,112
Sierra Club Foundation	\$98,974,748
Union of Concerned Scientists	\$8,195,448
World Wildlife Fund	\$450,932,452

Public charities also attempt to provide the maximum amount of control to their donors through the creation of fiscal sponsorships. A novel innovation unique to the left is the proliferation of a fiscal sponsor relationship, whereby the charity actually sells its nonprofit status to a group for a fee. Through this arrangement, the fiscal sponsor serves as the official recipient of charitable donations for an organization unrecognized by the IRS.³² A fiscal sponsorship arrangement is usually not overly obvious, and is typically only revealed when a potential donor inquires about receiving a tax break for its donation.³³ The sponsorship fees range from 5% to 14% of total revenue.³⁴ In addition to the generous tax benefit, sponsors often provide payroll, employee benefits, office space, publicity, fundraising assistance, and training services to the sponsored organization.³⁵ This allows donors to make tax deductible contributions to support a narrowly defined project, with the full tax benefit enjoyed by a public charity, and no donor disclosure.

The fiscal sponsor relationship is built on very shaky legal grounds. The only precedential guidance issued by the IRS came from a revenue ruling issued over 45 years ago – well before this practice began in earnest.³⁶ Moreover, to the extent the IRS recognizes the validity of such a relationship, it should be in the context of “specific short term project[s] – such as providing assistance following a local disaster, or construction of a new playground or dog

³² *What is a Donor-Advised Fund (DAF)?*, NATIONAL PHILANTHROPIC TRUST, <http://www.nptrust.org/what-is-a-donor-advised-fund> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³³ Trust for Conservation Innovation, *Fiscal Sponsorship; The State of a Growing Service*, http://www.tides.org/fileadmin/user/pdf/WP_TCIFSGrowingService.pdf (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ *Id.*

³⁶ Jonathan Spack, *How Fiscal Sponsorship Nurtures Nonprofits*, COMMUNITIES & BANKING, 2005, http://s3.amazonaws.com/conservationtools/s3_files/1219/fiscalsponsor_spack.pdf?AWSAccessKeyId=1NXAG53SXSSG82H0V902&Expires=1406583588&Signature=Rs74RmrMt35ItHZ7PESzqXcZrqrq%3D (last visited July 29, 2014).

park.”³⁷ However, in the realm of the far-left environmental movement, fiscal sponsorship arrangements are far from temporary and usually around for several years or more. One fiscal sponsorship arrangement has existed for over 23 years, and the sponsored entity has indicated no plans to properly establish its own nonprofit status.³⁸

This report focuses on fiscal sponsors including the Tides Foundation, the Sustainable Markets Foundation and Virginia Organizing. A prominent example of a fiscal sponsor relationship is illustrated by the Sustainable Markets Foundation (SMF) sponsorship of 350.org. Started by environmental activist Bill McKibben in 2008,³⁹ 350.org is based in Washington, DC⁴⁰ and Brooklyn, New York.⁴¹ In a 2010 interview, McKibben referred to the state of 350.org during the preceding year as a “scruffy little outfit” with “almost no money.”⁴² Yet, 1sky.org, 350.org’s precursor, reported expenses of over \$2.6 million between October 1, 2008, and September 30, 2009, and net assets of over \$2.1 million.⁴³ By 2012, 350.org disclosed expenses of over \$2.8 million and net assets of over \$3 million.⁴⁴ Between 2011 and 2014, 350.org separately collected hundreds of thousands of dollars from the Park Foundation,⁴⁵ Rockefeller Brothers Foundation,⁴⁶ Tides Foundation,⁴⁷ Marisla Foundation,⁴⁸ ClimateWorks Foundation⁴⁹ and Rockefeller Family Foundation⁵⁰ – through grants to SMF. Accordingly, this is hardly the type of temporary fundraising relationship envisioned by the IRS when it drafted the revenue ruling.

Understanding the scope and limits of a 501(c)(3) private foundation and public charity is essential to understanding how the Billionaire’s Club and far-left environmental organizations operate, interact, and how their actions may impermissibly exceed the scope of their charitable designation.

b. The 501 (c)(4)

If a member of the Billionaire’s Club wishes to sponsor political activities and engage in a more aggressive lobbying campaign, he or she can anonymously fund a 501(c)(4) organization.⁵¹ Importantly, when donations made to a 501(c)(4) are not disclosed and used for

³⁷ *Id.*

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ *What We Do*, 350.ORG, <http://350.org/about/what-we-do> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁴⁰ 350.org, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴¹ *Contact*, 350.ORG, <http://350.org/about/contact> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁴² Vivian Krause, *Rockefellers behind ‘scruffy little outfit’*, FINANCIAL POST (Feb. 14, 2013, 7:16 PM), http://opinion.financialpost.com/2013/02/14/rockefellers-behind-scruffy-little-outfit/?__federated=1.

⁴³ 350.org, IRS Form 990, 2008.

⁴⁴ 350.org IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁵ Park Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁴⁶ Rockefeller Bros. Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁴⁷ Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁴⁸ Marisla Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁴⁹ ClimateWorks Found., IRS Form 990, 2010.

⁵⁰ Rockefeller Family Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁵¹ *Exempt Organizations Annual Reporting Requirements – Public Disclosure and Availability of Exempt Organizations Returns and Applications*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, July 11, 2013, http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/eo_disclosure_faqs.pdf.

political purposes, it is referred to as “dark money.”⁵² Similar to a 501(c)(3), a 501(c)(4) is not organized for profit.⁵³ Donations to a 501(c)(4) are not tax deductible; however, 501(c)(4) revenue is exempt from federal income tax, as well as state franchise taxes and other expenses.⁵⁴

Under the tax code, a 501(c)(4) is designated as a “social welfare” organization, which means it must operate exclusively to “further the common good and general welfare of the people of the community (such as by bringing about civic betterment and social improvements).”⁵⁵ A 501(c)(4) may engage in lobbying as its primary purpose without jeopardizing its exempt status.⁵⁶ Non-social welfare activities, such as political activity, are permissible, though limited.⁵⁷ The IRS permits a 501(c)(4) to dedicate up to 50% of its funds towards political activities and still maintain its beneficial tax status.⁵⁸ Political activities are those activities conducted to influence an election, selection, nomination, or appointment of any individual to public office.

Nearly all of the public charities discussed in this report have an affiliated 501(c)(4) that engages in activities designed to influence elections and have no restrictions on their lobbying efforts. The funding of a 501(c)(4) by a 501(c)(3) affiliate is provocative in light of the restrictions the law places on public charities from participating in any political campaigning, either directly or indirectly, while permitting a 501(c)(4) to significantly engage in campaign activities.⁵⁹ The law requires that to the extent a 501(c)(3) funds a 501(c)(4), the donated funds must be earmarked for charitable activities.⁶⁰ The 501(c)(3) assumes financial oversight responsibilities to ensure the donation is only spent on activities the 501(c)(3) can undertake.⁶¹

While the law requires a clear separation between the activities of the 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4),⁶² evidence suggests that in numerous instances, a 501(c)(3) and an affiliated 501(c)(4) share the same space, staff and board members.⁶³ According to attorneys in the nonprofit field,

⁵² About.com, *What is Dark Money*, <http://uspolitics.about.com/od/Money-In-Politics/a/What-Is-Dark-Money.htm> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³ IRS, *Social Welfare Organizations*, <http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Other-Non-Profits/Social-Welfare-Organizations> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁴ *Lobbying & 501(c)(4) Primer*, HURWIT & ASSOCIATES, http://www.hurwitassociates.com/p_1_lobby_primer.pdf (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁵⁵ *Social Welfare Organizations*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, <http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Other-Non-Profits/Social-Welfare-Organizations> (last updated Mar. 6, 2014).

⁵⁶ B. Holly Schadler, *The Connection: Strategies for Creating and Operating 501(c)(3)s, 501(c)(4)s and Political Organizations* (3rd ed. 2012), BOLDER ADVOCACY 35, http://www.bolderadvocacy.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/The_Connection.pdf (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁵⁷ Erika K. Lunder, *501(c)(4)s and Campaign Activity: How Much Is Too Much?*, CONGRESSIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE, Sept. 17, 2012, <http://www.crs.gov/LegalSidebar/details.aspx?ID=168&Source=search> (last visited July 27, 2014).

⁵⁸ John F. Reilly & Barbara A. Braig Allen, *Political Campaign and Lobbying Activities of IRC 501(c)(4), (c)(5), and (c)(6) Organizations*, INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-tege/eotopic103.pdf> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁵⁹ Internal Revenue Code, 26 USC 501(c)(3) - “absolutely prohibited from directly or indirectly participating in, or intervening in, any political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) any candidate for elective public office”

⁶⁰ Schadler, *supra* note 56, at 41.

⁶¹ Schadler, *supra* note 56, at 41.

⁶² Schadler, *supra* note 56, at 36.

⁶³ *Lobbying & 501(c)(4) Primer*, *supra* note 54.

“[a]s a practical matter, many smaller and medium sized organizations utilizing this combined structure try to limit the use of the 501(c)(4) in terms of revenues, staff time, and expenses. This allows organizations to take greatest advantage of favorable 501(c)(3) charitable contribution deductions, sales tax exemptions, and postal rates.”⁶⁴ Because all money is fungible, it appears that some money funneled through a 501(c)(3), benefiting from favorable tax treatment, could indirectly support political activities carried on by a 501(c)(4).

While the critical 2010 *Citizens United* ruling by the U.S. Supreme Court struck down restrictions on nonprofits’ spending of general treasury funds for independent public communications that “expressly advocate” for a federal candidate and “electioneering communications,”⁶⁵ the Court’s decision did not affect the IRS limits on 501(c)(4) political activities.⁶⁶

Comparison of Nonprofit Tax-Exempt Organizations		
501c3		501c4
Donations tax deductible		Donations generally not tax deductible; revenue exempt from federal income taxes
No more than 10% of resources for lobbying activities		No lobbying limits
Prohibited from engaging, directly or indirectly, in political activities except for nonpartisan voter education		Permitted to conduct partisan political activities as long as it’s not the group’s primary purpose
Can donate to 501c3 and 501c4 groups with limits (only for c3 activities)		Can donate to 501c3 and 501c4 groups
Must disclose donations exceeding \$5,000 on Form 990		Must disclose donations exceeding \$5,000 on Form 990
Public Charities	Private Foundations	
Not required to publically disclose donors on annual IRS Form 990	Must publically disclose donors on annual IRS Form 990	Not required to publically disclose donors on annual IRS Form 990
1/3 of donations must come from public (only \$5,000 of donation counts towards 1/3 requirement); 100% of government grants count towards that requirement	Predominantly funded by one family or by a small group of people; no public funding requirement	
Donor tax break up to 50% of adjusted gross income	Donor tax break up to 30% of adjusted gross income	

⁶⁴ *Id.*

⁶⁵ [A] *Id.*

[B] *Citizens United v. Fed. Election Comm’n*, 558 U.S. 310 (2010).

⁶⁶ Schadler, *supra* note 56.

II. The Billionaire's Club: Leveraging their Investment

When a member of the Billionaire's Club donates to a 501(c)(3), that transaction must be disclosed on the IRS Form-990. Accordingly, the Committee conducted a meticulous review of these public filings to follow the money. That money trail starts with a select group of the most active environmental foundations, flows through intermediary public charities referred to as pass-throughs and fiscal sponsors, and ends up within the coffers of activist groups who enact the proscribed agenda. A close knit network deeply entrenched in the far-left environmental movement facilitate the whole process. This section reveals this network and explains the role grant receiving activists play.

a. The Ties that Bind: Key Relationships Between Facilitators, Donors, and Activists

Members of the Billionaire's Club put a premium on access to the complex environmental infrastructure that has evolved to leverage substantial assets towards achieving defined policy outcomes. The Billionaire's Club needs this infrastructure to execute a centralized political strategy, and obtain a return on their investment. As a result, several models have developed to respond to this specific demand. One of the central planners of environmental strategy is the Environmental Grantmakers Association (EGA), but they are not the only force out there. The Democracy Alliance executes a complimentary but larger-scaled effort to funnel foundation funds into far-left political outcomes, which encompasses the same desired environmental policies as EGA. Finally, the Divest/Invest movement employs moral sentiments to pressure like-minded foundations to divest from fossil fuels, and invest in charity or renewable projects. Each of these groups has directed foundation dollars towards a specific and coordinated political agenda, which is then executed by environmental activists and so-called grassroots organizers.

i. *Environmental Grantmakers Association: Where the Liberal Elite Meet and Mingle*

According to its website, EGA has nearly 200 members and “works with members and partners to promote effective environmental philanthropy by sharing knowledge, fostering debate, cultivating leadership, facilitating collaboration, and catalyzing action.”⁶⁷ In 2011, EGA member organizations collectively donated approximately \$1.13 billion, or 40% of all foundation giving, to environmental causes.⁶⁸ EGA is a very secretive organization, withholding its membership list from the public. In fact, in response to a request from the Committee, EGA even refused to disclose their membership list to Congress.⁶⁹

⁶⁷ ENVIRONMENTAL GRANTMAKERS ASSOCIATION, <http://www.ega.org> (last visited July 24, 2014) and About, ENVIRONMENTAL GRANTMAKERS ASSOCIATION, <http://www.ega.org/about> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁶⁸ Franny C. Canfield & Maud Henderson, *Tracking the Field: Analyzing Trends in Environmental Grantmaking*, ENVIRONMENTAL GRANTMAKERS ASSOCIATION, http://ega.org/sites/default/files/pubs/summaries/EGA_TTF_v4_ExecSummary_Final.pdf (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁶⁹ Letter from Rachel Leon, Exec. Dir., Env't'l Grantmakers Assoc., to Rep. Staff, S. Comm. on Env't & Public Works (July 22, 2014).

“While we want to be helpful and be prompt in our reply to you and your committee, our organization does not post its members list on our website or share its member list externally.”

-Rachel Leon, Executive Director of EGA to EPW Committee Staff

According to Ron Arnold, a prolific author who has written extensively on how the far-left environmental funders operate, distribute money, and influence policy, he argues that the EGA is “command central of the environmental movement.”⁷⁰ By deciding which organizations get money, the grantmakers “driv[e] their own agenda with selective grants.”⁷¹ A transcript of an early EGA strategy meeting contains a conversation about how funders can reorganize the environmental movement. Donald Ross, who at the time represented the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, argued that funders should craft a “task force approach” to allocate resources.

Funders can play a role in using money to drive, to create, ad hoc efforts, in many cases that will have a litigation component coming from one group, a lobbying component coming from another group, a grass roots component organizing component from yet a third group with a structure that enables them to function well.⁷²

For institutions adopting prescriptive grantmaking “the motto is, don’t show up without an invitation.”⁷³ The Rockefeller Brothers Fund (RBF) is a good example of prescriptive grantmaking used to drive an identified agenda. RBF warn prospective applicants that: “While the Fund remains open to unsolicited requests, applicants should be aware that the likelihood of an unsolicited request becoming a grant is low.”⁷⁴

The RBF is by no means the only foundation who refuses to consider uninvited applicants. The Schmidt Family Foundation also warns prospective grant applicants that, “all of the Foundation's grantmaking is now done on a strictly invitational basis and we will not review proposals received either in the mail or to our email inbox. We proactively seek new partnerships based on our program area strategies.”⁷⁵ Similarly the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation bluntly states:

Almost all grants are awarded to organizations identified by the Foundation. The Foundation does accept unsolicited Letters of Inquiry from organizations looking for funding in limited areas. Only on very rare occasions are grants awarded in response to these unsolicited funding inquiries.⁷⁶

⁷⁰ Ron Arnold, *UNDUE INFLUENCE: WEALTHY FOUNDATIONS, GRANT-DRIVEN ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS, AND ZEALOUS BUREAUCRATS THAT CONTROL YOUR FUTURE* (1991), p. 71.

⁷¹ *Id.* at 72.

⁷² *Id.* at 74.

⁷³ *Id.* at 71.

⁷⁴ ROCKEFELLERS BROS. FUND, *Before You Apply*, <http://www.rbf.org/content/before-you-apply> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁷⁵ *Grantees*, 11TH HOUR PROJECT, <http://www.11thhourproject.org/grantees> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁷⁶ *Grantseekers*, THE WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION, <http://www.hewlett.org/grants/grantseekers> (last visited July 24, 2014).

The prescriptive grants awarded by EGA members specify how recipients must use the funds. This allows the Billionaire’s Club to engage in a defined transaction so they know in advance what services to expect for their money. As such, environmental groups that heavily rely on foundation funds to comprise a substantial portion of their budgets begin to look much more like private contractors buying and selling a service rather than benevolent nonprofits seeking to carry out charitable acts.

Top 10 EGA Donors to Environmental Causes in 2011

Foundation	Total Dollars Awarded	No. of Grants
Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation	\$134,438,760	251
David and Lucile Packard Foundation	\$121,016,258	207
Walton Family Foundation, Inc.	\$76,218,045	105
William and Flora Hewlett Foundation	\$53,439,469	115
Rockefeller Foundation	\$43,809,793	117
Sea Change Foundation	\$43,149,911	42
Richard King Mellon Foundation	\$29,080,000	41
Robertson Foundation	\$28,507,000	16
John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation	\$24,204,500	60
Ford Foundation	\$23,922,840	108
Total:	\$577,786,576	1,034

ii. Democracy Alliance: Advancing the Progressive Agenda by Promoting the Far-Left Environmental Agenda

The EGA is unquestionably the funding epicenter of the environmental movement; however, other groups have emerged to augment their efforts. The Democracy Alliance (DA) adopted many of the lessons learned by EGA and works to create an all-encompassing far-left infrastructure to support affiliated and approved groups. According to DA, it connects wealthy donors, other similarly minded donors, “high impact organizations,” and political leaders.⁷⁷ In fact, DA boasts that it is the “largest convener of progressive individuals and institutional donors” and serves as a “center of gravity” for the far-left funding world.⁷⁸

Members of DA pay \$30,000 in dues and pledge to contribute at least \$200,000 to groups DA supports.⁷⁹ In exchange, DA gives clients “professional recommendations” on progressive philanthropy, as well as “invitations to exclusive events and conference calls, regular communications, such as updates on important issues and the work of recommended organizations and quarterly newsletters and access to [a] members-only community website and comprehensive Partner Directory.”⁸⁰

⁷⁷ *Community. Strategy. Investment. Impact.*, DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE, <http://www.democracyalliance.org> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁷⁸ Memo from Stephanie Mueller to DA Board of Directors RE: DA Spring Conference Messaging Q and A, April 22, 2014

⁷⁹ Lachlan Markay, *EXCLUSIVE: Democracy Alliance Network Revealed*, THE WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, May 19, 2014, <http://freebeacon.com/politics/exclusive-democracy-alliance-network-revealed/>

⁸⁰ *Membership*, DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE, <http://www.democracyalliance.org/membership> (last visited July 24, 2014).

In a spring 2014 publication, DA claims to make investment recommendations to wealthy donors to advance and protect the interests of “lower and middle-income Americans” in the political system.⁸¹ Yet, in doing so, this group caters to millionaires and billionaires, while ironically suggesting the “flood of special interest money in our political system continues unabated” without acknowledging the hypocrisy in its efforts to funnel money to activists groups

Democracy Alliance does not disclose the details of any transaction it facilitates, and its members and donor-recipients cannot speak publically about the organization.

attacking affordable energy, and undermining the very people they claim to protect.⁸²

Further, DA flouts transparency and public participation as the group emphasizes secrecy in all its operations. It does not disclose the details of any transaction it facilitates, and its members and donor-recipients cannot speak publically about the organization.⁸³ While DA does not disclose transactions it facilitates, it is likely not a coincidence

that two groups highlighted in its 2014 publication - the Center for American Progress (CAP) and Media Matters - received vast sums of money from the same foundations supporting the far-left environmental movement. CAP is an organization dedicated to increasing government control. It was co-founded by John Podesta, the current senior climate advisor to President Obama and former President Bill Clinton’s Chief of Staff, along with Herbert Sandler, who recently contributed \$1 million to Tom Steyer’s NextGen Climate Action Committee.⁸⁴ Notably, Sandler is the Founding Chairman of far-left media outlet ProPublica, and currently serves on its Board of Directors with Steyer’s wife, Kat Taylor.⁸⁵

Between 2010 and 2013, Sea Change Foundation, Wallace Global Fund, Energy Foundation, Rockefeller Family Fund, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Tides Foundation, and the Marisla Foundation donated over \$7 million to CAP.⁸⁶ Notably, in 2009, a Bermuda-based group, The Atlantic Philanthropies, gave CAP a \$500,000 grant, “To support a joint project with Media Matters Action Network.”⁸⁷ Media Matters is a clearly liberal media group that advertises itself as “dedicated to comprehensively monitoring, analyzing, and correcting

“Many of our donors choose not to participate publicly, and we respect that. The DA exists to provide a comfortable environment for our partners to collectively make a real impact.”
-Democracy Alliance Board of Directors

⁸¹ DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE, SPRING 2014 DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE INVESTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS (2014), available at <https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/1202744-da-portfolio2012-2014-042714.html#document/p1> (last accessed July 25, 2014).

⁸² *Id.*

⁸³ Lachlan Markay, *Read the Confidential Document Left Behind at the Democracy Alliance Meeting*, THE WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, (May 5, 2014, 1:00 PM), <http://freebeacon.com/politics/jonathan-soros-left-a-confidential-document-at-his-donor-conference> (last visited July 25, 2014).

⁸⁴ Greg Giroux, *Steyer Cuts \$2 Million for Climate, Mercer Aids Tea Party*, BLOOMBERG, July 21, 2014, <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2014-07-21/steyer-cuts-2-million-for-climate-mercer-aids-tea-party.html>.

⁸⁵ <http://www.propublica.org/about/leadership/>

⁸⁶ SeaChange Found., Wallace Global Fund, Energy Found., Rockefeller Family Fund, Rockefeller Bros. Fund, Tides Found., Marisla Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁸⁷ Atlantic Philanthropies, IRS Form 990, 2009.

One of DA’s “progressive victories” included “a series of executive actions to combat the threat of climate change...made possible by a well-aligned network of organizations – collaborating with the greater progressive infrastructure – that drives change by ...communicating policies to key constituencies.”

-Spring 2014 Democracy Alliance Investment Recommendations

conservative misinformation in the U.S. media.”⁸⁸ Members of the Billionaire’s Club, including Wallace Global Fund, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Park Foundation, Tides Foundation and Marisla Foundations, have donated over \$1.8 million to Media Matters between 2010 and 2013.⁸⁹ David Brock founded Media Matters in 2004 and currently serves as the group’s president as well as president of the far-left media outlet, The American Independent Institute.⁹⁰ Brock also sits on the board of Priorities USA, another group DA steers money towards.⁹¹

In addition to groups such as CAP and Media Matters, DA created a progressive infrastructure map including several environmental groups discussed in

this report.⁹² Many of the groups recommended to the ‘investors’ and “vetted by Investment Services Staff”⁹³ lead the environmental movement and are already heavily funded by EGA members. For instance, DA seeks to steer money towards 350.org, BlueGreen Alliance, the League of Conservation Voters (LCV), Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Sierra Club, and U.S. Public Interest Research Groups (PIRGs).

These environmental groups are well aligned with the greater far-left agenda. Indeed, one of DA’s “progressive victories” included “a series of executive actions to combat the threat of climate change...made possible by a well-aligned network of organizations – collaborating with the greater progressive infrastructure – that drives change by ...communicating policies to key constituencies.”⁹⁴

⁸⁸ *About Us*, MEDIA MATTERS FOR AMERICA, <http://mediamatters.org/about> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁸⁹ Wallace Global Fund, Rockefeller Bros. Fund, Park Found., Tides Found., Marisla Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

⁹⁰ Nick Massella, Media Matters for America Founder David Brock Relaunches American Independent Institute, MEDIABISTRO, June 20, 2014, http://www.mediabistro.com/fishbowldc/media-matters-for-america-founder-david-brock-relaunches-american-independent-institute_b128302.


⁹¹ Amy Chozick, *Once an Enemy of Bill, Now a Friend of Hillary*, NEW YORK TIMES, Mar. 25, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/03/26/us/politics/once-intent-on-bringing-down-a-clinton-now-raising-up-another.html?_r=0.

⁹² DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE, SPRING 2014 DEMOCRACY ALLIANCE INVESTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS (2014), at 54, available at <https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/1202744-da-portfolio2012-2014-042714.html#document/p1> (last visited July 25, 2014).

⁹³ *Id.* at 53.

⁹⁴ *Id.* at 5.

Reprint of Democracy Alliance Progressive Infrastructure Map Spring 2014 with Key Environmental Groups Highlighted



PROGRESSIVE INFRASTRUCTURE MAP SPRING 2014



iii. *Divest/Invest: The Moral Crusaders*

The divesting in fossil fuels and investing in philanthropy (Divest/Invest) movement follows a slightly different model, but involves many of the same players. Essentially, Divest/Invest defines the fossil fuel industry as a moral pariah.⁹⁵ This group attempts to evoke the moral stance associated with the anti-Apartheid movement in South Africa in the 1980's and depicts the effort to divest in fossil fuel as a moral imperative.⁹⁶ In doing so, this group opposes a tool that would help to secure the goal of economic opportunity for Africans that Mandela fought for.⁹⁷

Originally, Bill McKibben, the founder and President of 350.org started the movement through the 2013 “Do the Math” tour.⁹⁸ According to an article in *Rolling Stone* magazine, McKibben further argued that foundations should divest from fossil fuels because the industry's business plan to market its existing reserves contradicts the far-left efforts on climate change.⁹⁹ Moreover, he urged that these funds go towards “climate solutions” and so-called renewable projects.¹⁰⁰ The goal of these efforts has been clearly articulated by Nat Simons, President of Sea Change Foundation:

To get it done, quickly, is going to take a Herculean effort from all sides. Because it's not really a question of whether we move to a low carbon economy. I think it's clear we're moving there...the question is how quickly. The role of philanthropy is really to facilitate that process... It's not going to be ramming something down the throats of certain people. We know that that's not going to work. We've seen that, we've watched that movie before. We know it's not going to happen. We can't take this momentum and let it stall. So philanthropists, foundations, they have a huge responsibility.¹⁰¹

Following McKibben's efforts, in January 2014, Dr. Ellen Dorsey, Executive Director of the Wallace Global Fund, launched the initiative, Divest-Invest Philanthropy.¹⁰² She previously worked with the Heinz endowments and sat on the board of Amnesty International.¹⁰³ The Wallace Family Fund has given to many environmental groups highlighted in this report, including 350.org, Sustainable Markets Foundation, Center for American Progress, Center for Biological Diversity, Union of Concerned Scientists, the Tides Center, Sierra Club, Virginia

⁹⁵ *Why Divest?*, DIVEST-INVEST, <http://divestinvest.org/why-divest> (last visited July 25, 2014).

⁹⁶ *Id.*

⁹⁷ Ron Arnold, *Electricity for Africa initiative could help make 'High-Energy Planet' vision a reality*, WASHINGTON EXAMINER, July 22, 2014, 5:00 PM, <http://m.washingtonexaminer.com/electricity-for-africa-initiative-could-help-make-high-energy-planet-vision-a-reality/article/2551141> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁹⁸ 350.org, *Do the Math*, <http://math.350.org/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁹⁹ Bill McKibben, *The Case for Fossil Fuel Divestment*, ROLLING STONE, Feb. 22, 2013, <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/the-case-for-fossil-fuel-divestment-20130222> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁰⁰ *Id.*

¹⁰¹ Nat Simons, Remarks at National Clean Energy Project Roundtable, C-SPAN, Feb. 23, 2009, <http://www.c-span.org/video/?284239-1/national-clean-energy-project>.

¹⁰² Ellen Dorsey and Richard Mott, *Philanthropy Rises to the Fossil Fuel Divest-Invest Challenge*, HUFFINGTON POST, Jan. 30, 2014, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/ellen-dorsey/philanthropy-rises-to-the_b_4690774.html.

¹⁰³ *About Us: Who We Are, Board of Directors, Dr. Ellen Dorsey*, U.S. HUMAN RIGHTS NETWORK, <http://www.ushrnetwork.org/about-us/who-we-are/board/dr-ellen-dorsey> (last visited July 25, 2014).

Organizing, Greenpeace, Media Matters, Earth Justice, and the Environmental Integrity Project.¹⁰⁴ Currently, seventeen foundations with a combined asset base of \$1.8 billion,¹⁰⁵ including the Wallace Global Fund, Park Foundation, the Schmidt Family Foundation, and the Sierra Club Foundation have joined the Divest-Invest Philanthropy effort.¹⁰⁶

b. The Facilitators: Key Environmental Activists

Just as the Environmental Grantmakers Association, Democracy Alliance, and others have forged close relationships in order to attract attention of investors, so too have individuals. The Committee has discovered a narrow set of individuals whose careers are part of the fabric of the far-left environmental movement. These individuals exercise outsized influence regarding the distribution of funds. Some of these individuals include: Donald Ross, Hal Harvey, Mark Burget, Charlotte Pera, Larry Kramer, William Reilly, and Jay Halfon. Each individual has long employment histories at private foundations, public charities and activist groups at the forefront of the far-left environmental movement. Today, they serve as coordinators and intermediaries between the private foundations and the activist groups.

Donald Ross began his career as an attorney working for Ralph Nader,¹⁰⁷ and is currently the principal/founding partner of M+R Strategic Services, whose clients include some of the lead environmental groups and foundations including: Environmental Defense Fund, Earthjustice, Hewlett Foundation, League of Conservation Voters, Marisla Foundation, NRDC, The Nature Conservancy, National Wildlife Federation, Rockefeller Brothers Foundation, Rockefeller Family Fund, UCS, WWF, and the Wallace Global Fund.¹⁰⁸ He served on the Board of the LCV Education Fund in 2012¹⁰⁹ and as the chairman of the Board for Greenpeace from 2002 to 2010.¹¹⁰ He previously served as the director of the Rockefeller Family Fund,¹¹¹ as well as founder and Executive Director of the NY-PIRG.¹¹²

Hal Harvey connects the Hewlett Foundation, the Energy Foundation and the ClimateWorks Foundation. He currently serves as CEO of Energy Innovation, an energy and environmental policy firm, and most recently assisted in the public release of the highly criticized¹¹³ Risky Business Project, co-Chaired by Henry Paulson, Michael Bloomberg, and

¹⁰⁴ Wallace Global Fund, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

¹⁰⁵ Justin Doom, *Foundations with \$1.8 Billion Vow Fossil-Fuel Divestment*, BLOOMBERG (Jan. 30, 2014, 2:53 PM), <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2014-01-30/foundations-with-1-8-billion-vow-fossil-fuel-divestment.html>.

¹⁰⁶ *Philanthropy*, DIVEST-INVEST, <http://divestinvest.org/philanthropy> (last visited July 24, 2014).

¹⁰⁷ *Donald K. Ross*, SOURCEWATCH, http://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php?title=Donald_K._Ross (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁰⁸ SourceWatch, M&R Strategic Services, http://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php?title=M%2BR_Strategic_Services, (last visited July 24, 2014).

¹⁰⁹ *League of Conservation Voters Education Fund*, GUIDESTAR, <http://www.guidestar.org/ViewPdf.aspx?PdfSource=0&ein=52-1379661> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹⁰ Will Evans, *Profile: League of Conservation Voters*, NPR, Sept. 9, 2008, <http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=94411562> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹¹ *Donald K. Ross*, SOURCEWATCH, http://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php?title=Donald_K._Ross (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹² *Id.*

¹¹³ Risky Business Project, *Understanding Climate Risk with Hal Harvey*, <http://riskybusiness.org/report/overview/understanding-risk> (last visited July 28, 2014).

Tom Steyer.¹¹⁴ Paulson is a former Secretary of the Treasury and current Chairman of the Paulson Institute,¹¹⁵ which advocates for environmental protection in the United States and China.¹¹⁶ Michael Bloomberg is founder of Bloomberg LP and served three terms as Mayor of New York City.¹¹⁷ The main sponsors of the project were Bloomberg Philanthropies, the Office of Hank Paulson, the Rockefeller Family Fund and the TomKat Charitable Trust.¹¹⁸ Harvey's relationship with Paulson dates back at least to May 2012, when Harvey served as a Senior Fellow at the Paulson Institute, though it likely goes back much further as Wendy Paulson, Hank Paulson's wife, is active in the environmental sphere as she served on the board of The Nature Conservancy (TNC).¹¹⁹

Before his collaborative project with Paulson, Bloomberg and Steyer, Harvey was founder and CEO of ClimateWorks Foundation from 2008 to 2011, and served as the founder and President of the Energy Foundation from 1991 to 2002. From January 2002 through January 2008, he was the Environment Program Director of the Hewlett Foundation.¹²⁰ Harvey's successor at ClimateWorks was Mark Burget, the former Chief Conservation Programs Officer at TNC.¹²¹ Burget has since returned to TNC as the Executive Vice President and North American Regional Director, and also currently serves on the Board of Directors at the Energy Foundation.¹²² Burget was replaced by Charlotte Pera, who was formerly the Senior Vice President and Director of US Programs at the Energy Foundation.¹²³ Larry Kramer is also connected to this network as the current president of the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, as well as serving on the board of ClimateWorks Foundation.¹²⁴



President Obama and William Reilly¹²⁵

¹¹⁴ RISKY BUSINESS, A CLIMATE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES (June 2014), http://riskybusiness.org/uploads/files/RiskyBusiness_Report_WEB_7_22_14.pdf (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹⁵ *Wendy Paulson*, ASPEN IDEAS FESTIVAL, <http://www.aspenideas.org/speaker/wendy-paulson> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹⁶ *About Us*, THE PAULSON INSTITUTE, <http://www.paulsoninstitute.org/about-us> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹⁷ *Co-Chairs*, RISKY BUSINESS, <http://riskybusiness.org/about/cochairs> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹⁸ RISKY BUSINESS, A CLIMATE RISK ASSESSMENT FOR THE UNITED STATES (June 2014), http://riskybusiness.org/uploads/files/RiskyBusiness_Report_WEB_7_22_14.pdf (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹¹⁹ *LCV Political Engagement Fund*, CAMPAIGN MONEY, <http://www.campaignmoney.com/political/527/league-of-conservation-voters-political-engagement-fund.asp?spg=9> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹²⁰ Institute for New Economic Thinking, Hal Harvey, <http://ineteconomics.org/people/hal-harvey> (last visited July 28, 2014).

¹²¹ *Conservation: Mark Burget Leaves TNC for Climate Works*, THE GREEN SKEPTIC (July 29, 2008), <http://www.thegreenskeptic.com/2008/07/conservation-mark-burget-leaves-tnc-for.html> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹²² *Board Members: Mark Burget*, ENERGY FOUNDATION, <http://www.ef.org/board/mark-burget> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹²³ *Our Staff*, CLIMATEWORKS FOUNDATION, <http://www.climateworks.org/about/staff> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹²⁴ *Our Board*, CLIMATEWORKS FOUNDATION, <http://www.climateworks.org/about/board/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

William Reilly is another person with close connections to these individuals and organizations. Before his appointment to serve as EPA Administrator in 1989, Reilly was the President of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF). He returned to WWF in 1993 after his tenure at EPA, and is currently Chairman Emeritus of the Board of WWF. He is also Chairman Emeritus of the Board of ClimateWorks Foundation, director of the David and Lucille Packard Foundation, and is on the Advisory Board of the Nicholas Institute for Environment Policy Solutions at Duke University.¹²⁶

Jay Halfon is another pivotal player that has emerged in the environmental movement through his connections in New York. Halfon is currently on the Board of Directors for the Park Foundation, Earthworks, Sustainable Markets Foundation (SMF), and 350.org.¹²⁷ Interestingly, Halfon does not even list his affiliation to SMF on his 350.org biography; yet Park Foundation heavily funds SMF and SMF is a “fiscal sponsor” of 350.org.¹²⁸ Notably, the President of 350.org, Bill McKibben, is a close friend of Tom Steyer. In 2012, McKibben and Steyer hiked through the Adirondack Mountains, where the two men bonded and McKibben encouraged Steyer to become active in environmental causes, including opposition to the Keystone XL pipeline.¹²⁹



Jay Halfon (left) & Donald Ross (right)¹³⁰

Previously, Halfon served as Executive Director of Donald Ross’s NY-PIRG. PIRGs play a central role in the environmental movement as a meeting ground for trial attorneys and radical activists to coordinate a faux grassroots campaign. SMF has close ties to PIRGs and provides significant funding to PIRG chapters.¹³¹ Including Halfon, three of the four officers of the SMF previously worked for PIRG: the President of SMF, Elizabeth Hitchcock, served as Communications Director for US PIRGs, and Secretary and Treasurer of SMF, Geoff Boehm, was Program Director and Senior Attorney for NY-PIRG.

¹²⁵ Barack Obama and William Reilly, *Zimbio*, <http://www.zimbio.com/photos/Barack+Obama/William+Reilly/President+Obama+Delivers+Address+Rose+Garden/6Xe11GdQ7rb> (last visited July 28, 2014).

¹²⁶ *Leadership: William K. Reilly*, WORLD WILDLIFE FUND, <http://www.worldwildlife.org/leaders/william-k-reilly> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹²⁷ SourceWatch, Jay R. Halfon, http://www.sourcewatch.org/index.php/Jay_R._Halfon (last viewed July 25, 2014).

¹²⁸ Park Found. IRS Form 990s, 2010-2012.

¹²⁹ Carol Leonnig, Tom Hamburger and Rosalind Helderman, *Tom Steyer’s Slow, and ongoing, conversion from fossil-fuels investor to climate activist*, THE WASHINGTON POST (June 9, 2014), http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/tom-steyers-slow-and-ongoing-conversion-from-fossil-fuels-investor-to-climate-activist/2014/06/08/6478da2e-ea68-11e3-b98c-72cef4a00499_story.html (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹³⁰ *NYPIRG Straphandlers Campaign: 25 Years of Transit Advocacy*, <http://www.straphangers.org/25th/photos/> (last visited July 29, 2014).

¹³¹ SMF disbursed NY PIRG \$180,000 in 2010 & \$75,000 in 2011, and VT Public Interest Research & Education Fund (VT PIRG) \$50,000 in 2011; Sustainable Markets Found. IRS Form 990, 2010 & 2011.

c. The Face of the Environmental Movement: Public Charity Activists

The ultimate recipients of donations from the Billionaire’s Club include far-left environmental public charities. While a willing and knowledgeable partner to the Billionaire’s Club, these entities propagate the false notion that they are independent, citizen-funded groups working altruistically. In reality, they work in tandem with wealthy donors to maximize the value of the donors’ tax deductible donations and leverage their combined resources to influence elections and policy outcomes, with a focus on the EPA.

Environmental activists work in tandem with wealthy donors to maximize the value of the donors’ tax deductible donations and leverage their combined resources to influence elections and policy outcomes.

Primarily, the public charity serves as the face of the environmental movement. For example, the National Resources Defense Council (NRDC) brags that: “We work with those who would help us move to a sustainable future and we sue those who poison our people or lands.”¹³² The Union of

Concerned (UCS) claims it “stands out among nonprofit organizations as the reliable source for independent scientific analysis.”¹³³ The iconic panda logo has made the World Wildlife Fund (WWF) instantly recognizable to many people around the world, and boasts the noble goal of working to preserve nature and its creatures.¹³⁴



World Wildlife Fund Headquarters – Ziest, Netherlands¹³⁵

The notion that far-left environmental charities fight for the interest of the common man is encapsulated in a video promoted by 350.org. This video, which articulates their fight against capitalism, argues that the “extractive economy,” harms both the environment and the common

¹³² *How We Protected Your Health and Environment in 2012*, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, <http://www.nrdc.org/about/victories.asp> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹³³ *About Us: Independent Science*, UNION OF CONCERNED SCIENTISTS, <http://www.ucsusa.org/about> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹³⁴ *About Us*, WORLD WILDLIFE FUND, <http://www.worldwildlife.org/about> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹³⁵ *Go 100% Renewable Energy*, WWF Headquarters, http://www.go100percent.org/cms/index.php?id=70&tx_ttnews%5Btt_news%5D=58 (last visited July 29, 2014).

“The new economy has to step away, and then push back at those old pillars, because it has to be a new economy that is about sustainable resources, that puts people before profit, that puts planet before profit.”

-350.org-supported video

man: “The new economy has to step away, and then push back at those old pillars, because it has to be a new economy that is about sustainable resources, that puts people before profit, that puts planet before profit.”¹³⁶

Far from their propaganda, these activist groups merely provide a service to wealthy “investors,” who pay a sizable sum for specialized services. The chart below provides additional detail about the amount of funding these groups have received from the Billionaire’s Club.

Billionaire’s Club Funding to Key Environmental Activists (2010-2013)¹³⁷

Organization	Grants Received
American Lung Association	\$4,816,481
BlueGreen Alliance	\$5,280,000
Center for American Progress	\$8,390,861
Earthjustice	\$3,533,683
Environmental Defense Fund	\$53,695,816
Environmental Integrity Project	\$2,098,000
Greenpeace	\$1,980,000
League of Conservation Voters Education Fund	\$13,175,000
National Audubon Society	\$11,192,475
National Wildlife Federation	\$14,490,613
Natural Resources Defense Council	\$25,512,125
Nature Conservancy	\$58,633,374
Sierra Club Foundation	\$17,263,612
Union of Concerned Scientists	\$8,195,448
World Wildlife Fund	\$26,614,320

¹³⁶ Video: How We Live: A Journey Towards a Just Transition (EDGE Funders Alliance, 2014), available at <http://350.org/a-new-economic-paradigm-is-not-only-possible-but-plausible> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹³⁷ Based on available 2010-2013 IRS Form 990’s from the following foundations: ClimateWorks, David and Lucile Packard Foundation, Energy Foundation, Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, Marisla Foundation, Park Foundation, Rockefeller Brothers Foundation, Rockefeller Family Fund, Schmidt Family Foundation, Sea Change Foundation, Tides Center, Tides Foundation, Wallace Global Fund, Walton Family Foundation, and William and Flora Hewlett Foundation.

III. The Bureaucrats: How the Obama EPA is Deeply Intertwined with the Billionaire’s Club and their Far-left Environmental Activists

Federal agencies, which wield significant amounts of delegated power, should be staffed with neutral experts dedicated to serving the public interest. However, recent reports of alleged collusion between environmentalists and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) senior officials have made apparent the Obama Administration’s failure to abide by this most basic principle of executive branch governance. In fact, the Committee has uncovered evidence that proves President Obama and his EPA are pivotal partners in the far-left environmental movement. The Agency’s leadership under President Obama is closely connected with the Billionaire’s Club and their network of activists. These connections provide the Billionaire’s Club with the opportunity to exploit the relationships, and in turn shape public policy and the disposition of government grants. This section reveals that as part of the far-left scheme, the Obama Administration has installed an audacious green-revolving door at EPA, which has become a valuable asset for the environmental movement and its wealthy donors.

a. EPA’s Green Revolving Door

The Committee has obtained emails that amply demonstrate how the environmental movement is deeply plugged into the Obama EPA via operatives who rotate through the Administration. In one shocking example, the Committee learned of an arrangement between the Rockefeller Family Fund (RFF) and EPA where RFF agreed to pay the salary of Shalini Vajjhala, then an employee at the nonprofit organization Resources for the Future, to work at the White House Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).¹³⁸ According to internal EPA documents, this arrangement benefited EPA as Vajjhala would have the opportunity to, “stake our claim there”¹³⁹ – where “our” is the EPA Office of International and Tribal Affairs and “there” refers to the White House.

This arrangement was enthusiastically endorsed by both former EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson, stating “I think it’s a fine idea and can only help EPA in the long run,”¹⁴⁰ and Jackson’s Chief of Staff Diane Thompson, affirming “My thoughts exactly. The more inside connections the better” (*emphasis added*).¹⁴¹ After Vajjhala cycled through the White House and EPA, she returned to the Rockefeller Family Fund and is now founder and CEO of E.invest Initiative, whose portfolio is supported by the Rockefeller funding.¹⁴² Interestingly, Vajjhala is also a contributor to the *Huffington Post*, which is heavily funded by the Park Foundation.¹⁴³

In another outrageous email exchange, former Assistant Administrator for the Office of International and Tribal Affairs, Michelle DePass, and “Richard Windsor,” former Administrator Jackson’s alias, strategize over how best to leverage a public appearance before the Environmental Grantmakers Association (EGA). Reproduced in full, the email exchange states:

¹³⁸ Email from Shalini Vajjhala to Michelle DePass (June 19, 2009; 07:27 AM EST)(On file with Committee)

¹³⁹ Email from Michelle DePass to Richard Windsor (June 19, 2009)(On file with Committee).

¹⁴⁰ *Id.*

¹⁴¹ *Id.*

¹⁴² *Shalini Vajjhala*, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/shalinivajjhala> (last accessed July 25, 2014).

¹⁴³ *Shalini Vajjhala*, HUFFINGTON POST, <http://www.huffingtonpost.com/shalini-vajjhala> (last accessed July 25, 2014).

01268-EPA-1999

LisaP Jackson/DC/USEPA/US
Sent by: Richard Windsor

To Michelle DePass

cc

02/17/2009 02:26 PM

bcc

Subject Re: FW: Lisa Jackson Event around the EGA conference-
Quick Q

I think it's fine.

Michelle DePass Quick Question.. You are confirmed f... 02/17/2009 10:56:07 AM

From: Michelle DePass <(b) (6) Personal Privacy>
To: Richard Windsor/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/17/2009 10:56 AM
Subject: FW: Lisa Jackson Event around the EGA conference- Quick Q

Quick Question..

You are confirmed for the Environment America Foundation Reception next Tuesday at 4:30pm. Any issue with me being on the invite, as I am getting ready to be nominated and all? They asked below.

More background-The reception is held in conjunction with the Environmental Grantmakers Association annual Federal Policy Briefing. I am on the Board of Directors of the Environmental Grantmakers Association (at least until I have to resign at confirmation). So, either way, I will be there.

I don't have to get involved that way and can easily say "no".....but on the other hand, it could be strategic to solidify the connection with the Foundations in that arena, so when I am strategizing with them in the future, they know that it is coming straight from the top at EPA.

Thoughts?
Michelle

In this exchange, top level EPA leadership planned how to use DePass's position on the Board of Directors of EGA, her imminent employment at EPA, and her relationship with Administrator Jackson, to enhance her leverage with EGA. Jackson supported the plan, despite the ease with which DePass could have withdrawn from sponsoring the event to eliminate the conflict of interest. Notably, other sponsors of the event included Jessica Bailey and Michael Northop from the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, as well as Eric Heitz and Charlotte Pera from the Energy Foundation.¹⁴⁴ The Committee uncovered another instance where DePass was taking advantage of her EPA appointment to benefit her environmental colleagues. Specifically, in May 2009 – after her nomination to EPA was announced – DePass emailed Jackson asking her to “do a drive-by” at a Grist event.¹⁴⁵ At the time, DePass was a Grist board member¹⁴⁶ and noted “It is my last Grist function as a board member....but this will be fun... I invited the CEQ posse

¹⁴⁴ Email from Rob Sargent to Michelle DePass (January 26, 2009; 01:53PM EST)(on file with Committee)

¹⁴⁵ Email from Michelle DePass to Richard Windsor (May 27, 2009; 05:05 PM EST) (on file with Committee).

¹⁴⁶ Kate Sheppard, Grist Board Member Appointed to Obama Administration, GRIST, Mar. 19, 2009, <http://grist.org/article/2009-03-grist-board-member-appointed-to/> .

too.”¹⁴⁷ These email exchanges confirm the close relationships between the foundations, environmental activist groups and the Obama Administration.

A review of senior Obama EPA officials also demonstrates that the Agency values and seeks out individuals with ties to large environmental groups for key leadership positions. The groups cultivating the most EPA staff mirror the groups garnering the lion’s share of donations from the Billionaire’s Club. These groups include the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), Sierra Club, Environmental Defense Fund (EDF), Public Interest Research Groups (PIRGs), Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) and Center for American Progress (CAP).

Indeed, the NRDC staff absorbed by the Obama Administration and Capitol Hill Democrats in 2009 was referred to as the “NRDC mafia” because they occupied so many key positions throughout the democratically controlled government.¹⁴⁸ While at EPA, these officials were able to advance their activist agenda, this time with full support of the government.

Former activists have held or are currently holding senior positions throughout the Agency – in its Washington D.C. headquarters and in its ten regional offices across the country. The revolving door includes activists from private foundations as well as public charities. These officials include:

- Bob Perciasepe – Deputy Administrator of the EPA. He was the former Chief Operating Officer of the National Audubon Society. He recently announced his impending departure from the Agency to become President of the Center for Climate and Energy Solutions, formerly the Pew Center for Climate Solutions.¹⁴⁹ Watchdog groups uncovered evidence that Perciasepe used his personal email to communicate with environmentalists in violation of EPA policy.¹⁵⁰
- Nancy Stoner – Acting Administrator/Deputy Administrator for the Office of Water. She served as the Co-Director and Senior Attorney for the NRDC’s Water Program from 1999 until 2010. In her capacity at the Office of Water at EPA, she was accused of sharing livestock operators’ personal information with environmental groups, including NRDC.¹⁵¹
- Judith Enck – Region 2 Administrator. She was previously Senior Environmental Associate at NY-PIRG, Executive Director of the Environmental Advocates of New York, and President of Hudson River Sloop Clearwater. Enck has been accused of several inappropriate and unethical actions, which this report discusses in detail.

¹⁴⁷ *Id.*

¹⁴⁸ Darren Samuelsohn, ‘NRDC Mafia’ finding homes on Hill, in EPA, NEW YORK TIMES, March 6, 2009, <http://www.nytimes.com/gwire/2009/03/06/06greenwire-nrdc-mafia-finding-homes-on-hill-in-epa-10024.html> (last accessed July 25, 2014).

¹⁴⁹ Josh Hicks, EPA loses bridge builder with Bob Perciasepe’s departure as No. 2, THE WASHINGTON POST, July 18, 2014, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/federal-eye/wp/2014/07/18/epa-loses-bridge-builder-with-bob-perciasepes-departure-as-no-2> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁵⁰ Ben Geman, Top EPA official used personal email address, THE HILL, Feb. 19, 2013, <http://thehill.com/policy/energy-environment/283821-top-epa-official-used-personal-email-address>.

¹⁵¹ Victoria G. Myers, EPA Makes a Mess, PROGRESSIVE FARMER, August 2013, http://dtnpf-digital.com/article/EPA_Makes_A_Mess/1566949/185130/article.html (last visited July 25, 2014).

Watchdog groups also uncovered Enck using her personal email to communicate with environmentalists in violation of EPA policy.¹⁵²

- Jared Blumenfeld – Region 9 Administrator. He was formerly employed by the Sierra Club Legal Defense Fund as well as the NRDC.¹⁵³ He was recently caught lying to the EPA’s Office of Inspector General about using his personal email account for work purposes, in violation of EPA policy.¹⁵⁴
- Joseph Goffman – Associate Assistant Administrator and Senior Counsel for the Office of Air and Radiation. He is the chief architect of EPA’s proposed Existing Source Performance Standards for existing power plants,¹⁵⁵ which has been criticized as relying too heavily on a draft produced by the NRDC.¹⁵⁶ Goffman previously held a senior policy position at Environmental Defense (formerly of EDF).¹⁵⁷ He also served on the Board of Directors for the Environmental Resources Trust, a nonprofit organization he and two colleagues founded with the help of EDF.
- Francesca Grifo – Scientific Integrity Official. She previously served as senior scientist and director of the UCS, where she was heavily criticized for her lack of scientific integrity.¹⁵⁸ In her current role, she has failed to provide competent responses to the Committee related to instances of scientific misconduct at the EPA.¹⁵⁹
- Matthew Tejada – Director of the Office of Environmental Justice. He previously worked for TX-PIRG¹⁶⁰ and led Air Alliance Houston, an activist organization focusing specifically on environmental justice issues,¹⁶¹ for over five years.¹⁶² Air Alliance of

¹⁵² Michael Bastasch, *Emails: Another top EPA official used private email account to aid environmentalists*, THE DAILY CALLER, Feb. 24, 2014, <http://dailycaller.com/2014/02/24/emails-another-top-epa-official-used-private-email-account-to-aid-environmentalists/>.

¹⁵³ *Meeting of the Minds Speaker: Jared Blumenfeld*, MEETING OF THE MINDS, <http://cityminded.org/speaker/jared-blumenfeld> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁵⁴ See Letter from Hon. David Vitter, Ranking Member, S. Comm. on Env’t & Public Works, to Hon. Arthur Elkins, Inspector Gen., U.S. Env’t Prot. Agency (Feb. 20, 2014).

¹⁵⁵ Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units, 79 Fed. Reg. 34829 (proposed June 18, 2014) (to be codified at 40 C.F.R. 60).

¹⁵⁶ Coral Davenport, *Taking Oil Industry Cue, Environmentalists Drew Emissions Blueprint*, NEW YORK TIMES, July 6, 2014, <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/07/us/how-environmentalists-drew-blueprint-for-obama-emissions-rule.html> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁵⁷ Coral Davenport, *E.P.A. Staff Struggling to Create Pollution Rule*, NEW YORK TIMES, Feb. 4, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/02/05/us/epa-staff-struggling-to-create-rule-limiting-carbon-emissions.html?_r=0 (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁵⁸ Henry I. Miller, *EPA’s New Overseer Of ‘Scientific Integrity’: The Blind Leading The Blind*, FORBES, Dec. 4, 2013, <http://www.forbes.com/sites/henrymiller/2013/12/04/epas-new-overseer-of-scientific-integrity-the-blind-leading-the-blind/>

¹⁵⁹ Letter from Hon. David Vitter, Ranking Member, S. Comm. on Env’t & Public Works, to Dr. Francesca Grifo, Science Integrity Official., U.S. Env’t Prot. Agency (Mar. 17, 2014); Letter from Dr. Robert Kavlock, Interim Science Advisor, U.S. Env’t Prot. Agency, to Hon. David Vitter, Ranking Member, S. Comm. on Env’t & Public Works (July. 22, 2014).

¹⁶⁰ Global Community Monitor, New Director for Office of Environmental Justice, Matt Tejada, Jan. 11, 2013, <http://www.gcmonitor.org/new-director-for-office-of-environmental-justice-matt-tejada/>.

¹⁶¹ *About Air Alliance Houston*, AIR ALLIANCE HOUSTON, http://airalliancehouston.org/about_air_alliance_houston/ (last accessed July 25, 2014).

Houston publically partners with EDF, NRDC, Sierra Club, and Public Citizen, among other far-left environmental organizations.¹⁶³ He was brought to the attention of former EPA Region 6 Administrator Al Armendariz in March 2010 by Elena Craft, of EDF.¹⁶⁴ Ironically, at the time he was appointed to the EPA, he was actually suing EPA, along with other environmental activists on the basis of environmental justice concerns.¹⁶⁵

Many former Obama EPA officials also worked with the far-left environmental movement and the Billionaire's Club. Today, many of them work for their former employer or elsewhere in the environmental movement.

- Bob Sussman served as Senior Policy Counsel at EPA under Administrator Jackson. He was a Senior Fellow at the Center for American Progress (CAP) before he was tapped to co-chair the EPA Transition Team in 2008.¹⁶⁶ The Committee has obtained documents which demonstrates he vetted potential EPA employees based on whether they, “had the support of environmental justice leaders,” and their connections to environmental groups, like the NRDC.¹⁶⁷ Watchdog groups also uncovered Sussman using his personal email to communicate with environmentalists in violation of EPA policy.
- Michelle DePass was the former Assistant Administrator for the Office of International and Tribal Affairs. Prior to her appointment at EPA, she worked for the Ford Foundation as an Environment and Community Development Program Officer and served on the Board of the EGA. For a period of time between her confirmation by the U.S. Senate and official start date at EPA, she continued her role at the Ford Foundation, effectively operating as an employee of both EPA and the private organization simultaneously.¹⁶⁸ DePass resigned her position shortly after being held accountable by the Committee.¹⁶⁹
- Dr. Al Armendariz was the Region 6 Administrator from 2009 to 2012. Prior to his appointment to EPA, he worked for the Environmental Integrity Project (EIP) and was a consultant serving as an expert witness for the Sierra Club.¹⁷⁰ Soon after assuming his position at EPA he sought out and hired two environmental advocates he had previously

¹⁶² Tejada worked as the Executive Director of Air Alliance Houston for five years and five months. *See* Matthew Tejada's Profile, LINKEDIN, <http://www.linkedin.com/pub/matthew-tejada/3/959/1b4> (last accessed July 24, 2014).

¹⁶³ *Our Partners*, AIR ALLIANCE OF HOUSTON, <http://airalliancehouston.org/content/our-partners> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁶⁴ Email from Elena Craft to Al Armendariz (Mar. 1, 2010; 12:03 PM EST) (on file with Committee).

¹⁶⁵ Ron Arnold, *Meet the little nobody from Texas with big power at EPA*, WASHINGTON EXAMINER, June 13, 2013, <http://washingtonexaminer.com/ron-arnold-meet-the-little-nobody-from-texas-with-big-power-at-epa/article/2531854>

¹⁶⁶ Georgetown University Law Center, Profile of Robert M. Sussman, <https://www.law.georgetown.edu/faculty/sussman-robert-m.cfm> (last visited July 28, 2014)

¹⁶⁷ Email from Macon Cowles to Bob Sussman (Feb. 13, 2009; 01:25 pm EST)(on file with Committee).

¹⁶⁸ Letter from Hon. David Vitter, Ranking Member, S. Comm. on Env't & Public Works, and Hon. Darrell Issa, Chair of the H. Comm. On Oversight & Gov't Reform, to Michelle DePass, U.S. Env't'l Prot. Agency (May. 15, 2013) (on file with Committee).

¹⁶⁹ Letter from Hon. Arvin Ganisan, Assoc. Admin., U.S. Env't'l Prot. Agency, to Hon. David Vitter, Ranking Member, S. Comm. on Env't & Public Works (June 5, 2013).

¹⁷⁰ Juliet Eilperin and Lisa Rein, *EPA official who compared enforcement to crucifixion resigns*, WASHINGTON POST, Apr. 30, 2012, http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/epa-official-who-compared-enforcement-to-crucifixion-resigns/2012/04/30/gIQAucsisT_story.html.

worked with at EIP – Chrissy Mann and Layla Mansuri.¹⁷¹ Mann was an attorney at EIP who later served as Armendariz’s special assistant at EPA; and Mansuri served as EPA’s Region 6 Associate Administrator previously worked for Public Citizen, EDF, and EIP. Both Mann and Mansuri had actively litigated against the Houston, Texas, petroleum coke fueled power plant, Las Brisas Energy Center (LBEC), while Armendariz prepared testimony against LBEC. While at EPA, they collectively worked on denying LBEC’s permit.¹⁷² The Committee released a recording in 2012 revealing Armendariz stating how he would “crucify” an energy company to set an example for the rest of the oil and gas industry.¹⁷³ He was scheduled to testify before Congress about his controversial statement, but he skipped the Congressional hearing in favor of an interview with the Sierra Club.¹⁷⁴ Soon thereafter, he resigned from EPA and is now Senior Campaign Representative for Sierra Club’s Beyond Coal Campaign.

- James Martin was the former Region 8 Administrator for EPA. Earlier in his career he was a senior attorney at EDF. He resigned his position at EPA after it became public he was using his personal email account to communicate with environmentalists, including Vicki Patton of EDF, about official agency business in violation of official EPA policy.¹⁷⁵
- Michael Goo was the former Associate Administrator of EPA. He was the legislative director for NRDC before he worked for then-Congressman Ed Markey (D-MA). While at EPA, he frequently met environmental groups at a coffee shop near EPA headquarters.¹⁷⁶ Among the individuals he met with were John Coequyt, head of Sierra Club’s “Beyond Coal”¹⁷⁷ campaign and Lena Moffitt, who worked for Sierra’s “Beyond Oil”¹⁷⁸ campaign. Based on a series of emails between the parties, it appears they were discussing strategies to defeat the Keystone XL pipeline and the permitting of coal fired power plants.¹⁷⁹

¹⁷¹ Letter from Hon. David Vitter, *et.al.*, Ranking Member, S. Comm. on Env’t & Public Works, to Hon. Gina McCarthy, Assist. Admin., U.S. Env’tl Prot. Agency (Mar. 12, 2013).

¹⁷² *Id.*

¹⁷³ Dina Capiello, Al Armendariz, *EPA Official, Resigns Over ‘Crucify’ Comment*, HUFFINGTON POST, Apr. 30, 2012, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/04/30/al-armendariz-epa-official-resigns_n_1464919.html

¹⁷⁴ Amy Harder, *EPA Official Felled by ‘Crucify’ Comment Skips House Hearing, Visits Sierra Club*, NATIONAL JOURNAL, June 7, 2012, <http://www.nationaljournal.com/energy/epa-official-felled-by-crucify-comment-skips-house-hearing-visits-sierra-club-20120607> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁷⁵ Judson Berger, *EPA official scrutinized over emails to resign*, FOX NEWS, Feb. 19, 2013, <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2013/02/19/epa-official-scrutinized-over-emails-to-resign> (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁷⁶ John Roberts, *‘Secret dealing’? Emails show cozy relationship between EPA, environmental groups*, Fox News, Jan. 22, 2014, <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2014/01/22/emails-show-cozy-relationship-between-epa-environmental-groups-on-keystone-coal> (last visited July 28, 2014).

¹⁷⁷ Emails from EPA, environmental officials, FOX NEWS, <http://www.foxnews.com/politics/interactive/2014/01/22/emails-from-epa-environmental-officials/> (last visited July 24, 2014).

¹⁷⁸ Lena Moffitt’s profile, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/pub/lena-moffitt/61/418/b55> (last visited July 24, 2014).

¹⁷⁹ Roberts, *supra* note 177.

- Robert Brenner was the former Deputy Assistant Administrator to Gina McCarthy in the Office of Air and Radiation. Soon after the EPA Office of Inspector General (EPA OIG) targeted Brenner for accepting illegal gifts he promptly retired from the Agency.¹⁸⁰ He was also a central figure in the embarrassing saga of John Beale, the fake CIA Agent employed by the EPA.¹⁸¹ Brenner left EPA to join Duke University’s Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions.¹⁸² He is also on the Board of Directors for the Center for Clean Air Policy, along with another former EPA official, David Hawkins, who is currently at NRDC.¹⁸³

b. The Obama EPA Helps to Fund the Far-Left Environmental Groups

In addition to providing insider access to important policy decisions, it appears activists now at EPA also funnel government money through grants to their former employers and colleagues. The Committee’s research demonstrates that oftentimes EPA contributes to the bottom line of green groups through grants. Accordingly, a grant from EPA or another government agency is particularly valuable to a 501(c)(3) as nonprofits are required to obtain one-third of its funding from the public to maintain its tax-exempt status. A grant from EPA contributes to that goal, without limitation.¹⁸⁴

Over the last ten years EPA has awarded nearly \$3 billion in grants to nonprofit organizations.¹⁸⁵ Based on a Committee review of the EPA grants database, the Obama EPA has given more than \$27 million in taxpayer funded grants to major environmental groups. Notably, NRDC and EDF - two key activists groups with significant ties to senior EPA officials – have collected more than \$1 million in funding each.

EPA’s Top Five Environmental Nonprofit Grantees

Grantee	Number of Grants	2009-2014 Awards
ALA*	36	\$13,970,196
EDF	2	\$1,099,839
NRDC	2	\$1,877,907
NWF*	2	\$64,734
Nature Conservancy*	24	\$10,700,796
TOTAL	66	\$27,713,472

An (*) denotes inclusion of grants to state or regional chapters.

The American Lung Association (ALA) receives the most Obama-EPA grants among environmental groups the Committee reviewed, totaling nearly \$14 million since 2009. Over the

¹⁸⁰ *Secret Agent Man? Oversight of EPA’s IG Investigation of John Beale: Hearing Before the H. Comm. on Oversight & Gov’t Reform*, 113th Cong. (Oct. 1, 2013).

¹⁸¹ *Id.*

¹⁸² Duke Nicholas Institute, *Former High-Ranking EPA Official to Join Duke*, Oct. 27, 2011, http://nicholasinstitute.duke.edu/news/former-high-ranking-epa-official-to-join-duke#.U9fx_fldUVw (last visited July 28, 2014).

¹⁸³ Center for Clean Air Policy, profile of Rob Brenner, <http://ccap.org/people/rob-brenner-retired/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

¹⁸⁴ 26 C.R.F. §1.509 (2012).

¹⁸⁵ EPA Grants Award Database, All Awards to Nonprofits, http://yosemite.epa.gov/oarm/igms_egf.nsf/Reports/Non-Profit+Grants?OpenView (last visited July 28, 2014).

last ten years, ALA has racked in \$20,405,655 in EPA grants.¹⁸⁶ Yet, ALA has been a main litigant against EPA, frequently suing the Agency only to reach a cozy settlement agreement while taxpayers foot the bill for ALA's legal fees.¹⁸⁷ Moreover, since federal agencies are forbidden from lobbying, one scholar suggests that ALA acts as a surrogate to lobby for the EPA in exchange for generous grants, stating:

To survive, if not thrive, the ALA needed a source of revenue. The solution: selling its reputation as an organization only interested in promoting and protecting 'the public interest' to government agencies and commercial firms. By lobbying and engaging in political advocacy under the halo of 'charity,' the ALA sought to revive its fortunes.¹⁸⁸

Mainstream environmental groups are not the only ones to benefit from EPA grants. The Obama EPA gives grants to regional and less-well-known extreme groups, especially those operating within the environmental network described in this report. For example, the Louisiana Bucket Brigade (LABB) is an environmental activist group not only connected to the environmental network, but also has close ties to the EPA. Formerly a project of the Tides Foundation, LABB received over \$400,000 in funding from the Marisla Foundation between 2011 and 2012.¹⁸⁹ Further, LABB received significant funding from EPA under former Administrator Lisa Jackson. Jackson grew up in a New Orleans, Louisiana neighborhood and has close ties to the state.¹⁹⁰

Most of LABB's efforts focus on attacking oil and petrochemical refineries through "citizen science" efforts primarily funded by the EPA. The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality brought a series of concerns to EPA headquarters and Region 6, detailing how LABB's activities are not based on "sound science and legally defensible facts."¹⁹¹ Even so, EPA continued to award LABB grants. From 2010 to 2012, EPA awarded LABB \$194,500 in grants.¹⁹² For fiscal year 2011, EPA's \$100,000 grant on June 11, 2012, constituted more than 80% of LABB's government funding and almost half of the group's one-third public funding requirement.¹⁹³

¹⁸⁶ Karen Kerrigan, *Fact of the Day: EPA and Lung Association: Time for Transparency*, The Center for Regulatory Solutions (June 5, 2014).

¹⁸⁷ COMMITTEE ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS MINORITY STAFF, *EPA'S PLAYBOOK UNVEILED: A STORY OF FRAUD, DECEIT, AND SECRET SCIENCE* (March 19, 2014), available at http://www.epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Files.View&FileStore_id=b90f742e-b797-4a82-a0a3-e6848467832a (last accessed July 25, 2014).

¹⁸⁸ James T. Bennett, *Pandering for Profit: The Transformation of Health Charities to Lobbyists*, GEO. MASON DEPT. OF ECON., Paper No. 11-54, p. 20.

¹⁸⁹ Ron Arnold, *Meet the little nobody from Texas with big power at EPA*, THE WASHINGTON EXAMINER, June 13, 2013, <http://washingtonexaminer.com/ron-arnold-meet-the-little-nobody-from-texas-with-big-power-at-epa/article/2531854>.

¹⁹⁰ *Administrator Lisa Jackson Biography*, ENV'T PROT. AGENCY, <http://blog.epa.gov/administrator/bio> (last visited July 24, 2014).

¹⁹¹ Letter from Peggy M. Hatch, Secretary, Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality, to Lisa Jackson, Administrator, US Environmental Protection Agency (Oct. 26, 2012).

¹⁹² *Grant Awards Database*, U.S. ENV'T PROT. AGENCY, http://yosemite.epa.gov/oarm/igms_egf_nsf/Reports/Non-Profit+Grants?OpenView.

¹⁹³ Louisiana Bucket Brigade, IRS Form 990, 2011.

c. Questionable Behavior by Regional Administrator Judith Enck

Region 2 Administrator Judith Enck appears to be inappropriately and personally involved in the allocation of EPA grants. Such involvement runs afoul of the Standards of Ethical Conduct, which require all EPA employees to act impartially and not give preferential treatment to any private organization.¹⁹⁴ The Committee received reports of two separate

instances where Enck gave EPA grantees special treatment.

JUDITH ENCK'S INVOLVEMENT WITH EL PUENTE

- March 2012: Enck meets with El Puente and Rockefeller Family Fund (RFF)
- 2012: Tides gives El Puente \$7,000 grant
- 2012: RFF gives El Puente \$45,000 grant
- August 2012: EPA awards \$25,000 grant to El Puente
- Sept. 2012: Enck meets with El Puente and RFF
- Jan. 2013: Letter from EPA notifying El Puente of failure to comply with disclosure requirements and possibility EPA may “terminate assistance”
- March 2013: El Puente cites conversation with Enck and requests an extension to comply with disclosure requirements
- Aug. 2013: Second letter from EPA to El Puente regarding its failure to follow disclosure requirements
- Nov. 2013: EPA finally terminates grant

In one case, Administrator Enck required a subordinate to search for grants that could benefit an activist group, El Puente.¹⁹⁵ Allegedly, El Puente violated the terms of an EPA award, but Enck intervened on the group’s behalf, delaying termination of the grant by ten months.¹⁹⁶ Both the RFF and Tides Foundation provided grants to El Puente over the same period of time Enck advocated for EPA grants to the group.¹⁹⁷ The Committee also has proof Enck attended meetings between El Puente and representatives from the RFF.

Moreover, the Committee received evidence that Enck used her position to improperly funnel federal grants to environmental groups, with whom she has

a personal connection. Between August 2010 and September 2012, the Hudson River Sloop Clearwater, Inc. received a series of grants from Region 2. Before Enck joined EPA, she was the president of Hudson River Sloop Clearwater. Since Enck assumed her position as Region 2 Administrator in 2009, the organization has received four EPA grants totaling \$159,342.¹⁹⁸ These grants are listed in the chart below.

¹⁹⁴ *Code of Conduct for Directors and Staff of the Env'tl Prot. Agency*, U.S. ENVT'L PROT. AGENCY, http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:XYXayXsK_uJJ:https://www.epa.ie/pubs/reports/other/corporate/EPA_code_of_business_conduct.doc+&cd=5&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=us (last visited July 25, 2014).

¹⁹⁵ Email from Anhthu Hoang to Paula Zevin, Apr. 27, 2012: 2:41 P.M. (on file with the Committee)

¹⁹⁶ Letter from Roch Baamonde, Chief Grants and Audit Management Branch, to Frances Lucerna, Nov. 26, 2013 (on file with the Committee)

¹⁹⁷ [A] Rockefeller Family Fund, IRS Form 990, 2012
[B] Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

¹⁹⁸ EPA Grants Award Database, “Hudson River Sloop Clearwater,” [http://yosemite.epa.gov/oarm/igms_egf.nsf/allgrantsnarrow?SearchView&Query=\(+hudson+river+sloop+\)&SearchOrder=1&SearchMax=250&SearchWV=false&SearchFuzzy=false](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oarm/igms_egf.nsf/allgrantsnarrow?SearchView&Query=(+hudson+river+sloop+)&SearchOrder=1&SearchMax=250&SearchWV=false&SearchFuzzy=false) (last visited July 28, 2014).

EPA Grants to Enck's Former Employer under her EPA Tenure

Purpose:	Awarded:	Amount:
Environmental Justice	08/31/2010	\$25,000
Superfund	12/14/2010	\$50,000
Water	08/03/2012	\$59,855
Environmental Justice	09/17/2012	\$24,487

This highly questionable behavior demonstrates how an EPA official with inappropriate ties to far-left groups and their foundations can use her position to benefit the environmental movement at the expense of taxpayers. In fact, the EPA OIG has confirmed that Enck is the subject of an OIG inquiry.¹⁹⁹ Moreover, in its May 13, 2014, Summary of Closed Employee Integrity Cases, the EPA OIG revealed two other cases regarding ethical concerns with senior EPA officials.²⁰⁰ One case involved a Presidential appointee violating their ethics pledge on 13 occasions by communicating and/or meeting with two prohibited organizations regarding their EPA work.²⁰¹ Another case involved a political appointee who on two occasions violated the rules of ethics for federal employees by accepting a gift of travel and a flight in a private jet from a registered lobbyist.²⁰²

¹⁹⁹ Email from Staff, Office of Inspector Gen., U.S. Env't'l Prot. Agency, to Rep. Staff, S. Comm. on Env't & Public Works (June 09, 2014; 04:24 PM EST).

²⁰⁰ http://www.epa.gov/oig/reports/2014/OI-Summary_of_Closed_Employee_Integrity_Cases_3-31-14.pdf

²⁰¹ *Id.*

²⁰² *Id.*

IV. Billionaire's Club in Action: Case Studies of Services Rendered

Far-left environmental activists, while benefiting from nonprofit status, essentially sell a product to wealthy foundations who are seeking to drive policy and political outcomes. That transaction is driven by a close knit network of activists and funders who strategically channel their money into supported organizations. The Committee has found that some of the most valued services these activists provide the Billionaire's Club includes promulgation of propaganda which creates an artificial echo chamber; appearance of a faux grassroots movement; access to nimble and transient groups under fiscal sponsorship arrangements; distance/anonymity between donations made by well-known donors and activities of risky activist groups; and above all – the ability to leverage tens of millions of dollars in shady foreign funding.

a. Activists Groups Provide Billionaire's Club with Propaganda

This section documents how the Billionaire's Club both finances the creation of “science” and other studies, then pays nonprofits specialized in media relations to report on the “discovery.” Foundations often finance research that bolsters their desired policy outcome. Subsequently, another outlet they also fund will “report” on the new study and other affiliated “news outlets” will also report on it, in an effort to increase visibility. One could easily be fooled into believing that the study was independent and disinterested, covered by unbiased media outlets. However, this perception is far from the truth.

In addition to peddling studies that bolster a policy position, the foundation-backed “news outlets” use their position to rebut any criticism of the integrity of the report. Through this process, foundations can both inject an environmental issue into the news stream, and frame the way the public perceives it.

i. *The Park Foundation: Buying and Spreading Anti-Fracking Science*

One of the best examples of this foundation-manifested echo chamber arises from the Ithaca, New York-based Park Foundation. It reported assets of over \$366 million in 2012,²⁰³ and is run by Adelaide Park Gomer, who inherited her fortune from her late father, Roy Park.²⁰⁴ She is a fierce and vocal critic of fracking, especially in the Marcellus Shale region in New York and Pennsylvania.²⁰⁵ Her hostility to fracking likely arises from Park's location in the Marcellus Shale region. Her environmental views are radical, as evidenced in a very strongly worded poem, *Ballad of a Dying Planet*, in which she laments the “rape and pillage” of the earth by mankind.²⁰⁶ In March 2010, the Park Foundation gave \$100,000 to Cornell University (also located in Ithaca) for “A Comprehensive Economic Impact Analysis of Natural Gas Extraction in the Marcellus Shale.”²⁰⁷ In June 2010, Park gave Cornell another \$35,000 for “a study

²⁰³ Park Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁰⁴ Bill Chaisson, *Legacy Lives On: Park, Triad foundations continue the work of Roy Park Sr.*, ITHACA.COM, Aug. 15, 2012, http://www.ithaca.com/news/article_2aab243e-e662-11e1-84d1-0019bb2963f4.html (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁰⁵ Mike Soraghan, *Hydraulic Fracturing: Quiet foundation funds the 'anti-fracking' fight*, E&E PUBLISHING, LLC, Mar. 12, 2012, <http://www.eenews.net/stories/1059961204> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁰⁶ Adelaide Park Gomer, *Ballad for a Dying Planet*, EGA JOURNAL at 23, http://ega.org/sites/default/files/pub/reports/EGA_Journal_25th_lorez_full.pdf (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁰⁷ Park Found., IRS Form 990, 2010.

estimating the greenhouse gas consequences of Marcellus Shale gas.”²⁰⁸ According to some contemporaneous accounts, ecologist Robert Howarth admitted to meeting with Park representatives and agreeing with them to create a report finding that fracking was environmentally harmful.²⁰⁹

Consequently, Cornell published Howarth’s study in spring 2011, which found that fracking the Marcellus Shale produces more greenhouse gas emissions than coal mining.²¹⁰ The study was almost immediately discredited by other scientists, and even by environmentalists and Howarth’s colleagues at Cornell, based on flaws in the research and data.²¹¹ Despite such criticism, Park continues to fund Cornell’s anti-fracking research and has given an additional

A story reporting on a Park-supported anti-fracking study was reproduced by a Park-funded news organization through a Park-funded media collaboration, where it was then further disseminated by the maker of Park-backed anti-fracking movies.

\$193,229 since 2010.²¹² Park’s steady influence over Howarth is evident from its 2013 grant of \$60,000 for an anti-shale gas “evaluation” to the “Howarth and Marino Lab Group.”²¹³

Since the spring 2011 study, Cornell’s Park-funded anti-fracking efforts have received extensive attention from Park-linked far-left environmental media sources. Park funded news outlets such as *Earth Island Journal* (who received \$31,500 from Park between 2010 and 2012²¹⁴) and *Yes!* magazine (who received \$50,000 from Park between 2010 and 2012²¹⁵) supported and defended Howarth and his Cornell study.²¹⁶ In fact in January 2012, long after the controversy erupted, an article in *Yes!* attacking fracking in the Marcellus Shale admiringly referred to Howarth as “co-author of last year’s landmark Cornell University study, which established the staggering greenhouse-gas footprint of fracking.”²¹⁷ This is but one discreet example of the manufactured echo chamber.

Another example of Park’s paid-for propaganda involves an online news-sharing site called the *Climate Desk*. It describes itself as a “journalistic collaboration dedicated to exploring

²⁰⁸ *Id.*

²⁰⁹ Jon Entine, *Killing drilling with farcical ‘science’*, NEW YORK POST, Jan. 24, 2012, <http://nypost.com/2012/01/24/killing-drilling-with-farcical-science> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²¹⁰ Stacey Shackford, *Natural gas from fracking could be ‘dirtier’ than coal*, CORNELL CHRONICLE, Apr. 11, 2011, <http://www.news.cornell.edu/stories/2011/04/fracking-leaks-may-make-gas-dirtier-coal> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²¹¹ Jon Entine, *New York Times Reversal: Cornell University Research Undermines Hysteria Contention that Shale Gas is “Dirty”*, FORBES, Mar. 2, 2012, <http://www.forbes.com/sites/jonentine/2012/03/02/new-york-times-reversal-cornell-university-research-undermines-hysteria-contention-that-shale-gas-is-dirty> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²¹² [A] Park Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012

[B] *Grants Awarded*, PARK FOUND., <http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²¹³ *Grants Awarded – 2013*, PARK FOUND., <http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²¹⁴ Park Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

²¹⁵ Park Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012

²¹⁶ Sharon Kelly, *Oil and Gas Drilling Linked to Smog*, EARTH ISLAND JOURNAL, Mar. 8, 2012, http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/elist/eListRead/oil_and_gas_drilling_linked_to_smog/ (last visited July 25, 2014).

²¹⁷ Ellen Cantarow, *New York’s Little Revolution*, YES!, Jan. 25, 2012, <http://www.yesmagazine.org/planet/new-yorks-little-revolution> (last visited July 25, 2014).

the impact . . . of climate change.”²¹⁸ In reality, it aids the exchange and spread of climate-related news stories between generally left-leaning news partners that include *Grist*, *Mother Jones*, the *Huffington Post*, *Slate*, *The Guardian* and *The Atlantic*.²¹⁹ The *Climate Desk* acknowledges Park is one of its “major funders”²²⁰ and Park’s IRS Form-990s and its 2013 online grants database reflect that Park gave \$300,000 to the *Climate Desk* between 2010 and 2013.²²¹

A review of the online article databases of *Climate Desk* partners, *The Huffington Post*,²²² *Mother Jones*,²²³ *The Atlantic*,²²⁴ and *The Guardian*,²²⁵ show that since 2011 they have all favorably cited Howarth and his work on the effects of fracking.²²⁶ Accordingly, through the *Climate Desk*, Park enabled a coalition of environmentally friendly news groups to share and spread stories involving researchers and work paid for by Park. However, Park’s influence in this situation reaches deeper than simply backing the *Climate Desk*. Between 2010 and 2013, Park gave *Grist* \$95,000 and *Mother Jones* (through its parent organization, The Foundation for National Progress) \$170,000.²²⁷ Following the fallout from Cornell’s Howarth-led fracking report, *Grist* published an article standing by the report even while admitting it had been discredited.²²⁸ *Mother Jones* published a complimentary story on it soon after the report was published, including a noncritical interview with Howarth.²²⁹ *Mother Jones* continued promoting the study even after it was discredited.²³⁰

Another clear example of Park’s purchased propaganda involves Duke University’s Nicholas School of the Environment. The Institute, which used to employ disgraced bureaucrat Robert Brenner, received \$50,000 from Park in 2011 for, “the completion of a study of the impact of gas drilling and hydraulic fracturing on water quality . . .”²³¹ In October 2013, the Nicholas School published a report finding that fracking byproducts contaminate drinking water

²¹⁸ *Climate Desk: About*, CLIMATE DESK, <http://climatedesk.org/about-climate-desk/> (last visited July 22, 2014).

²¹⁹ *Id.*

²²⁰ *Id.*

²²¹ [A] Parks Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012 990s;

[B] *Grants Awarded*, PARK FOUND., <http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²²² The Huffington Post Search of “Robert Howarth fracking,”

<http://www.huffingtonpost.com/search.php?q=robert+howarth+fracking> (last visited July 22, 2014).

²²³ Mother Jones search of “Howarth,” http://www.motherjones.com/search/apachesolr_search/howarth (last visited July 22, 2014).

²²⁴ The Atlantic search of “Howarth,” <http://www.theatlantic.com/search?q=howarth> (last visited July 22, 2014).

²²⁵ Bobby Magill, *Thousands of fracking wells in Pennsylvania 'may be leaking methane'*, THE GUARDIAN, June 20, 2014, <http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2014/jun/20/fracking-wells-pennsylvania-leaking-methane>

²²⁶ See also *Inside Climate News*, <http://insideclimatenews.org/about/our-funders>, which is a Park-funded media organization that also promoted the Cornell study. Similar to *Climate News Desk*, it is in partnership with other news media outlets, including Bloomberg, the Associated Press, and The Weather Channel; <http://insideclimatenews.org/about/media-partners>.

²²⁷ The Park Foundation, 2013 Grants Database;

http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php?coding=group&group=2013&title=2013%20Grants#category_31

²²⁸ Christopher Mims, *Maybe fracked natural gas isn't dirtier than coal, after all*, GRIST, May 23, 2011, <http://grist.org/list/2011-05-23-maybe-fracked-natural-gas-isnt-dirtier-than-coal-after-all/>.

²²⁹ Kate Sheppard, *Natural Gas: Worse Than Coal?*, MOTHER JONES, Apr. 12, 2011, <http://www.motherjones.com/blue-marble/2011/04/natural-gas-worse-coal>.

²³⁰ Kate Sheppard, *About That Clean Energy Future*, MOTHER JONES, Jan. 27, 2012, <http://www.motherjones.com/blue-marble/2012/01/about-clean-energy-future>.

²³¹ Park Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2011.

in the Marcellus Shale region.²³² The study was criticized as being at odds with other studies on the subject.²³³ Although acknowledging receiving thousands of dollars from Park, the study's lead researcher denied any Park-related bias.²³⁴ He claimed that Park's money was merely used for "field work" and not for the specific purpose of producing a study on shale gas, as Park represented to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).²³⁵

Following the report's release, *Climate Desk* partners *The Guardian*²³⁶ and *Huffington Post*²³⁷ immediately published favorable stories on the study. *The Guardian*'s story was reproduced by *Mother Jones* "as part of the *Climate Desk* collaboration."²³⁸ Filmmaker and well known anti-fracking activist Josh Fox posted the *Mother Jones*' link on his Twitter page, where it was spread by Fox's Twitter followers.²³⁹ Fox has received hundreds of thousands of dollars from Park to finance his anti-fracking series of documentaries called *Gasland*.²⁴⁰ Thus, a story reporting on a Park-supported anti-fracking study was reproduced by a Park-funded news organization through a Park-funded media collaboration, where it was then further disseminated on Twitter by the maker of Park-backed anti-fracking movies.

ii. *The Schmidt Family Foundation: Peddling Anti-Fracking Science*

The Schmidt Family Foundation, through its 11th Hour Project, is another example of a big foundation funding an echo chamber that promotes its propaganda. Schmidt is based in California, has reported assets of \$312,189,881, and gives mostly to organizations focusing on climate change, fracking and other environmental causes.²⁴¹ Schmidt's grant philosophy offers a strong example of prescriptive grantmaking previously discussed in this report. Its website advises: "Please note, all of the Foundation's grantmaking is now done on a strictly invitational basis and we will not review proposals received either in the mail or to our email inbox. We proactively seek new partnerships based on our program area strategies."²⁴²

²³² Felicity Carus, *Dangerous levels of radioactivity found at fracking waste site in Pennsylvania*, THE GUARDIAN, Oct. 2, 2013, <http://www.theguardian.com/environment/2013/oct/02/dangerous-radioactivity-fracking-waste-pennsylvania>

²³³ Wendy Koch, *Fracking linked to radioactive river water in Pa.*, USA TODAY, Oct. 2, 2013, <http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2013/10/02/fracking-radioactive-water-pennsylvania/2904829/>

²³⁴ *Id.*

²³⁵ *Id.*

²³⁶ Carus, *supra* note 233.

²³⁷ Bobby Magill, *Fracking Study: Gas Production In Pennsylvania May Be Polluting Creek With Radioactive Waste*, HUFFINGTON POST, Oct. 2, 2013, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/10/02/fracking-study-pennsylvania_n_4030748.html

²³⁸ *Id.*, *supra*, note 233.

²³⁹ Josh Fox Twitter feed, Oct. 6, 2013, 12:37 P.M., <https://twitter.com/gaslandmovie/status/386938386344194049> (last visited July 22, 2014).


²⁴⁰ [A] Park Foundation, 2013 Grants Database, http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php?coding=group&group=2013&title=2013%20Grants#category_31 (last visited July 22, 2014);

[B] Jon Campbell, *Park Foundation funds anti-fracking groups*, DEMOCRAT & CHRONICLE, Apr. 15, 2012, <http://roc.democratandchronicle.com/article/20120415/NEWS01/304150016/Park-Foundation-funds-anti-fracking-groups>.

²⁴¹ 2012 is the most recent I.R.S. Form 990 that is currently available for the Schmidt Family Foundation.

²⁴² <http://www.11thhourproject.org/grantees>.

In 2011, Schmidt made a grant of \$50,000 to the Environmental Working Group (EWG), a Washington, D.C. based environmental research organization,²⁴³ “[t]o support the creation of case study on hydro-fracking in CA.”²⁴⁴ EWG’s directors include Drummond Pike of Tides (Tides Foundation also funds EWG²⁴⁵) and Laura Turner Seydel of the Turner Foundation.²⁴⁶ In February 2012, EWG released a report on fracking entitled “California Regulator: See No Fracking, Speak no Fracking.”²⁴⁷ The study’s Acknowledgments recognized that funding, “was made possible thanks to the generosity of the 11th Hour Foundation, The Park Foundation, the Civil Society Institute and EWG’s community of online supporters”²⁴⁸ The below graphic depicts the funding stream.



The report was mostly reported on by outlets backed by Park and Schmidt. In fact, *Mother Jones* covered it favorably in a February 29, 2012 story²⁴⁹ possibly as a direct result of Schmidt’s \$225,000.00 donation to *Mother Jones* in 2012 “To support food & environmental reporting, public affairs outreach.”²⁵⁰ Schmidt has also donated \$850,000 to *Grist* between 2010 and 2012.²⁵¹ Its 2012 donation of \$300,000 to *Grist* was “To provide general operating support,

²⁴³ Environmental Working Group; About Us, <http://www.ewg.org/about-us/offices> (last visited July 22, 2014).
²⁴⁴ Schmidt Family Foundation Form, IRS Form 990, 2011.
²⁴⁵ Tides Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2011-2013.
²⁴⁶ Environmental Working Group; About Us; Board Members, <http://www.ewg.org/about-us/board-members> (last visited July 22, 2014).
²⁴⁷ Renee Sharp and Bill Allayaud, CALIFORNIA REGULATORS: SEE NO FRACKING, SPEAK NO FRACKING (Feb. 2012).
http://static.ewg.org/reports/2012/fracking/ca_fracking/ca_regulators_see_no_fracking.pdf
²⁴⁸ *Id.* at p. 3.
²⁴⁹ Kiera Butler, *California Government Has No Idea Fracking Is Happening*, MOTHER JONES (Feb. 29, 2012), <http://www.motherjones.com/blue-marble/2012/02/california-fracking>.
²⁵⁰ Schmidt Family Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2012.
²⁵¹ Schmidt Family Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2011 & 2012.

environmental news & commentary on the web.”²⁵² *Grist* also reported on the EWG study.²⁵³ Accordingly, Schmidt, along with Park, are two examples of foundations using their grant powers to create news on their environmental interests and then spread the news to the public in a manner that supports their views.

b. Activist Groups Provide Billionaire’s Club with Artificial Grassroots Movements

The Committee’s review has uncovered evidence that another service provided to the Billionaire’s Club is the manufacturing of an artificial grassroots movement. “Grassroots” is a commonly used and exploited term by far-left organizations. Webster’s Dictionary defines grassroots as “the ordinary people in a society or organization: the people who do not have a lot of money and power.”²⁵⁴ General characteristics of a grassroots movement include natural, spontaneous and volunteer-based action that originates locally with citizens who unite around a common issue or cause within their community.²⁵⁵ Environmental groups have misleadingly used the grassroots label to gain credibility among the populace and to hide, among other things, their substantial funding, well-organized structures and powerful influence. In the case studies discussed herein, the movement sprung from the efforts of the Billionaire’s Club, and not from local concern as is the grassroots’ spirit.

Critically, it is not the citizens’ interests that drive the movement; rather it is part of a well-funded national strategy. In these instances, groups represent themselves as local efforts, but the real direction comes from agenda-driven far-left elites hundreds of miles away on the East and West coasts. This section describes ways of achieving the illusion of a grassroots movement, including through a mechanism called a “fiscal sponsor” and by using a secondary foundation to further spread money to activists groups.

The environmental grassroots movement, sprung from the efforts of the Billionaire’s Club, rather than local concerns, in the grassroots spirit.

i. Fiscal Sponsorships Facilitate Artificial Grassroots Movements to Attack Fracking

In New York and Colorado a pseudo-grassroots effort to attack hydraulic fracturing has germinated from massive amounts of funding by three foundations: Schmidt Family Foundation, Tides Foundation and Park Foundation. Since each of these foundations is believed to be part of the exclusive and nontransparent Environmental Grantmakers Association (EGA), it is no surprise they coordinated funding schemes to achieve a desired outcome. Moreover, in typical

²⁵² Schmidt Family Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁵³ Heather Smith, *One California oil town keeps fracking in check — by banning all drilling*, GRIST (Mar. 26, 2014), <http://grist.org/climate-energy/carson-city-frack-ban> (last visited July 24, 2014).

²⁵⁴ Webster’s Dictionary, “grassroots”, <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/grassroots> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁵⁵ Farm Aid, *What is grass roots organizing?*, <http://www.farmaid.org/site/apps/nlnet/content2.aspx?c=qlI5IhNVJsE&b=2723877&ct=3852191>, (last visited July 28, 2014).

secretive billionaire donor fashion, the foundations' funding was funneled through fiscal sponsors. Funding through these intermediary organizations, such as the Sustainable Markets Foundation (SMF) and Food & Water Watch, create distance between the wealthy foundations and alleged community-based outfits.

The Committee uncovered two parallel funding schemes with the shared goal of replicating environmentalists' anti-fracking efforts from New York to hot-bed Colorado. In New York, environmentalists have experienced successes on the anti-fracking front as a temporary ban on the practice is currently in place,²⁵⁶ and just last month the New York Court of Appeals upheld two local fracking bans;²⁵⁷ concurrently, the above three private foundations have doled out millions to promote these efforts.

One scheme, led by the New York-based Park Foundation and California-based Schmidt Family Foundation, provides numerous grants to the New York-based SMF, which serves as the fiscal sponsor for multiple New York groups engaged in this effort, including Water Defense, Frack Action and Artists Against Fracking. During 2011, SMF gave \$147,750 to Water Defense.²⁵⁸ The following year, SMF funneled a \$150,000 grant "to support Water Defense" from Schmidt.²⁵⁹ Notably, Water Defense was founded in 2010 by actor Mark Ruffalo, who has an estimated net worth of \$20 million and was listed on Time Magazines' 2011 "People Who Mattered" for his anti-fracking efforts.²⁶⁰ In 2011, SMF gave Frack Action \$324,198, with \$150,000 stemming from Schmidt grants to SMF.²⁶¹ Ironically, one of the Schmidt grants specified that \$100,000 go "to support Frack Action's grassroots campaign fighting for a ban on horizontal hydraulic fracturing"²⁶² (*emphasis added*).

However, the mere funding from the California-based Schmidt demonstrates Frack Action's campaign is anything but grassroots. In 2012, SMF received \$185,000 for Frack Action through grants from Park²⁶³ and Schmidt.²⁶⁴ While the amount of money funneled to Yoko Ono's Artists Against Fracking cannot be identified, as SMF's 2012 IRS Form-990 is unavailable, Artists Against Fracking's now-removed website directs donations to SMF.²⁶⁵

Simultaneously, Park and Schmidt formed a parallel effort, along with the CA-based Tides Foundation, to funnel money to anti-fracking efforts in Colorado through Food & Water Watch. Food & Water Watch was founded in 2005 as an outgrowth of another Ralph Nader-

²⁵⁶ Sean Cockerham, *New York ruling on fracking bans might send tremors across U.S.*, MCCLATCHYDC, June 30, 2014, <http://www.mcclatchydc.com/2014/06/30/231963/new-york-ruling-on-fracking-bans.html>.

²⁵⁷ *Id.*

²⁵⁸ Sustainable Markets Found., IRS Form 990, 2011.

²⁵⁹ Sustainable Markets Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁶⁰ Bryan Walsh, *People Who Mattered: Mark Ruffalo, Anthony Ingraffea, Robert Howarth*, TIME (Dec. 14, 2011)

²⁶¹ Sustainable Markets Foundation IRS Form 990, 2011; The Schmidt Family Foundation IRS Form 990, 2011.

²⁶² The Schmidt Family Foundation IRS Form 990, 2011.

²⁶³ Park Foundation IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁶⁴ The Schmidt Family Foundation IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁶⁵ Tom Shepstone, *Artists Against Fracking ... and Lobbying Disclosure?*, ENERGY IN DEPTH, (Apr. 3, 2013) <http://energyindepth.org/marcellus/artists-against-fracking-and-lobbying-disclosure/>; During the research for this report, Artists Against Fracking's website was taken down.

formed nonprofit, Public Citizen.²⁶⁶ Food & Water Watch’s Executive Director, Wenonah Hauter, served as Director of Public Citizen’s Energy and Environment Program from 1997 to 2005, and was a senior organizer for the Union of Concerned Scientists.²⁶⁷ Notably, the DC-based Food & Water Watch is an organization heavily funded by billionaire-backed foundations in California and New York, yet extremely active in environmentalist’s anti-fracking initiative in New York and Colorado. Moreover, Food & Water Watch boasts about the “wave of local resolutions, laws and proposals to ban or limit fracking”²⁶⁸ in New Jersey, Colorado, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania and North Carolina. Specifically in New York, SMF gave Food & Water Watch \$14,250 in 2011,²⁶⁹ and Schmidt contributed \$65,000 in 2012, “to support education and outreach on fracking in New York.”²⁷⁰ Park donated \$590,000 from 2010 to 2013 to Food & Water Watch to advocate for anti-fracking rallies in New York.²⁷¹ Park’s \$355,000 grant to Food & Water Watch was specifically for New Yorkers Against Fracking²⁷² – another group not recognized by the IRS, but whose website has a link to the donations page of Food & Water Watch.

The same billionaire foundations behind the New York anti-fracking efforts have also moved into Colorado through two coalitions - Local Control Colorado and Frack Free Colorado, which are directly affiliated with the NY-based groups already discussed. Local Control Colorado claims to be, “a coalition of community, consumer and public interest groups from across Colorado”²⁷³ (*emphasis added*) promoting an anti-fracking ballot measure. However, they list DC-based Food & Water Watch, which is funded by CA-based Schmidt and Tides, and NY-based Park, as part of the coalition.²⁷⁴ Food & Water Watch is also listed as a partner to another member of the Local Control Colorado coalition, Frack Free Colorado (FFC).²⁷⁵ Self-described as a “collaborative, grassroots movement that works to raise awareness about the dangers of fracking,”²⁷⁶ FFC’s website states the group is “a people’s movement that consists of concerned citizens, companies ... and organizations.”²⁷⁷ (*emphasis added*). However, at least two of the organizations listed as a member of FFC²⁷⁸ – Artists Against Fracking and Food &

²⁶⁶ Food & Water Watch, *About Food & Water Watch*, <http://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/about/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

²⁶⁷ *Meet the Food & Water Watch Staff*, FOOD & WATER WATCH, <http://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/about/who-we-are/> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁶⁸ Steven Mufson, *How two small New York towns have shaken up the national fight over fracking*, THE WASHINGTON POST, July 2, 2014.

²⁶⁹ Sustainable Markets Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2011.

²⁷⁰ Schmidt Family Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2012.

²⁷¹ Park Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012; Park Foundation 2013 Grants Database, http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php?coding=group&group=2013&title=2013%20Grants#category_29 (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁷²[A] Park Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2012

[B] *Grants Awarded*, PARK FOUND., 2013, http://www.parkfoundation.org/search.php?coding=group&group=2013&title=2013%20Grants#category_29 (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁷³ Local Control Colorado, Press Release February 24, 2014, <http://localcontrolcolorado.org/press-release-february-24-2014/> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁷⁴ *Id.*

²⁷⁵ Frack Free Colorado, Who We Are, <http://www.frackfreecolorado.com/about.html> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁷⁶ *Id.*

²⁷⁷ *Id.*

²⁷⁸ *Id.*

Water Watch – are based in New York and Washington, DC. Interestingly, FFC has reportedly tried to hide its partnership with another NY-based organization, Water Defense.²⁷⁹

In addition to the funding and partnership ties, these schemes have one key employee in common who binds these cross-country efforts: Russell Mendell. Mendell previously worked for three of the NY-based organizations – Frack Action, New Yorkers Against Fracking and Water Defense.²⁸⁰ While at Frack Action in November 2011, Mendell organized a rally of activists in front of the White House calling for the rejection of the Keystone XL pipeline.²⁸¹ Mendell was also active in the Occupy Wall Street movement, once stating that Occupy was “about linking arms between the various movements ... there’s not a lot that separates the environmental movement and Occupy Wall Street.”²⁸² In 2012, Mendell, along with another Water Defense employee, Ana Tinsely, left to move across the country and work for FFC²⁸³ in an apparent coordinated effort to apply the same activist tactics used in New York to the attack on fracking in Colorado. Overall, these schemes illustrate a model with FFC and Local Control Colorado “grassroots” coalitions that bind efforts via partnerships with billionaire-backed groups that are far from local. These complicated relationships are depicted in the following illustration.


²⁷⁹ Randy Hildreth, *Frack Free Colorado Maintains Deep Ties to National Activist Organizations*, ENERGY IN DEPTH, May 29, 2014, <http://energyindepth.org/mtn-states/frack-free-colorado-maintains-ties-national-activist-organizations> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁸⁰ *Id.*

²⁸¹ Colin Sullivan, *Occupy Wall Street shows its greener side in weekend shout fest*, E&E PUBLISHING, LLC, Nov. 1, 2011, <http://www.eenews.net/stories/1059955786> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁸² *Id.*

²⁸³ Michael Sandoval, *Frack Free Colorado erases ties to Water Defense*, THE COMPLETE COLORADO, Nov. 18, 2013, <http://completecolorado.com/pagetwo/2013/11/18/frack-free-colorado-erases-ties-to-water-defense> (last visited July 25, 2014).



ii. Virginia Organizing: Appearances are Deceiving

Virginia Organizing claims to be a “statewide grassroots organization dedicated to challenging injustice by empowering people in local communities to address issues that affect their lives” (*emphasis added*);²⁸⁴ yet money funneled into the organization comes from wealthy donors across the country and money disbursed by the organization extends well outside Virginia. Its Executive Director is Joe Szakos, a well-known community organizer with connections to the Obama Administration.²⁸⁵ Before establishing Virginia Organizing in 1995, he was the coordinator of Kentuckians for the Commonwealth and has written two books, “We Make Change” and “Lessons from the Field,” which is required reading for students in community organizing courses, along with Saul Alinsky’s “Rules for Radicals.”²⁸⁶ His wife, Kristin Szakos, is a local politician and prominent supporter of President Obama. She was a

²⁸⁴ Virginia Organizing, About Us, <http://www.virginia-organizing.org/aboutus> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁸⁵ Virginia Organizing, Joe Szakos, <http://www.virginia-organizing.org/content/joe-szakos> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁸⁶ University of Wisconsin, Community and Environmental Sociology 573 Course Syllabus, <http://comm-org.wisc.edu/syllabi/cosyllabus11.htm> (last visited July 25, 2014).

delegate at the 2008 convention,²⁸⁷ and has made campaign appearances with Michelle Obama.²⁸⁸

Virginia Organizing is remarkable because the supposedly humble grassroots group receives millions in donations from major foundations – primarily the Marisla Foundation, which gave nearly \$1.3 million from 2010 to 2012 and Sea Change, which donated \$600,000 in 2010 and 2011.²⁸⁹ They have also received hefty funding from the Tides Foundation, the Rockefeller Family Fund, the Park Foundation, as well as others. Additionally, they have established more than two dozen fiscal sponsorships, which they dub “partners” on their website and filings. One of their sponsored charities is Environmental Health News (EHN), which claims to be an independent, nonprofit news organization founded in 2002. Nowhere on its website is the relationship with Virginia Organizing advertised, and it is only disclosed on the donation page, where they instruct potential donors to make checks payable to Virginia Organizing.²⁹⁰

EHN has been generously supported by members of the EGA, as it has received \$675,000 from Marisla between 2010 and 2012 and also lists grants from the Heinz Endowments, the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, and the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation.²⁹¹ Their support

Virginia Organizing is the fiscal sponsor for the Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition and the Southern Appalachian Mountain Stewards – two groups working against coal mining in Appalachia suing to expand EPA’s authority.

from the Billionaire’s Club might be linked to EHN’s founder, Pete Myers, who served as director at the W. Alton Jones Foundation and is a trustee for the Jennifer Altman Foundation, which is believed to be a member of EGA. He also serves as a board chair of the Heinz III Center for Science, Economics, and the Environment.

Virginia Organizing is also the fiscal sponsor for the Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition (OVEC) and the Southern Appalachian Mountain

Stewards. These two groups often work together against coal mining in Appalachia and have been litigants in key cases to expand EPA’s authority.²⁹² Accordingly, a group that represents itself as a small community based organization is actually led by significant power brokers in the far-left environmental community and is heavily funded by the Billionaire’s Club.

²⁸⁷ Krissah Williams Thompson, *Delegates, Too, Have Traveled a Long Road to Reach Denver*, WASHINGTON POST, Aug. 25, 2008.

²⁸⁸ Chris Graham, *Charlottesville: Michelle Obama Talks Change*, AUGUSTA FREE PRESS, Sept. 17, 2008, <http://augustafreepress.com/charlottesville-michelle-obama-talks-change/>.

²⁸⁹ Marisla Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012; Sea Change Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2011.

²⁹⁰ Environmental Health News, *Donate to Environmental Health News*, <https://donatenow.networkforgood.org/1437620> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁹¹ Environmental Health News, *About* <http://www.environmentalhealthnews.org/ehs/about> (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁹² Oliver Bernstein, *Penn Virginia Faces Legal Challenges for Toxic Water Pollution*, SIERRA CLUB, Jan. 28, 2014.

iii. Bold Nebraska: Billionaire’s Club Disguised as Local Initiative to Oppose Keystone XL Pipeline

Bold Nebraska is a 501(c)(4) nonprofit whose primary cause is opposing the Keystone XL pipeline’s planned path through Nebraska. It was founded in 2010 by Jane Fleming Kleeb, a South Florida native who was educated in Washington, D.C.²⁹³ She first moved to Nebraska in 2007²⁹⁴ when she married Scott Kleeb, an energy company CEO who lost bids to represent Nebraska in Congress in 2006²⁹⁵ and in the Senate in 2008 as a Democrat.²⁹⁶ Outside of Nebraska, Kleeb is clearly the face, voice and driving force of Bold Nebraska. She has been prominently featured in national media outlets that include *The New York Times*²⁹⁷ and MSNBC.²⁹⁸ Nonetheless, the organization’s website downplays her involvement and lists her only as a staff member, while other individuals with deeper, more conspicuous Nebraska ties serve in leadership roles and on the Board of Directors.²⁹⁹

Underlying Bold Nebraska’s homespun, grassroots façade is a well-funded and well-organized financial support network originating from liberal elite interests thousands of miles away.

Bold Nebraska projects itself as a Nebraska-based and progressively oriented organization, proclaiming on its website: “Nebraskans are bold. We are pioneers. We are reformers. We are independent. Bold Nebraska is setting out to change the political landscape and restore political balance. We are going back to our roots and we need your help to build a Bold Nebraska.”³⁰⁰ However, underlying Bold Nebraska’s homespun, grassroots facade is a significant, growing, well-funded and well-organized financial support network originating from wealthy far-left environmental interests thousands of miles away. A brief but revealing portion of a May 2014 article on Kleeb in *The New York Times Magazine* documents both her efforts to attract rich out-of-state donors to Bold Nebraska, and her carefully crafted strategy for selling the “grassroots” charm of the group to the moneyed elites. It recounts:

[Kleeb] was in the middle of a fund-raising call with progressive donors, including the California billionaire Tom Steyer, who were interested in rural organizing and fighting climate change. But Kleeb was careful not to use the word ‘environment’ or mention climate change, preferring to talk ‘about the land’ and the rich foreigners putting the country’s water at risk. ‘Donors crave a much more

²⁹³ Saul Elbein, *Jane Kleeb vs. the Keystone Pipeline*, THE NEW YORK TIMES MAGAZINE, May 16, 2014, <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/05/18/magazine/jane-kleeb-vs-the-keystone-pipeline.html>.

²⁹⁴ *Conversation: Jane Kleeb*, EARTH ISLAND JOURNAL, Summer 2012, http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/eij/article/jane_kleeb/ (last visited July 25, 2014).

²⁹⁵ Elbein, *supra* note 294.

²⁹⁶ Scott Kleeb’s Profile, LINKEDIN, <https://www.linkedin.com/pub/scott-kleeb/25/5a6/333> (last visited July 22, 2014).

²⁹⁷ Elbein, *supra* note 294.

²⁹⁸ *Id.*

²⁹⁹ *Team*, BOLD NEBRASKA, <http://boldnebraska.org/team/> (last visited July 22, 2014).

³⁰⁰ *Nebraska Political History*, BOLD NEBRASKA, <http://ne.pnstate.org/nebraska-political-history/> (last visited July 22, 2014).

authentic voice,' she explained. 'We have a connection to rural communities that many other progressive groups just don't have.'³⁰¹

The irony of Bold Nebraska's grassroots image, as evident in Kleeb's comments, is that it is nothing more than a cover for wealthy and distant non-Nebraskan interests who seek to advance a political agenda without drawing attention to the fact that they, too, are outsiders with little interest in or connection to the state. One of these outsiders is none other than Tom Steyer, who has a strong conflict of interest in opposing Keystone XL due to his financial stake in a competing pipeline called Kinder Morgan.³⁰² Despite claiming that he would sell off all interests in the Kinder Morgan pipeline by late 2013, it was still unclear as of June 2014 whether Steyer followed through on that promise.³⁰³

Kleeb and Bold Nebraska have succeeded in attracting the attention and deep pockets of the big foundations. In 2012, the San Francisco-based Tides Foundation gave it \$50,000, and Tides' San Francisco-based 501(c)(4) group, The Advocacy Fund, gave \$15,000.³⁰⁴ These two donations equaled one-third of Bold Nebraska's total contributions received in 2012.³⁰⁵ In 2013, Tides Foundation almost doubled its 2012 grant by giving \$90,000.³⁰⁶

Out-of-state environmental groups have also used Bold Nebraska to influence local elections through its New Energy Voter initiative. The program was created to mobilize Nebraskans to vote for candidates opposing the Keystone XL's expansion.³⁰⁷ It makes recommendations and endorsements on candidates for local, state and federal elections in Nebraska.³⁰⁸ During the 2012 election cycle, New Energy Voter defined itself as "an effort by citizen groups" that included support from the California-based Sierra Club and New York-based 350.org.³⁰⁹ Besides the fact that these two big environmental groups are not from Nebraska, and not grassroots organizations, the question arises as to whether 350.org, as a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, exceeded the strict parameters of its tax-exempt status by participating in and advocating for political campaigns.

³⁰¹ Elbein, *supra* note 294.

³⁰² Tom Hamburger, *Tom Steyer's staff answers questions about his investments and his career change*, WASHINGTON POST, June 9, 2014, http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/tom-steyers-staff-answers-questions-about-his-investments-and-his-career-change/2014/06/08/ce726cea-ef29-11e3-914c-1fbd0614e2d4_story.html (last visited July 25, 2014).

³⁰³ Carol Leonnig, Tom Hamburger and Rosalind Helderman, *Tom Steyer's Slow, and ongoing, conversion from fossil-fuels investor to climate activist*, THE WASHINGTON POST, June 9, 2014, http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/tom-steyers-slow-and-ongoing-conversion-from-fossil-fuels-investor-to-climate-activist/2014/06/08/6478da2e-ea68-11e3-b98c-72cef4a00499_story.html (last visited July 25, 2014).

³⁰⁴ [A] Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

[B] Advocacy Fund, IRS Form 990, 2012.


³⁰⁵ Bold Nebraska, IRS Form 990-EZ

³⁰⁶ Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2013.

³⁰⁷ *New Energy Voter Campaign 2014*, BOLD NEBRASKA, <http://boldnebraska.org/election/> (last visited July 22, 2014).

³⁰⁸ *Voter Guide: Primary Election May 13*, BOLD NEBRASKA, <http://boldnebraska.org/primary/> (last visited July 22, 2014).

³⁰⁹ *Be a New Energy Voter*, BOLD NEBRASKA, <http://boldnebraska.org/be-a-new-energy-voter/> (July 22, 2014).



While Bold Nebraska is essentially a tool for the Billionaire’s Club and their allies opposed to the Keystone XL pipeline, many in the state remain oblivious to this fact. Moreover, President Obama has supported Bold Nebraska and the billionaire funders’ efforts by not authorizing the pipeline despite broad public opinion favoring its construction.³¹⁰

iv. Activist Organizations Serve as Pass-Throughs, Leveraging Additional Influence While Creating the Appearance of Diverse Support

The Energy Foundation is a prime example of a “pass through” public charity, which receives massive amounts of funding from private foundations. The Energy Foundation then funds a variety of activist organizations. As opposed to a fiscal sponsor that assesses a fee to collect money on behalf of unrecognized groups, a pass through foundation contributes money to recognized charities.

The Energy Foundation was formed as a pass through with a \$20 million endowment donated by the Pew Charitable Trusts, the Rockefeller Foundation and the John D. & Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation.³¹¹ Currently, the Energy Foundation’s website lists the

As opposed to a fiscal sponsor that assesses a fee to collect money on behalf of unrecognized groups, a “pass through” foundation contributes money to recognized charities.

³¹⁰ Pew Research Center for People & the Press, *Continued Support for Keystone XL Pipeline*, Sept. 26, 2013, <http://www.people-press.org/2013/09/26/continued-support-for-keystone-xl-pipeline/> (last visited July 29, 2014).

³¹¹ Duke Sanford School of Public Policy, *The Energy Foundation: MacArthur, Pew, Rockefeller, Hewlett, Packard, and McKnight Foundations*, 1991, <http://cspcs.sanford.duke.edu/content/energy-foundation-macarthur-pew-rockefeller-hewlett-packard-and-mcknight-foundations-1991> (last visited July 29, 2014).


following partners: ClimateWorks Foundation, Children’s Investment Fund Foundation, David and Lucile Packard Foundation, Grantham Foundation, Lakeshore Foundation, The McKnight Foundation, Oak Foundation, Pisces Foundation, Robertson Foundation, Schmidt Family Foundation, Tilia Fund, TomKat Fund, TOSA Foundation, The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and Yellow Chair Foundation.³¹²

Energy Foundation is a pass through utilized by the most powerful EGA members to create the appearance of a more diversified base of support, to shield them from accountability and to leverage limited resources by hiring dedicated energy/environment staff to handle strategic giving

Moreover, the Board of Directors of the Energy Foundation consists of individuals that either previously or currently serve on the Board of Directors for one of its partnering foundations. Such members include Mark Burget, who was previously President of ClimateWorks Foundation; Khee Poh Lam, who is also a partner of ClimateWorks Foundation Network;³¹³ and Noa Stryk, who previously sat on the board of the McKnight Foundation.³¹⁴

In addition to funding from its partners, the Energy Foundation is the largest recipient of grants from the foreign-funded Sea Change Foundation; yet it appears the Energy Foundation wants to hide donations from Sea Change, as it is not listed as one of the Energy Foundation’s partners. In fact, in 2011 Sea Change’s \$13,966,672 in grants to the Energy Foundation provided nearly 15% of the Energy Foundation’s total contributions and grants revenue; in sharp contrast to a listed partner, Schmidt Family Foundation, who provided \$750,000 to the Energy Foundation in 2011. The Energy Foundation, in turn, donates heavily to activist organizations and other foundations. As depicted in the table below, million dollar grantees of the Energy Foundation include: ALA, Blue Green Alliance, EDF, LCV, NRDC, Sierra Club and UCS.³¹⁵

Energy Foundation Million Dollar Activist Grantees 2010-2012




³¹² *Our Partners*, ENERGY FOUNDATION, <http://www.ef.org> (last visited July 25, 2014).

³¹³ The Energy Foundation, Board Members, Khee Poh Lam, <http://www.ef.org/board/khee-poh-lam/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

³¹⁴ The Energy Foundation, Board Members, Noa Stryk, <http://www.ef.org/board/noa-stryk/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

³¹⁵ Energy Found. IRS Form 990s, 2010-2012.

These groups are also big dollar grantees of foundations funneling funds into the Energy Foundation. For example, the Schmidt Family Foundation donated \$50,000 to ALA in 2012, \$170,000 to Blue Green Alliance in 2012, \$1,500,000 to NRDC between 2010-2012 and \$500,000 to Sierra Club between 2011-2012; The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation donated \$600,000 to EDF between 2011-2013, \$375,000 to LCVEF from 2012-2013, \$2,425,000 to NRDC from 2012-2013 as well as \$2,520,000 to Sierra Club between 2011-2013; and ClimateWorks Foundation donated \$80,000 to NRDC in 2012 and \$690,000 to UCS in 2012. The funding paths and the transfer of money in and around the Energy Foundation are depicted graphically below.



Thus, the Energy Foundation is a pass through utilized by the most powerful EGA members. They use it to create the appearance of a more diversified base of support, to shield

them from accountability, and to leverage limited resources by hiring dedicated energy/environment staff to handle strategic giving.

c. Converting Charitable Donations into Political Outcomes

As previously discussed, foundations, public charities and activist organizations that register as 501(c)(3) organizations receive certain tax benefits under the law. In return, there are strict limitations on how the money can be spent. For example, as also previously discussed, 501(c)(3) groups are strictly forbidden from “directly or indirectly participating in, or intervening in a political campaign for or against a candidate for political office.”³¹⁶ Accordingly, the Billionaire’s Club and activist groups organized as public charities have limited means to influence elections without jeopardizing their designated tax exempt status.

However, the Committee found that in many cases a 501(c)(3) will transfer funds to an affiliated 501(c)(4), which can engage in political activity. Under the law, these donations must only be used for activities within the permissible scope of the 501(c)(3)’s activities.³¹⁷ It is uncertain whether the IRS strictly oversees to ensure this requirement is met. This is especially true given the enormous and difficult task of tracking and accounting for the vast sums of money that pass through several different groups. Although the IRS Form 990s provide space to describe the purpose of a group’s grant, the descriptions given are often vague and overly broad. The circumstances surrounding the flow of money from 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) groups, and the likelihood of lax oversight, raises questions as to whether 501(c)(3) nonprofit foundations and charities are indirectly funding political activities.

i. The Billionaire’s Club May Take Advantage of Lax Oversight and Vague Reporting Requirements

To better understand how the Billionaire’s Club can convert tax deductible donations to political outcomes, the Committee examined the donations made to Green Tech Action Fund, a 501(c)(4) group founded in 2008³¹⁸ and affiliated with the Energy Foundation.³¹⁹ Green Tech’s only internet presence is a one-page website that notes its link to the Energy Foundation, its nonprofit status, and discourages grant seekers from submitting any grant pitches by advising: “Please note, we do not accept unsolicited proposals.”³²⁰


³¹⁶ IRS, The Restriction of Political Campaign Intervention by Section 501(c)(3) Tax-Exempt Organizations, Mar. 5, 2014, [http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/The-Restriction-of-Political-Campaign-Intervention-by-Section-501\(c\)\(3\)-Tax-Exempt-Organizations](http://www.irs.gov/Charities-&-Non-Profits/Charitable-Organizations/The-Restriction-of-Political-Campaign-Intervention-by-Section-501(c)(3)-Tax-Exempt-Organizations) (last visited July 24, 2014).

³¹⁷ Terry Masters, *Can a 501(c)(3) Donate to a 501(c)(4)?*, LEGALZOOM, <http://info.legalzoom.com/can-501c3-donate-501c4-22494.html> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³¹⁸ Green Tech Action Fund, IRS Form 990, 2011.

³¹⁹ THE GREEN TECH ACTION FUND, <http://greentechfund.org>. (last visited July 28, 2014).

³²⁰ *Id.*



Source: www.greentechfund.org

Green Tech’s modest webpage masks the fact that it receives millions of dollars from green nonprofit foundations and then distributes millions of dollars to 501(c)(4) groups that donate to political campaigns. One example involves the flow of money from the Hewlett Foundation and Packard Foundation through Green Tech. Between 2010 and 2012, both foundations donated hundreds of millions of dollars to ClimateWorks Foundation, a 501(c)(3) foundation.³²¹ ClimateWorks then gave nearly \$170 million to the Energy Foundation.³²² Hewlett and Packard gave directly to the Energy Foundation.³²³ The Energy Foundation then gave \$5,676,000 to Green Tech, and ClimateWorks gave it \$1,520,000.³²⁴ The Energy Foundation was incredibly brief, broad and vague in describing the purpose of its 2011 and 2012 grants of \$1 million, respectively, to Green Tech. The 2011 description states: “To support clean energy policies,”³²⁵ while in 2012 the purpose is listed as: “To advance clean technology markets, especially energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies.”³²⁶

Green Tech, in turn, donated heavily to at least three 501(c)(4) far-left environmental activist organizations during the 2010 and 2012 election cycles: the League of Conservation

³²¹ [A] William and Flora Hewlett Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

[B] David and Lucile Packard Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

[C] McKnight Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

³²² William and Flora Hewlett Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

³²³ [A] *Id.*

[B] David and Lucile Packard Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.


³²⁴ [A] Energy Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

[B] ClimateWorks Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012

³²⁵ Energy Found., IRS Form 990, 2011.

³²⁶ Energy Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

Voters, Sierra Club, and the BlueGreen Alliance.³²⁷ Those groups gave predominantly, if not entirely, to Democrat candidates for office. These relationships are illustrated below.



This dizzying flow of big money raises obvious questions. How can all the money be properly accounted for to ensure that the tax laws are followed? How can one be sure, for example, that some of the millions of dollars that originated with the Hewlett and Packard Foundations did not (directly or indirectly) fund a political candidate for office? It is unclear whether the IRS has the resources, or the political will, to carefully track all this money to ensure tax laws are followed. What is clear, though, is that this scheme offers ambitious big moneyed donors the opportunity to fund political outcomes with tax exempt funds.

ii. Activist Public Charities Funnel Money to Political Affiliates

Many of the large environmental organizations form both 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) nonprofits that are publically advertised as separate and independent entities. In reality, they are closely associated groups that transfer money from the Billionaire’s Club to nonprofits, and eventually into political campaigns. For example, the League of Conservation Voters (LCV) is a 501(c)(4) group with an affiliated 501(c)(3) nonprofit almost identically named the League of Conservation Voters Education Fund (LCV Education Fund).³²⁸ In 2012, LCV Education Fund

³²⁷ Green Tech Action Fund, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

³²⁸ *About LCV Education Fund*, LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, <http://www.lcv.org/about/family-of-orgs> (last visited July 24, 2014).

had total assets of just over \$8 million and net assets of over \$7.3 million.³²⁹ According to LCV, LCV Education Fund is “separate from the League of Conservation Voters, with its own mission, programs, and Board of Directors.”³³⁰ Even so, the two groups are very closely intertwined. Forty percent of LCV Education Fund’s Board of Directors also serve on LCV’s Board of Directors.³³¹

An examination of the money flow shows not only the interconnectedness between LCV and LCV Education Fund, but also the heavy influence of the Billionaire’s Club. LCV Education Fund received over \$9 million from the Sea Change Foundation between 2010 and 2011³³²; over \$450,000 from Marisla Foundation between 2010 and 2012³³³; \$370,000 from the Rockefeller Brothers Foundation in 2012³³⁴; \$105,000 from Rockefeller Family Foundation;³³⁵ over \$700,000 from the Tides Foundation between 2010 and 2013³³⁶; and over \$1.3 million from the Energy Foundation between 2010 and 2012.³³⁷ Interestingly, 95% of the Energy Foundation’s giving to LCV Education Fund during that time occurred in the 2010 and 2012 election years.³³⁸

In turn, LCV Education Fund gave over \$2 million to LCV in both 2010 and 2011, and over \$4.6 million in 2012. Tides’ 501(c)(4), the Advocacy Fund, and Green Tech Action Fund (Energy Foundation’s 501(c)(4) group) each gave LCV over \$2 million in each of the 2010 and 2012 elections years.³³⁹ In 2010, LCV gave almost \$1 million to their candidates³⁴⁰ and in 2012, LCV gave over \$1.4 million to candidates.³⁴¹ As of July 2014, LCV has given over \$800,000 to the 2014 elections.³⁴²

³²⁹ League of Conservation Voters Educ. Fund, IRS Form 990, 2012.

³³⁰ League of Conservation Voters, *supra* note 329.

³³¹ *About Board of Directors*, LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS, <http://www.lcv.org/about/board> (last visited July 24, 2014) and *About Board of Directors*, LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS EDUCATION FUND, <http://www.lcvf.org/about/board-of-directors> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³³² Sea Change Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2011.

³³³ Marisla Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

³³⁴ Rockefeller Bros. Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

³³⁵ Rockefeller Family Found., IRS Form 990, 2011-2012.

³³⁶ [A] Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2013.

[B] Tides Found., 2013 Grantee Database, <http://www.tides.org/impact/grantees/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

³³⁷ Energy Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

³³⁸ *Id.*


³³⁹ [A] Green Tech Action Fund, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

[B] Advocacy Fund, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012

³⁴⁰ *Influence & Lobbying: League of Conservation Voters Recipients 2012*, OPENSECRETS.ORG, <http://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/toprecips.php?id=D000000288&type=P&sort=A&cycle=2012> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴¹ *Influence & Lobbying: League of Conservation Voters Recipients 2012*, OPENSECRETS.ORG, <http://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/toprecips.php?id=D000000288&type=P&sort=A&cycle=2012> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴² *Influence & Lobbying: League of Conservation Voters Recipients 2012*, OPENSECRETS.ORG, <http://www.opensecrets.org/orgs/toprecips.php?id=D000000288&type=P&sort=A&cycle=2014> (last visited July 28, 2014).



In addition to LCV, the National Resources Defense Council,³⁴³ the Environmental Defense Fund,³⁴⁴ the Sierra Club³⁴⁵ and 350.org³⁴⁶ also set up affiliate nonprofit organizations to operate in this manner. The large amounts of money, multiple transfers, and questionable

³⁴³ *About the NRDC Action Fund*, NRDC ACTION FUND, <http://www.nrdcactionfund.org/about> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴⁴ *About Us*, EDF ACTION, <http://www.edfaction.org/about-us> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴⁵ *FAQs*, THE SIERRA CLUB FOUNDATION, <http://www.sierraclubfoundation.org/faqs> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴⁶ 350.ORG, <http://350action.org> (last visited July 24, 2014).

regulation strongly suggest that 501(c)(3) environmental groups and foundations are exceeding the scope of their tax exempt limits by financially supporting politically active 501(c)(4)s.

d. Fiscal Sponsorships Provide the Billionaire’s Club with Access to Nimble and Transient Groups and also Provide Distance from their Hired Hands

Fiscal sponsorship arrangements provide the Billionaire’s Club with access to nimble and transient groups to address fast moving political developments. As discussed in this report, fiscal sponsors rent out their 501(c)(3) status to outfits that are not recognized by the IRS. This arrangement allows foundation funds to flow to activists who would not otherwise qualify to receive foundation money as most private foundations have guidelines that explicitly require grantees to be recognized as tax-exempt by the IRS. Additionally, as the fiscal sponsor is generally a well-known and well regarded nonprofit, the arrangement provides distance between the wealthy donor and the actions of their hired hands.

i. Tides Center: The Principal Fiscal Sponsor

Tides Inc., a group of separate yet closely intertwined organizations, sets the standard in inventing the infrastructure behind the fiscal sponsor relationship. Tides heavily funds green organizations and its setup provides one stop shopping for the Billionaire’s Club. The Tides Foundation and the Tides Center are the most prominent affiliates of Tides Inc. The Tides Foundation is a 501(c)(3) organization based in San Francisco founded in 1976 by Drummond Pike,³⁴⁷ an activist environmentalist with connections to other left-leaning foundations and organizations.³⁴⁸ Tides Foundation reported net total assets of over \$135,000,000 in 2012.³⁴⁹ It takes in large sums of money from other large foundations, including the Hewlett Family Foundation, Schmidt Family Foundation, Ocean Conservancy, and Sea Change Foundation. A key component of the Tides’ operations involves maintaining donor anonymity.³⁵⁰

The Tides Center is also a 501(c)(3) organization founded in 1994 and based in San Francisco.³⁵¹ It promotes itself as “the leading fiscal sponsor in the nation,” and boasts of sponsoring roughly 230 projects.³⁵² It receives money from Hewlett, Rockefeller Brothers Foundation, Schmidt, Sea Change, Marisla Foundation and Energy Foundation. Both Tides Foundation and Tides Center heavily support each other. Between 2010 and 2012, Tides Foundation gave over \$10 million to Tides Center,³⁵³ and Tides Center gave over \$39 million to Tides Foundation.³⁵⁴ It is unclear what purpose the transfer of funds between these two organizations serves, other than obscuring the money trail.

³⁴⁷ *History*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/about/history> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴⁸ *Drummond Pike*, RON ARNOLD’S UNDUE INFLUENCE, http://www.undueinfluence.com/drummond_pike.htm (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁴⁹ Tides Found. IRS Form 990 (2012).

³⁵⁰ Ben Johnson, *Teresa Heinz Kerry: Bag Lady for the Radical Left*, FRONTPAGE MAG, Feb. 13, 2004, <http://archive.frontpagemag.com/Printable.aspx?ArtId=14166>.


³⁵¹ Tides Center IRS Form 990 (2012).

³⁵² *Learn About Fiscal Sponsorship at Tides*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/learn-about-fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides#3> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁵³ Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

³⁵⁴ Tides Center, IRS Form 990, 2010-2012.

TOTAL GRANTS BETWEEN TIDES FOUNDATION & TIDES CENTER 2010-2012



As a prominent and highly active fiscal sponsor, Tides Center exerts the Tides’ influence both through controlling funding allocations to its sponsored groups, but also through overseeing their structures, staff, and activities. Tides Center describes its close, intertwined relationship with the groups it sponsors by stating: “Tides’ projects are not separate entities or affiliated organizations. Because they are an integral part of Tides Center and not separate legal entities, projects are able to receive charitable donations and grants available only to tax-exempt organizations. Tides Center is legally and financially responsible for all our projects and activities.”³⁵⁵

Groups that Tides Center sponsors are subject to Tides Center’s oversight and direction in important aspects that include forming a governing board,³⁵⁶ managing payroll,³⁵⁷ and monitoring risk.³⁵⁸ Tides Center stresses its hands-on involvement with its sponsored projects by stating: “Every Tides Center staff member is invested in the success, growth, and achievement of our projects. We continually strive to improve our work and streamline processes so that the provision of services to each project is seamless.”³⁵⁹ In return, Tides Center profits through charging an amount equaling 9% of its sponsored groups’ annual revenues, with a slight percentage reduction in later years for revenues exceeding \$1 million.³⁶⁰

It is unclear what purpose the transfer of funds between these two organizations serves, other than obscuring the money trail.

Despite the influence exerted by the Tides Center’s over a group it sponsors, generally their relationship is not always evident from looking at the group. One example is the Story of Stuff project based in Berkeley, California.³⁶¹ It produces movies, podcasts and other mediums that perpetuate

³⁵⁵ *Learn About Fiscal Sponsorship at Tides*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/learn-about-fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides#2> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁵⁶ *Advisory Board Consultation*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/learn-about-fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides/service-overview/advisory-board-consultation> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁵⁷ *Payroll Management*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides/service-overview/payroll-management> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁵⁸ *Risk Management*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides/service-overview/risk-management> (last visited July 24, 2014).


³⁵⁹ *Project Relations*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/learn-about-fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides/service-overview/project-relations> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁶⁰ *Costs*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/i-want-to/turn-my-vision-ideas-into-a-nonprofit-project/fiscal-sponsorship-at-tides/service-overview/costs> (last visited July 24, 2014).

propaganda on climate change and environmental issues.³⁶² Its founder, Annie Leonard, has been associated with various green groups, including Greenpeace.³⁶³ One of its most notable works is a propaganda movie called *The Story of Stuff*. On its website, Tides Center boasts:

With over 12 million online views, *The Story of Stuff* with Annie Leonard is one of the most widely viewed environmental-themed short films of all time. Since its release in December 2007, *The Story of Stuff* has been shown in thousands of schools, houses of worship, community events, and businesses, and translated into 10 languages. It reveals the connections, at times hidden, between the environmental, social, and economic issues which surround consumerism, and it has inspired a global movement.³⁶⁴

Nonetheless, there is no mention of the Tides Center on the Story of Stuff's website except for a passing reference on a separate "questions" page linked on the donations page that confirms that contributions are tax deductible due to the fiscal sponsorship arrangement.³⁶⁵



Source: https://storyofstuff.actionkit.com/donate/general_donations/

Tides Center-sponsored projects also associate closely with organizations that receive money from Tides Foundation. For example, Tides Center fiscally sponsors The Center for Environment and Policy (CEP), which conducts research and advocacy associated with

³⁶¹ *Project Directory*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/community/project-directory> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁶² *From a Movie to a Movement*, STORY OF STUFF PROJECT, <http://storyofstuff.org> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁶³ *Annie Leonard*, STORY OF STUFF PROJECT, <http://storyofstuff.org/annie> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁶⁴ *Stories*, STORY OF STUFF PROJECT, <http://www.tides.org/impact/stories/show/story/single/title/the-story-of-stuff-project> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁶⁵ *Support the Project!*, STORY OF STUFF PROJECT, https://storyofstuff.actionkit.com/donate/general_donations (last visited July 24, 2014).

sustainable development and populations.³⁶⁶ CEP partners with multiple groups that receive donations from Tides Foundation, including The Nature Conservancy, National Wildlife Federation, Sierra Club, League of Conservation Voters, and Union of Concerned Scientists.³⁶⁷

ii. Sustainable Markets Foundation: An Up and Coming Far-Left Fiscal Sponsor

The New York-based Sustainable Markets Foundation (SMF) is another significant fiscal sponsor. Unlike Tides, Inc., it is a relatively unknown quantity. SMF only exists on paper and has zero public presence – no website, no Facebook page, no Twitter account, nothing. Accordingly, only an extensive review of its IRS Form-990s can inform the public of its activities; however, this review was limited to 2010 and 2011 because SMF’s IRS Form-990 for 2012 is not public. Through meticulous research, the Committee identified Jay Halfon, previously discussed in this report, as the director and general counsel of SMF. The group was also the fiscal sponsor of the controversial 350.org.³⁶⁸ Moreover, nearly all of the members of the Billionaire’s Club donate to SMF, including Schmidt, Global Wallace Fund, RFF, RBF, Park, Energy, and Tides.

SMF only exists on paper and has zero public presence – no website, no Facebook page, no Twitter account, nothing.

The Committee also found that SMF is the fiscal sponsor to more groups than listed on its IRS Form-990. Overall, the Committee determined that SMF fiscally sponsors at least the following groups:

- Gas Free Seneca³⁶⁹
- “Flowback Project”³⁷⁰
- Frack Free Genesee³⁷¹
- Frack Action³⁷²
- Coalition to Protect New York³⁷³
- The Palast Investigative Fund³⁷⁴
- Artists Against Fracking³⁷⁵

³⁶⁶ *About Us*, CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENT & POPULATION, <http://www.cepnet.org/about.php> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁶⁷ [A] Tides Found. IRS Form 990, 2011-2013.

[B] Center for Am. Progress, IRS Form 990, 2011-2013.

³⁶⁸ Discover the Network, 350.org, <http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/printgroupProfile.asp?grpid=7623> (last visited July 28, 2014).

³⁶⁹ *Donate*, GAS FREE SENECA, http://gasfreeseneca.com/?page_id=40 (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁷⁰ Chip Northrup, *Support “The Flowback” Newsletter*, NO FRACKING WAY (Sep. 6, 2012), <http://www.nofrackingway.us/2012/09/06/support-the-flowback-newsletter>.

³⁷¹ FRACKFREEGENESE, <http://frackfreegenesee.blogspot.com> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁷² *Donate*, FRACK ACTION,

https://org2.salsalabs.com/o/7139/p/salsa/donation/common/public/?donate_page_KEY=3598 (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁷³ COALITION TO PROTECT NEW YORK, <http://www.coalitiontoprotectnewyork.org> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁷⁴ *No Gift Donations*, SUSTAINABLE MARKETS FOUNDATION, <http://www.palastinvestigativefund.org/?nogiftdonation> (last visited July 24, 2014).

- No Impact Project³⁷⁶
- Climate Summer³⁷⁷
- Center for Climate and Security³⁷⁸

Since SMF only serves as a pass through to funnel money into these organizations, it is apparent that they are merely a tool for the Billionaire’s Club to facilitate the transfer of money to fringe startups.

1. A SMF Project: “The Message”

“The Message” is a multi-platform project on climate change.³⁷⁹ The first part of the project is a non-fiction book expected for release in fall 2014 by Naomi Klein, to be followed by a documentary currently in production.³⁸⁰ In 2011 and 2012, SMF received donations for and distributed grants to “The Message.” Specifically, in 2011, the Rockefeller Brothers Fund gave SMF \$50,000 for “The Message,” Wallace Global Fund gave SMF \$75,000 for “The Message,” and Schmidt Family Foundation gave \$40,000 to SMF “to support development of a film titled, The Message.”³⁸¹ While those donations total \$165,000 in 2011, that year SMF gave \$112,360 – the difference seemingly represents SMF’s fiscal sponsor fee. The following year, the Schmidt Family Foundation gave SMF \$100,000 “to support ‘The Message’ film.”³⁸²



Naomi Klein Arrested at the White House³⁸⁵

Ms. Klein herself is a controversial figure. In 2011, she was very active in the Occupy Wall Street movement³⁸³ – giving speeches at two large events³⁸⁴ – where she sought to invigorate activists to combine their efforts with the environmentalists. That same year, she

³⁷⁵ Michael Gornley, *NY fracking foes: will become lobby if necessary*, AP, Mar. 18, 2013, <http://bigstory.ap.org/article/apnewsbreak-celeb-fracking-group-not-registered>.

³⁷⁶ Also lists 11th Hour Project (of Schmidt Foundation), Patagonia and Wallace Global Fund, among its funders. See <http://noimpactproject.org/donate/>

³⁷⁷ *Donate*, BETTER FUTURE PROJECT, <http://climatesummer.net/donate> (last visited July 24, 2014).

³⁷⁸ The Center for Climate and Security, *About Us*, <http://climateandsecurity.org/about/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

³⁷⁹ 11th Hour Project, *The Message*, <http://www.11thhourproject.org/grantees/the-message> (last visited July 28, 2014).

³⁸⁰ Prospect Editorial, *World Thinkers 2014: Naomi Klein*, PROSPECT, Mar. 25, 2014, <http://www.prospectmagazine.co.uk/other/naomi-klein>.

³⁸¹ Schmidt Family Foundation IRS Form 990 (2011).

³⁸² Schmidt Family Foundation IRS Form 990 (2012).

³⁸³ Naomi Klein, *Occupy Wall Street: The Most Important Thing in the World Now*, THE NATION, Oct. 6, 2011, <http://www.thenation.com/article/163844/occupy-wall-street-most-important-thing-world-now#>.

³⁸⁴ The New School, *Occupy Everywhere: On the New Politics and Possibilities of the Movement Against Corporate Power*, THE NATION, Nov. 9, 2011, <http://www.thenation.com/video/164494/watch-michael-moore-naomi-klein-and-others-owss-possibilities>.

³⁸⁵ Born-Again Communism, or simply, Love Doctrine, ARCADE, <http://arcade.stanford.edu/blogs/born-again-communism-or-simply-love-doctrine> (last visited July 29, 2014).

attended a demonstration outside the White House protesting the Keystone XL pipeline, where she was arrested.³⁸⁶ Ms. Klein’s extreme behavior may be a liability for billionaire foundations who want to maintain close control of the way their money is used. Conveniently, the SMF provides a means for the Billionaire’s Club to distance themselves from the activist Ms. Klein, while still funding her work.

2. A SMF Project: Physicians, Scientists & Engineers for Healthy Energy

SMF also funds Anthony Ingraffea’s Physicians, Scientists & Engineers for Healthy Energy – a producer of anti-fracking reports – with offices in New York and California. Ingraffea, a Cornell University professor, is widely known for his highly refuted 2011 study with Robert Howarth on methane emissions from shale oil and gas development. Despite the overall criticism of the report, he emerged as a prominent environmental ally for extreme activists. As *Politico* reported, “[h]e’ll admit, though, that he uses the platform high-profile environmentalists provide to “amplify” his advocacy to compete with the natural gas industry’s advertising and public relations machine.”³⁸⁷ For example, *Time Magazine* listed him and Howarth among the 2011 “People who Mattered,” along with actor and founder of Water Defense, Mark Ruffalo, for accelerating hydraulic fracturing to a national issue.³⁸⁸ He also joined Ruffalo on a panel hosted by Artists Against Fracking and participated in Josh Fox’s documentary *Gasland Part II* – two projects also relying on SMF for funding.³⁸⁹



Mark Ruffalo (left), Anthony Ingraffea (center) and Sean Lennon (right)³⁹⁰

³⁸⁶ Naomi Klein arrested at D.C. pipeline protest, CBC NEWS, Sep. 2, 2011, <http://www.cbc.ca/news/world/naomi-klein-arrested-at-d-c-pipeline-protest-1.1109391> (last visited July 25, 2014).

³⁸⁷ Talia Buford, *Anthony Ingraffea: Don’t label me an activist*, POLITICO, July 9, 2013, <http://www.politico.com/story/2013/07/anthony-ingraffea-dont-label-me-an-activist-93839> html (last visited July 25, 2014).

³⁸⁸ Bryan Walsh, *People Who Mattered Mark Ruffalo, Anthony Ingraffea, Robert Howarth*, TIME, Dec. 14, 2011, http://content.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,2101745_2102309_2102323,00.html.

³⁸⁹ Talia Buford, *Anthony Ingraffea: Don’t label me an activist*, POLITICO, July 9, 2013, <http://www.politico.com/story/2013/07/anthony-ingraffea-dont-label-me-an-activist-93839> html.

³⁹⁰ Talia Buford, *Anthony Ingraffea: Don’t label me an activist*, PoliticoPro, July 9, 2013, <http://www.politico.com/story/2013/07/anthony-ingraffea-dont-label-me-an-activist-93839> html.

In 2010 and 2011 SMF donated \$140,000 to Ingraffea’s group, but it is not clear whether any of those funds were directed by private foundations. At a minimum, the Committee identified a \$40,000 grant from the Park Foundation to SMF specifically for Ingraffea’s group in 2012. However, due to the large sums of money SMF received without descriptions from private foundations such as Rockefeller Family Fund, Wallace Global Fund, and Schmidt Family Foundation, one can assume the money to Ingraffea can be traced back to the Billionaire’s Club.

3. A SMF Project: Toxics Targeting

Walter Hang is another anti-fracking activist in upstate New York relying on SMF for funding. Hang appears to have a personal connection with SMF, as he along with three of the four members of SMF’s board, previously worked for PIRG. Hang has described his outfit, Toxics Targeting, as a firm providing services to a broad array of consumers: “. . . we help engineers, we help consultants, we help municipalities, we help newspapers, and we just never reveal anything about who our clients are or what the scope is or anything like that.”³⁹¹ SMF transferred \$281,000 in 2010 to Hang’s group.³⁹² In 2011, SMF transferred \$304,163 to Hang.³⁹³ Moreover, in 2012 the California-based Schmidt Family Foundation funneled \$135,000 through SMF “to support Toxics Targeting and their work towards clean energy in New York.”³⁹⁴

“[W]e just never reveal anything about who our clients are or what the scope is or anything like that.”
-Walter Hang of Toxics Targeting

Similar to Ms. Klein and Ingraffea, Hang is also controversial through his efforts to encourage protesters to support a fracking ban in New York. He states:

It is imperative that activists vote with their feet and attend this landmark national gig. We must show up by the hundreds, if not the thousands . . . We need fracking activists from all over New York as well as Pennsylvania, Ohio and other states to make Friday a massive event.³⁹⁵

While the private foundations may agree with Hang’s overall objective, they may not want to associate with his means of achieving it. As such, SMF provides a convenient layer between rich liberal donors and Hang.

e. The Billionaire’s Club Collaborating with Shady Foreign Funders

The Committee has also uncovered evidence that the Billionaire’s Club knowingly collaborates with shady offshore funders to maximize support for the far-left environmental activists they sponsor. The Sea Change Foundation is a heavy contributor to the Energy

³⁹¹ Jon Campbell, *Foundation’s funds continue flow to fracking critics*, DEMOCRAT AND CHRONICLE, July 21, 2013, <http://www.democratandchronicle.com/story/news/local/2013/07/21/foundations-funds-continue-flow-to-fracking-critics/2573681> (last accessed July 25, 2014).

³⁹² Sustainable Markets Found. IRS Form 990 (2011).

³⁹³ *Id.*


³⁹⁴ Schmidt Family Found. IRS Form 990 (2012).

³⁹⁵ Rick Karlin, *Protesters to target Obama with anti-fracking demonstrations*, FUEL FIX, Aug. 22, 2013, 7:00 AM, <http://fuelfix.com/blog/2013/08/22/protesters-to-target-obama-with-anti-fracking-demonstrations>.

Foundation, as discussed above. However, their donations are not advertised by the Energy Foundation or the other donors, most likely because the vast majority of Sea Change’s funding comes from a Bermuda based corporation that deliberately hides the source of their funds.

i. Sea Change Foundation and Bermuda-Based Donors

Sea Change Foundation is a private foundation based in San Francisco, California. In 2011 Sea Change Foundation was the sixth largest donor to environmental causes, giving \$43,149,911 in grants to environmental and far-left environmental activists.³⁹⁶ While it is clear Sea Change is a major player in funding the environmental movement, the foundation offers almost no information to the public. The little information available on Sea Change is limited to a review of its IRS Form-990 for 2010 and 2011 as its 2012 form is not public, and a sparse website that only lists its logo and three-sentence mission.



Source: www.seachange.org.

Based on this limited information, the foundation has been summarized by Inside Philanthropy as:

No more fundraising, just lots of check writing to some of the top organizations in the environmental world. Big checks, too. And all without dealing with the infamous bureaucracies of the large legacy foundations. Sea Change dispenses millions of dollars in grants each year to organizations that promote clean energy and work to reduce carbon emissions.³⁹⁷

³⁹⁶ Environmental Grantmakers Association, *Tracking the Field Volume 4*, Oct. 9, 2013, http://ega.org/sites/default/files/pubs/summaries/EGA_TTF_v4_ExecSummary_Final.pdf.

³⁹⁷ *The Gatekeepers Guide to Top Program Officers & Foundation Executives: Stephen Colwell, Sea Change Foundation*, INSIDE PHILANTHROPY, <http://insidephilanthropy.squarespace.com/insider-guide-to-program-offic/stephen-colwell-sea-change-foundation.html> (last visited July 24, 2014).

A review of the IRS Form 990s reveals that Nathaniel (“Nat”) Simons is the President of Sea Change, and his wife, Laura Baxter-Simons, is its Secretary.³⁹⁸ Nat and Laura predominately fund Sea Change through their own personal wealth, mostly attributed to their ties to Renaissance Technologies, a wildly profitably hedge fund.³⁹⁹ Nat was once a principal at Renaissance and currently serves on the board of Renaissance; Laura was an associate counsel at Renaissance.⁴⁰⁰ Notably, Nat’s father, James Simons founded Renaissance.⁴⁰¹ James Simons has been described as “one of the richest people on the planet,⁴⁰² is ranked 34th on the Forbes’ Richest People in America,⁴⁰³ and 88th on the Forbes’ list of world billionaires with a net worth of \$12 billion.⁴⁰⁴ In fact, the most recent data from the Center for Responsive Politics listed James and Nat’s mother, Marilyn Simons, as the #5 top contributor in the 2014 mid-term election cycle, donating 100% to Democrats – totaling \$3,289,200.⁴⁰⁵ Nat also actively donates to political campaigns, including giving \$15,000 to Obama’s 2009 Inaugural, and has given to dozens of Democratic candidates for Congress.⁴⁰⁶

Nat also serves as CEO of Elan Management where he manages the early stages of clean tech companies with a focus on solar and wind energy sources.⁴⁰⁷ Similar to Sea Change, Elan is based in San Francisco. Elan also created Prelude Ventures in 2009, an investment firm dedicated to clean energy. Tim Woodward, Managing Director of Prelude Ventures, has made comments revealing Elan’s agenda: “we still believe that advancements in renewables from solar to wind will be important opportunities . . . Unfortunately, energy is still a very inexpensive resource in North America, and that can make it difficult for many customers to pay much attention”⁴⁰⁸ (*emphasis added*). Only an affiliate of the Billionaire’s Club invested in renewable technologies would express disappointment in low energy prices.

Aside from the Simons, Stephen Colwell is the Executive Director of Sea Change. He previously worked as a consultant for “foundations and high-net-worth individuals, building his own consulting practice based in Berkeley and working with top environmental funders in the

³⁹⁸ Sea Change Found. IRS Form 990-PF, 2011.

³⁹⁹ Tate Williams, *The Quiet Hedge Fund Heir Who's Engaged in Massive Climate Giving*, INSIDE PHILANTHROPY, Apr. 3, 2013, <http://www.insidephilanthropy.com/wall-street-wallets/2014/4/3/the-quiet-hedge-fund-heir-whos-engaged-in-massive-climate-gi.html>.

⁴⁰⁰ *Id.*

⁴⁰¹ *Id.*

⁴⁰² *Hedge Fund - Renaissance Technologies Profile*, INSIDER MONKEY, <http://www.insidermonkey.com/hedge-fund/renaissance+technologies/5> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁴⁰³ *The Forbes 400: The Richest People in America*, FORBES, http://www.forbes.com/forbes-400/#page:4_sort:0_direction:asc_search:_filter:All%20industries_filter:All%20states_filter:All%20categories (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁴⁰⁴ FORBES, #34 James Simons, <http://www.forbes.com/profile/james-simons/> (last visited July 29, 2014).

⁴⁰⁵ *Top Individual Contributors: All Federal Contributions*, OPENSECRETS.ORG, <http://www.opensecrets.org/overview/topindivs.php> (last visited July 24, 2014).

⁴⁰⁶ OpenSecrets.org, Search of Nat Simons, <http://www.opensecrets.org/usearch/?q=nat+simons&cx=010677907462955562473%3Anlldkv0jvam&cof=FORID%3A11> (last visited July 29, 2014).

⁴⁰⁷ Inside Philanthropy, *Sea Change Foundation: Grants for Climate Change*, <http://www.insidephilanthropy.com/fundraising-for-climate-change/sea-change-foundation-grants-for-climate-change.html> (last visited July 29, 2014).

⁴⁰⁸ Alexandra Scott, *Longtime Energy VC Joins Family Office*, CLEANTECH INNOVATION, <http://cleantechsummit.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/CT-Article.pdf> (last visited July 24, 2014).

Bay Area, including Moore, Packard, and Hewlett. Eventually he joined forces with Nat Simons.⁴⁰⁹ Colwell's statements at a 2010 Global Philanthropy Forum provide a better understanding of his perspective on environmental funding:

While today's discussion will be able to touch on only a small part of the threats and the potential solutions to climate change, I hope by the end you'll be clear on three points: The threats to humans caused by climate change are real and immediate. Climate change can be addressed now. There are cost-effective solutions. It is failing to address climate change that will be extraordinarily expensive, both in economic terms and in terms of human suffering. Philanthropists of all kinds, even those who don't consider themselves environmental philanthropists, have an incredibly important role to play in this fight, and that's part of what we'll be discussing today.⁴¹⁰ (*emphasis added*)

Sea Change's IRS Form 990 also shows that in addition to funding by the Simons, the only other source of its contributions derives from a Bermuda-based company called Klein Ltd. It appears that Klein exists on paper only, as it does not have an internet presence, and was set up for the sole purpose of funneling anonymous donations to Sea Change.⁴¹¹ In 2010, Klein contributed \$13 million to Sea Change, amounting to 49% of all contributions to Sea Change that year, and in 2011 Klein contributed \$10 million to Sea Change, amounting to 33% of all contributions to Sea Change.⁴¹² Bermuda offer Klein government guaranteed anonymity for the sources of their donations.⁴¹³ As a practical matter, an overseas company contributing tens of millions to organizations dedicated to abolishing the use of affordable fossil fuels is highly problematic. This is only compounded by the fact it is deliberately and completely lacking in transparency. However, it is likely this lack of transparency shields Klein Ltd. from any responsibility to the American businesses and families it hurts.

It appears that Klein exists on paper only as it does not have an internet presence, and was set up for the sole purpose of funneling anonymous donations to Sea Change

Importantly, Sea Change's funding reveals massive amounts of grants to almost all the major environmental and far-left activists previously discussed in this report. A summary of these grants is compiled below.

⁴⁰⁹ Inside Philanthropy, Stephen Cowell, Sea Change Foundation, <http://insidephilanthropy.squarespace.com/insider-guide-to-program-offic/stephen-colwell-sea-change-foundation.html> (last visited July 29, 2014).

⁴¹⁰ Global Philanthropy Forum, *Meeting the Challenge—Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation*, <http://philanthropyforum.org/sessions/meeting-the-challenge-climate-change-mitigation-and-adaptation/#sthash.REfD2xAh.dpuf> (last visited July 29, 2014).

⁴¹¹ Lachlan Markay, *Liberal Foundation Distributed Money from Bermuda to Liberal Nonprofits*, WASHINGTON FREE BEACON, Aug. 1, 2013, 3:30 PM, <http://freebeacon.com/national-security/liberal-foundation-distributed-money-from-bermuda-to-liberal-nonprofits>.

⁴¹² *Id.*

⁴¹³ *Id.*

Sea Change Funding for Major Environmental and Far-Left Activists

Organization	Total Grants 2010-2011
League of Conservation Voters Education Fund	\$10,700,000
Sierra Club Foundation	\$6,950,000
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.	\$4,187,500
Environmental Defense Fund, Inc.	\$1,162,500
American Lung Association	\$400,000
National Wildlife Federation	\$3,400,000
World Wildlife Fund, Inc.	\$4,500,000
Center for American Progress	\$2,500,000
TOTAL:	\$33,800,000

Source: 2010 and 2011 990-PF Forms


Sea Change also donates to other foundations that serve as pass-through entities such as the Energy Foundation, Tides, and Virginia Organizing that heavily donate to the same groups. Energy Foundation is the single largest recipient of funding from Sea Change receiving a total \$27,924,440 in 2010 and 2011.⁴¹⁴

All of Sea Change's grants are focused on environmental issues. In fact, in 2010, Sea Change contributed \$10,933,332 in grants to environmental organizations to "reduce reliance on high carbon energy."⁴¹⁵ The next year, 2011, Sea Change allocated nearly \$10 million in grants for the same purpose. Thus, in 2010-2011, this one private foundation, which receives over 30% of its funding from a foreign overseas company, actively seeking to hide the source of its funding, contributed over \$20 million dollars to fight domestic fossil energy production. The following graphic illustrates how funding from Klein Ltd. is funneled through Sea Change to prominent environmental organizations.

⁴¹⁴ Sea Change Found., IRS Form 990, 2010-2011.

⁴¹⁵ *Id.*

Renewables Investor & Foreign Funder Backing Prominent Far-Left Organizations



The role Sea Change plays as a member of the Billionaire’s Club is deeply troubling, especially in light of recent revelations that environmental activists, many of whom are clearly benefiting from this extreme “dark money,” do not have any moral qualms over where their money comes from – so long as it supports the far-left cause.⁴¹⁶ This sentiment was captured by a recently released investigative video that revealed Hollywood producers of far-left environmental films were eager to accept Middle Eastern money to produce an anti-fracking video. Notably, in speaking with a man believed to represent rich Middle Eastern oil interests opposed to American energy independence through fracking, one producer said:

⁴¹⁶ Project Veritas, Full Un edited raw video, exclusive release by Project Veritas, available at YouTube.com, <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=v6HZ5VLmyes&list=UUL9PIYkRD3Q-RZca6CCnPKw> (last visited July 29, 2014).

This is not the first major project that we've had funded through a funding source which you know, for various reasons – we didn't disclose. It would have been very unwise for everybody to understand the dynamics of that funding. So we know how tricky it is.... It's money, so in that sense we have no moral issue.⁴¹⁷

⁴¹⁷ *Id.*

CONCLUSION

EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy recently told Congress that the Agency's proposed Existing Source Performance Standards for coal fired power plants, which is widely believed to be the death knell for coal as an industry, was, in fact, an opportunity for economic growth: "The great thing about this proposal is that it really is an investment opportunity. This is not about pollution control. It's about increased efficiency at our plants, no matter where you want to invest. It's about investment in renewables and clean energy."⁴¹⁸ In fact, multiple sources, including the *New York Times*, have attributed the authorship of the proposal in large part to the "NRDC mafia," including David Doniger, Daniel Lashof, and David Hawkins.⁴¹⁹

As this report reveals, NRDC obtains a significant amount of donations from the Energy Foundation, which is heavily funded by Sea Change Foundation, whose major donors are heavily invested in renewable technologies. This report offers a new perspective on the "opportunities" McCarthy was referring to which are the economic opportunities of millionaires and billionaires who are part of the far-left environmental machine heavily invested in helping EPA advance such regulations. It is surely not an opportunity for Americans living in Appalachia or the Powder River Basin who depend on coal for their energy supply and livelihood, nor is it an economic opportunity for Americans already struggling to pay their energy bills.

Therein lies the importance of this report and the reason the Committee is dedicated to unraveling the puzzle presented by the questionable funding tactics of the far-left environmental community. Through a series of case studies, this report exposes the most politically active donors, explains how they use loopholes in the tax law to funnel tax deductible contributions to far-left environmental activist, and details how those activists turn the "investments" into political results. Moreover, the report uncovers the shocking lengths wealthy liberal donors are willing to take to hide their involvement in the scheme. Finally, the report shines a light on the alarming reality that unknown foreign investors are financing the environmental movement, using the shady Sea Change Foundation as cover.

The report uncovers how the EPA is very much an active partner in the far-left environmental movement, and even sponsors their efforts through grants to environmental activist. Former Assistant Administrator Michelle DePass and current Region 2 Administrator Judith Enck are far from the exception to the rule.

Finally, this report is necessarily limited in its scope and only scratches the surface in its effort to document the money trail. Furthermore, as the Committee's jurisdiction is limited to oversight of the EPA and energy and environmental policy, so too this report is constrained to reviewing activities intended to influence such policy. There is an abundance of evidence to suggest that this system is replicated across the progressive coalition. More investigation and oversight is needed.

⁴¹⁸ EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy, testimony before the Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, July 23, 2014.

⁴¹⁹ Coral Davenport, *Taking Oil Industry Cue, Environmentalists Drew Emissions Blueprint*, THE NEW YORK TIMES, July 6, 2014, http://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/07/us/how-environmentalists-drew-blueprint-for-obama-emissions-rule.html?_r=0.

APPENDIX A: TOP FOUNDATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL GIVING

Private Foundations

David and Lucile Packard Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Los Altos, CA

EIN: 94-2278431

Total Assets: \$6,299,952,716⁴²⁰

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Edward W. (Ned) Barnholt, Ipek S. Burnett, Jason K. Burnett, Nancy Packard Burnett, James Clark, Linda Griego, Carol S. Larson, Jane Lubchenco, Linda A. Mason, David Orr, Susan Packard Orr, Julie E. Packard, Ward W. Woods⁴²¹

Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Palo Alto, CA

EIN: 94-3397785

Total Assets: \$5,697,258,026⁴²²

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Gordon Moore – Chairman, Bruce Alberts, Rosina Bierbaum, James C. Gaither, Paul Gray, John Hennessy, Kathleen Justice-Moore, Kenneth G. Moore, Kristen L. Moore, Steven E. Moore, Kenneth F. Siebel

Marisla Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Laguna Beach, CA

EIN: 33-0200133

Total Assets: \$51,482,397⁴²³

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Unknown

Park Foundation, Inc.

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Ithaca, NY

EIN: 16-6071043

Total Assets: \$366,405,008⁴²⁴

EGA Member*: No

Board Members: Adelaide Gomer – President, Alicia P. Wittink – Vice President, William L. Bondurant – Treasurer, Richard G. Robb, Jerome B. Libin, Jay R. Halfon

⁴²⁰ David and Lucile Packard Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴²¹ *About the Foundation, Our People: Board of Trustees*, DAVID AND LUCILE PACKARD FOUNDATION, <http://www.packard.org/about-the-foundation/our-people/board-of-trustees> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴²² Gordon and Betty Moore Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴²³ Marisla Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴²⁴ Park Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Inc.

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: New York, NY

EIN: 13-1760106

Total Assets: \$800,956,943⁴²⁵

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Richard G. Rockefeller – Chairman, Joseph A. Pierson – Vice Chairman, Stephen C. Rockefeller, David Rockefeller, Anne Bartley, Vali Nasr, R. Nicholas Burns, Valerie Wayne, Miranda M. Kaiser, Wendy O’Neill, Timothy O’Neill, Wendy Gordon, Justin Rockefeller, Arlene Shuler, Marsha Simms, Kavita Ramdas, Stephen B. Heintz

Schmidt Family Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Palo Alto, CA

EIN: 20-4170342

Total Assets: \$312,189,881⁴²⁶

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Karen Krumholtz – Executive Director, Richard L. Schmidt, Barbara M. Schmidt, Raymond J. Webb, JP Morgan Chase Bank

Sea Change Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 20-4952986

Total Assets: \$124,350,435⁴²⁷

EGA Member*: No

Board Members: Nathaniel Simons – President, Laura Baxter-Simons, Secretary⁴²⁸

TomKat Charitable Trust

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 38-6866542

Total Assets: \$177,849,515⁴²⁹

EGA Member*: No

Board Members: Brooks Shumway - Executive Director, Kathryn Hall, Erin Eisenberg⁴³⁰

TomKat Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: San Francisco, CA

⁴²⁵ Rockefeller Bros. Fund, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴²⁶ Schmidt Family Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴²⁷ SeaChange Found., IRS Form 990, 2011.

⁴²⁸ SeaChange Found., IRS Form 990, 2011.

⁴²⁹ TomKat Charitable Trust, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴³⁰ TomKat Charitable Trust, IRS Form 990, 2012.

EIN: 20-5730928

Total Assets: \$28,458⁴³¹

EGA Member*: No

Board Members: Tom Steyer – President, Kathryn Taylor, Brooks Shumway⁴³²

Wallace Global Fund

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Washington, DC

EIN: 80-0424607

Total Assets: \$155,471,213⁴³³

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Johanna Dominguez – Associate Director, Astra Wallace – Associate Director, Annie Leonard, Scott Wallace, Christy Wallace, Scott Fitzmorris⁴³⁴

Walton Family Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Bentonville, AR

EIN: 13-3441466

Total Assets: \$1,999,066,369⁴³⁵

EGA Member*: No

Board Members: Unknown

William & Flora Hewlett Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Private Foundation

Registered: Menlo Park, CA

EIN: 94-1655673

Total Assets: \$7,735,371,139⁴³⁶

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Harvey V. Feinberg – Chairman, Larry D. Kramer – President, Eric Gimon, Walter B. Hewlett, Patricia A. House, Koh Boon Hwee, Mary H. Jaffe, Richard C. Levin, Stephen C. Neal, Rakesh Rajani, Jean Gleason Stromberg⁴³⁷

Public Charities

ClimateWorks Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 26-2303250

Total Assets: \$219,543,071⁴³⁸

⁴³¹ TomKat Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴³² TomKat Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴³³ Wallace Global Fund, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴³⁴ *About, Board*, WALLACE GLOBAL FUND, <http://www.wgf.org/about> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴³⁵ Walton Family Foundation, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴³⁶ William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, Form 990, 2012.

⁴³⁷ *About Us: Board Members and Officers*, WILLIAM AND FLORA HEWLETT FOUNDATION, <http://www.hewlett.org/about-us/board-members-and-officers> (last visited July 28, 2014).

EGA Member*: No

Function: Pass-through Foundation

Board Members: Susan Tierney - Chair, Jamshyd N. Godrej, Larry Kramer, Carol S. Larson, Pamela Matson, Kristian Parker, Charlotte Pera, William K. Reilly⁴³⁹

Energy Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 94-3126848

Total Assets: \$32,212,733⁴⁴⁰

EGA Member*: Yes

Function: Pass-through foundation

Board Members: Eric Heitz – President, Phil Sharp – Board Chair, Mark Burget, Stephen Harper, Khee Poh Lam, Kris Mayes, Bill Ritter, Jr., William Ruckelshaus, Noa Staryk, Sue Tierney, Michael Wang, Hongjun Zhang⁴⁴¹

Sustainable Markets Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

Registered: New York, NY

EIN: 13-4188834

Total Assets: \$2,056,007⁴⁴²

EGA Member*: No

Function: Pass-through Foundation, Fiscal Sponsor

Board Members: Elizabeth Hitchcock – President, Steve Kleinberg – Vice President, Geoff Boehm, Secretary/Treasurer, Jay Halfon – Director/General Counsel⁴⁴³

Tides, Inc.

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 57-1138099

Total Assets: \$13,172,012⁴⁴⁴

EGA Member*: No

Board Members: Vincent McGee – Chair, Tuti B. Scott – Vice Chair, Noa Emmett Aluli, Kafi D. Blumenfield, Joanie Bronfman, Stephanie J. Clohesy, Michael Fernandez, Jacob Hunter Fisher, Lisa Hall, Peter Mellen, Suzanne Nossel, John A. Powell, Chuck C. Savitt⁴⁴⁵

Tides Center

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

⁴³⁸ ClimateWorks Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴³⁹ *About – Our Board*, CLIMATEWORKS, <http://www.climateworks.org/about/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁴⁰ Energy Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁴¹ *About Us: Board*, ENERGY FOUND., <http://www.ef.org/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁴² Sustainable Markets Found., IRS Form 990, 2011.

⁴⁴³ Sustainable Markets Found., IRS Form 990, 2011.

⁴⁴⁴ Sustainable Markets Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁴⁵ *About: Board, Tides Board of Directors*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/about/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 94-3213100

Total Assets: \$72,150,699⁴⁴⁶

EGA Member*: No

Function: Fiscal Sponsor

Board Members: Stephanie Clohesy – Chair, Tuti Scott, John Powell, Suzanne Nossel, Michael Fernandez⁴⁴⁷

Tides Foundation

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

Registered: San Francisco, CA

EIN: 51-0198509

Total Assets: \$141,039,613⁴⁴⁸

EGA Member*: Yes

Board Members: Joanie Bronfman – Chair, Lisa Hall, Vincent McGee, Chuck Savitt, Jacob Fisher, Kafi Blumenfield⁴⁴⁹

Virginia Organizing

Status: 501(c)(3) Public Charity

Registered: Charlottesville, VA

EIN: 54-1674992

Total Assets: \$2,888,732⁴⁵⁰

EGA Member*: No

Function: Fiscal Sponsor

Board Members: Sandra Cook – Chairperson, D. Ladelle McWhorter, Vice-Chairperson, Thomasine Wilson – Secretary, Denise Smith – At-Large Executive Committee Member, Janice “Jay” Johnson – Treasurer, Debra Grant, Gabrielle Brown, Janie Williams, Johnny Mayo, Ray Scher⁴⁵¹

⁴⁴⁶ Tides Center, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁴⁷ *About: Board, The Tides Center Board of Directors*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/about/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁴⁸ Tides Found., IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁴⁹ *About: Board, The Tides Foundation Board of Directors*, TIDES, <http://www.tides.org/about/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁵⁰ Virginia Organizing, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁵¹ *About: Board Members*, VIRGINIA ORGANIZING, <http://www.virginia-organizing.org/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

APPENDIX B: ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS

American Lung Association (ALA)

Type: 501(c)(3)

Total Assets: \$31,049,040⁴⁵²

Board Members: Kathryn A. Forbes, Chair; John F. Emanuel, Vice Chair; Penny J. Siewart, Secretary/Treasurer; Ross P. Lanzafame, Past Chair; Linn Billingsley, Michael F. Busk, Cheryl A. Calhoun, Chris Carney, Michael V. Carstens, Mario Castro, Arthur A. Cerullo, Pauline Grant; Sumita Khatri; Angela V. Mastrofrancesco; Robert Merchant; Stephen J. Nolan; Stephen R. O’Kane; Harry Perlstadt; Austin K. Pugh; Jane Z. Reardon; Jeffrey T. Stein; Karin A. Tollefson; Leticia W. Towns

Executive Leadership: Harold Wimmer, President and CEO

Membership Dues: None

Center for Biological Diversity (CBD)

Type: 501(c)(3)⁴⁵³

Total Assets: \$12,282,335⁴⁵⁴

Board Members: Marcey Olajos, Board Chair; Stephanie Zill, Treasurer; Matt Frankell Peter Galvin; Todd Steiner; Todd Schulke (on the Founders Advisory Board); Dr. Robin Silver (on the Founders Advisory Board)⁴⁵⁵

Executive Leadership: Kierán Suckling, Executive Director and Cofounder; Michael Finkelstein, Director of Operations and Secretary; Marcy Brell, Chief Financial Officer; Judy Anderson, Bookkeeper; John Buse, Legal Director, Interim General Counsel; Peter Galvin, Director of Programs and Cofounder; Gus Glaser, Executive Assistant; Trish Mallon, Human Resources Director; Rebecca O’Sullivan, Regional Office Manager; Linda Wells, Director of Finance⁴⁵⁶

Membership Dues: An amount greater than 0 (suggested \$35) must be donated when you sign up. It is tax-deductible.

Party Affiliation: “Whereas [CBD], we’re truly nonpartisan. It’s not because we have some naïve concept of nonpartisanship. It’s that the Democratic Party is not adequately looking after the needs of nature, and therefore it’s counterproductive to completely ally with them.”⁴⁵⁷

⁴⁵² American Lung Association, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁵³ Center for Biological Diversity, *State Fundraising Disclosures*, http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/support/membership/state_fundraising_disclosures.html (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁵⁴ Center for Biological Diversity, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁵⁵ Center for Biological Diversity, *About the Center*, <http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/about/board/index.html> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁵⁶ Center for Biological Diversity, *Contact*, <http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/about/contact/index.html> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁵⁷ Center for Biological Diversity, Earth Island Journal, Autumn 2011, <http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/news/center/articles/2011/earth-island-journal-autumn-2011.html> (last visited July 28, 2014).

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF)

Type: 501(c)(3)⁴⁵⁸

Total Assets: \$208,751,208⁴⁵⁹

Board of Trustees: Carl Ferenbach, Chair; Arthur Kern, Vice Chair; Art Cooley, Secretary; G. Leonard Baker, Jr.; Abby Leigh; Michael Bills; Sarah Liao Sau-tung, Ph.D.; Sally G. Bingham, M.Div.; Katherine Lorenz; Shelby W. Bonnie; William K. Bowes, Jr.; Frank Loy; Dr. Jane Lubchenco; Keith Campbell; Leslie Dach; Susan Mandel; Kathryn Murdoch; Signe Ostby; Ann Doerr; Stephen W. Pacala, Ph.D.; Susan Ford Dorsey; Stephen W. Pacala, Ph.D.; Stanley Druckenmiller; Robert M. Perkowitz; Kirsten J. Feldman; Lynn R. Goldman, M.D., M.P.H.; Julian H. Robertson, Jr.; Peggy M. Shepard; Charles J. Hamilton, Jr.; Griffith R. Harsh, IV, M.D., M.B.A; Douglas W. Shorenstein; Edward Stern; Mark W. Heising; Sam Rawlings Walton; Kristine Johnson; Charles F. Wurster, Ph.D.; The Honorable Thomas H. Kean; Richard J. Lazarus; Roland C. Clement (Honorary); Gene E. Likens, Ph.D. (Honorary); George G. Montgomery, Jr.(Honorary); N. J. Nicholas, Jr.(Honorary); George M. Woodwell, Ph.D. (Honorary)⁴⁶⁰

Executive Leadership: Fred Krupp, President; Lisa Henshaw, COO; Paula Hayes, Senior VP Global Strategic Initiatives; Eric Pooley, Senior VP Strategy and Communications; Linda Nelson, Senior VP Development; Cynthia Hallenbeck, Chief Financial Officer, Brian Attas, CIO; Felipa Bernard, VP Human Resources; Cynthia Hampton, VP Marketing & Communications; Carol Kinzler, Chief of Staff⁴⁶¹

Membership Dues: A donation is required when you sign up to be a member (suggested amount \$25). It is tax-deductible.

Party Affiliation: Nonpartisan. “Working for smart, nonpartisan policy. We have always worked to be a trusted force for environmental progress, on both sides of the aisle”⁴⁶²

Environmental Defense Action Fund

Type: 501(c)(4)⁴⁶³

Total Assets: \$208,751,208⁴⁶⁴

Board of Directors: Frank Loy, Co-chair; William R. Goodell, Co-chair; Brian Conboy; Thomas F. Darden, II; Richard H. Davis; Kirsten J. Feldman; Carl Ferenbach; Charles J. Hamilton, Jr.; Cuddy Johnson; Derek Kan; The Honorable Thomas H. Kean; John C. Kerr; Abby Leigh; Susan Mandel; Adele

⁴⁵⁸ Environmental Defense Fund, *Financial Statements*, <https://www.edf.org/sites/default/files/EDF-Final-Financial-Statements-2012.pdf> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁵⁹ Environmental Defense Fund, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁶⁰ Environmental Defense Fund, *Board of Trustees*, <http://www.edf.org/people/board-of-trustees> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁶¹ Environmental Defense Fund, *Executive Team*, <http://www.edf.org/people/executive-team> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁶² Environmental Defense Fund, *Working for smart nonpartisan policy*, <http://www.edf.org/approach/policy> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁶³ Env'tl Defense Fund, Consolidated and Consolidating Financial Statements Sept. 30, 2012 and 2011, <https://www.edf.org/sites/default/files/EDF-Final-Financial-Statements-2012.pdf> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁶⁴ Environmental Defense Action Fund, IRS Form 990 (2012).

Simmons; Nina Szlosberg-Landis; Sam Rawlings Walton; Jeffrey P. Williams; Paul Junger Witt; Joanne Witty⁴⁶⁵

Executive Leadership: Elizabeth B. Thompson, President; Fred Krupp, Executive Director; Joe Bonfiglio, Deputy Director; Cynthia Hallenbeck, Treasurer⁴⁶⁶

Greenpeace Fund

Type: 501(c)(3)⁴⁶⁷

Total Assets: \$15,313,140⁴⁶⁸

Board of Directors: Tom Newark, Chair; Jeffrey Hollender, Director; Elizabeth Gilchrist, Director; Alnoor Ladha, Director; Adelaide Gomer, Director; Ellen McPeake, Director; John Passacantando, Director⁴⁶⁹

Executive Leadership: Kumi Naidoo, Greenpeace International Executive Director; Annie Leonard, Greenpeace USA Executive Director; Britt Cocanour, Director of Public Outreach; Nicky Davies, Campaigns Director⁴⁷⁰

Membership Dues: No set dues; membership by monthly or single donations; no revenue from membership dues listed on 990

Party Affiliation: “Greenpeace is a force for hope and you can help us shine that light much brighter. We are independent and non-partisan.”⁴⁷¹

Greenpeace Inc.

Type: 501(c)(4)⁴⁷²

Total Assets: \$5,121,059⁴⁷³

Board of Directors: Karen Topakian, Chair; Guillermo Quinteros, Director; Jee Kim, Director; Bryony Schwan, Director; Larry Kopald, Director; Tracy Sturdivant, Director; Betsy Taylor, Director⁴⁷⁴

Executive Leadership: Kumi Naidoo, Greenpeace International Executive Director; Annie Leonard, Greenpeace USA Executive Director; Britt Cocanour, Director of Public Outreach; Nicky Davies, Campaigns Director⁴⁷⁵

Membership Dues: No set dues; membership by monthly or single donations; no revenue from membership dues listed on 990⁴⁷⁶

Party Affiliation: “Greenpeace, Inc. is the leading independent campaigning organization...”⁴⁷⁷

⁴⁶⁵ Env't's Defense Fund, *About Us – Our Leadership*, <http://www.edfaction.org/about-us> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁶⁶ *Id.*

⁴⁶⁷ Greenpeace Fund, IRS Form 990, 2013.

⁴⁶⁸ Greenpeace Fund, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁶⁹ Greenpeace, *Governance*, <http://www.greenpeace.org/usa/en/about/governance/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁷⁰ Greenpeace, *Our Staff*, <http://www.greenpeace.org/usa/en/about/our-staff/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁷¹ Greenpeace, *Welcome to Greenpeace Fund*, <http://www.greenpeacefund.org/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁷² Greenpeace, *Contribute to Greenpeace*,

https://secure3.convio.net/gpeace/site/SPageServer?pagename=current_donate_form_scripts&id=reus_donateNewDesignTest&s_src=footer (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁷³ Greenpeace, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁷⁴ Greenpeace, *About Us – Governance*, <http://www.greenpeace.org/usa/en/about/governance> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁷⁵ Greenpeace, *About Us – Our Leadership*, <http://www.greenpeace.org/usa/en/about/our-staff/>

⁴⁷⁶ *Id.*

⁴⁷⁷ *Id.*

League of Conservation Voters Education Fund

Type: 501 (c)(3)⁴⁷⁸

Total Assets: \$7,545,946⁴⁷⁹

Board of Directors: Carol Browner, Chair; Laura Turner Seydel, Vice Chair; Sarah Rose, Secretary; Tom Kiernan, Treasurer; Ruth Hennig; Ian Inaba; Bill Roberts; Tom Steinbach; Anne Summers; Lisa Wozniak⁴⁸⁰

Executive Leadership: Gene Karpinski, President; Patrick Collins, Senior Vice President, Finance & Administration; Stacey Folsom, Senior Vice President, Development; Tiernan Sittenfeld, Senior Vice President, Government Affairs; Rich Thomas, General Counsel & Senior Vice President; David Willett, Vice President, Communications; Vinnie Wishrad, Senior Vice President, Membership and Online Engagement; Ed Zuckerman, Senior Vice President, State Capacity Building⁴⁸¹

Party Affiliation: “An independent, non-partisan 501(c)(3) organization, LCV Education Fund was founded in 1985 to provide research and training on environmental issues.”⁴⁸²

League of Conservation Voters

Type: 501(c)(4)⁴⁸³

Total Assets: \$8,074,139⁴⁸⁴

Board of Directors: Carol Browner, Chair; Sherwood Boehlert, Vice Chair; Marcia Bystryn, Secretary; Tom Kiernan, Treasurer; Theodore Roosevelt IV (Honorary Chair); John H. Adams; Paul Austin; Brent Blackwelder (Honorary); Brendon Cechovic; Carrie Clark; Manny Diaz; Gseorge T. Frampton, Jr.; Wade Greene (Honorary); Rampa R. Hormel; John Hunting (Honorary); Winsome McIntosh (Honorary); Mark Magaña; Peter Mandelstam; Pete Maysmith; William H. Meadows III; Reuben Munger; Bill Roberts; Larry Rockefeller; Laura Turner Seydel; Trip Van Noppen; Kathleen Welch⁴⁸⁵

Executive Leadership: Gene Karpinski, President; Patrick Collins, Senior Vice President, Finance & Administration; Stacey Folsom, Senior Vice President, Development; Tiernan Sittenfeld, Senior Vice President, Government Affairs; Rich Thomas, General Counsel & Senior Vice President; David Willett, Vice President, Communications; Vinnie Wishrad, Senior Vice

⁴⁷⁸ League of Conservation Voters Education Fund, *About*, <http://www.lcvef.org/about/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁷⁹ League of Conservation Voters Education Fund, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁸⁰ League of Conservation Voters Education Fund, *Board of Directors*, <http://www.lcvef.org/about/board-of-directors/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁸¹ League of Conservation Voters Education Fund, *Staff*, <http://www.lcvef.org/about/staff/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁸² *Id.*

⁴⁸³ League of Conservation Voters, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁴⁸⁴ League of Conservation Voters, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁸⁵ League of Conservation Voters, *About – Board of Directors*, <http://www.lcv.org/about/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

President, Membership and Online Engagement; Ed Zuckerman, Senior Vice President, State Capacity Building⁴⁸⁶

Membership Dues: A donation is required when you sign up (suggest amount \$35). It is not tax-deductible.⁴⁸⁷

Party Affiliation: There is no mention of any partisan affiliation or nonpartisan affiliation.⁴⁸⁸

Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)

Type: 501(c)(3)⁴⁸⁹

Total Assets: \$268,165,564⁴⁹⁰

Board of Trustees: Daniel R. Tishman, Chair; Frederick A.O. Schwarz, Jr., Chair Emeritus; Patricia Bauman, Vice Chair; Alan F. Horn, Vice Chair; Wendy K. Neu, Vice Chair; Mary Moran, Treasurer; John H. Adams; Adam Albright; Richard E. Ayres; Claire Bernard; Anna Scott Carter; Sarah E. Cogan; Laurie David; Leonardo DiCaprio; John E. Echohawk; Michel Gelobter, Ph.D.; Kate Greswold; Arjun Gupta; Philip B. Korsant; Nicole E. Lederer; Michael Lynton; Shelly B. Malkin; Josephine A. Merck; Kelly Chapman Meyer; Peter A. Morton; Frederica P. Perera, Ph.D.; Robert Redford; Laurance Rockefeller; Jonathan F. P. Rose; Tom Roush, M.D.; William H. Schlesinger; Wendy Schmidt; Max Stone; James Taylor; Gerald Torres; David C. Vladeck; David F. Welch, Ph.D.; Eric Wepsic; George M. Woodwell, Ph.D.⁴⁹¹

Executive Leadership: Francis Beinecke, President; Peter Lehner, Exec Director; Lisa Benenson, Chief Communications Officer; Mitchell Bernar, Director of Litigation; Sarah Gillman, CFO; Ashok Gupta, Director of Programs; Francesca Koe, Director of Campaigns; Henry L. Henderson, Director, Chicago, Midwest Program; Annie Notthoff, Director, California Advocacy, San Francisco and Sacramento, Government Affairs Program⁴⁹²

Membership Dues: Requires a donation amount (suggested \$25) when you sign up. It is tax-deductible. However, when you join the Action Fund there is no fee.⁴⁹³

Party Affiliation: There is no mention of any partisan affiliation or nonpartisan affiliation.⁴⁹⁴

⁴⁸⁶ League of Conservation Voters, *About – Staff*, <http://www.lcv.org/about/staff> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁸⁷ League of Conservation Voters, *Donate to LCV – Join or Renew Today!*,

https://secure3.convio.net/lcv/site/Donation2;jsessionid=9E767EE64A6F499FD945AF6F8431B76D.app325b?df_id=9620&9620.donation=form1 (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁸⁸ *Id.*

⁴⁸⁹ Natural Resources Defense Council, 2013 Financial Statement,

http://www.nrdc.org/about/NRDC_auditedfinancialstatements_FY2013.pdf

⁴⁹⁰ Natural Resources Defense Council, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁴⁹¹ Natural Resources Defense Council, *Board of Directors*, <http://www.nrdc.org/about/board.asp> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁹² Natural Resources Defense Council, *NRDC Leadership Experts*, <http://www.nrdc.org/about/staff/nrdc-leadership-experts> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁹³ Natural Resources Defense Council, donations page, <https://www.nrdc.org/joingive/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁹⁴ Natural Resources Defense Council, *About*, <http://www.nrdc.org/about/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

National Wildlife Federation (NWF)

Type: 501(c)(3)⁴⁹⁵

Total Assets: \$66,456,891⁴⁹⁶

Board of Directors: Deborah Spalding, Chair; Bruce Wallace, Chair Elect; Paul Beaudette, Eastern Vice Chair; Clark Bullard, Central Vice Chair; Kent Salazar, Western Vice Chair; Stephen K. Allinger, Past Chair; Brian Bashore, Director; Tahlia Bear, Director; Jenny Brock, Director; Alison Byers, Director; Ron Clausen, Director; Shelley Cohen, Director; Sharon Darnov, Director; Dianne Dillon-Ridgley, Director; Tom Dougherty, Director; John Grant, Jr., Director; David L. Hargett, Director; Bill Houston, Director; David Langhorst, Director; Jerry Little, Director; Ramon Lopez, Director; Brian Preston, Director; Julia Reed Zaic, Director; Norm Ritchie, Director; Truman Semans, Director; Leslie Shad, Director; Mary Van Kerrebroek, Director; Lise Van Susteren, Director; Nicole Wood, Director⁴⁹⁷

Executive Leadership: Collin O'Mara, President & Executive Officer; Jaime Matyas, Executive VP and COO; Deborah Spalding, Chair⁴⁹⁸

Membership Dues: Must make a donation, suggest amount is \$30. It is tax-deductible.⁴⁹⁹

Party Affiliation: There is no mention of any partisan affiliation or nonpartisan affiliation.⁵⁰⁰

National Wildlife Federation (NWF) Action Fund

Type: 501(c)(4)⁵⁰¹

Total Assets: \$604,386⁵⁰²

Executive Leadership/Board Members: Andy Buchsbaum served as interim Executive Director in 2013⁵⁰³. However, there is no information about who is the 2014 Executive Director.

Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) Action Fund

Type: 501 (c)(4)⁵⁰⁴

Total Assets: \$2,955,590⁵⁰⁵

Mission: "The NRDC Action Fund's mission is to achieve the passage of legislation that jump-

⁴⁹⁵ National Wildlife Federation, Frequently Asked Questions, <http://www.nwf.org/Who-We-Are/Contact-Us/Frequently-Asked-Questions.aspx> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁹⁶ National Wildlife Federation, IRS Form 900 (2012).

⁴⁹⁷ National Wildlife Federation, *Board of Directors*, <http://www.nwf.org/Who-We-Are/Our-Staff/Board-of-Directors.aspx> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁹⁸ National Wildlife Federation, *Our Staff*, <http://www.nwf.org/Who-We-Are/Our-Staff.aspx> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁴⁹⁹ National Wildlife Federation, donations page,

https://online.nwf.org/site/Donation2?df_id=32500&32500.donation=form1&s_subsrc=Web_Footer_Donate_NWF_JoinNWF (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁰⁰ *Id.*

⁵⁰¹ National Wildlife Federation, *Our Mission*,

https://online.nwf.org/site/SPageNavigator/ActionCenter/about/our_mission (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁰² National Wildlife Federation Action Fund IRS Form 990 (2011).

⁵⁰³ Miles Grant, *NWF Action Fund Welcomes Andy Buchsbaum as Interim Executive Director*, National Wildlife Federation Action Fund, <http://online.nwf.org/site/News2?page=NewsArticle&id=19289> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁰⁴ NRDC Action Fund, *About the NRDC Action Fund*, <http://www.nrdcactionfund.org/about> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁰⁵ NRDC Action Fund IRS Form 990 (2011).

starts the clean energy economy, reduces pollution, and sustains vibrant communities for all Americans. Now is the time for leadership and action from our elected officials — our current goal is a comprehensive clean energy policy that will repower our economy and fuel our future.”⁵⁰⁶

Board of Directors: Bob Epstein, Chair; Wendy Abrams; John Adams; Christopher Arndt; Richard Ayres; Anne Bartley; Patricia Bauman; Frances Beinecke; Lucy Blake; Dayna Bochco; Sherwood Boehlert; Hamilton (Hal) Candee; Ari Emanuel; Michael Finnegan; William (Bill) Haney; Barbarina Heyerdahl; Cindy Harrell Horn; Jo Ann Kaplan; Hamilton F. Kean; Vernice Miller-Travis; Mary Moran; Edward James Olmos; Patricia Sullivan; Daniel R. Tishman; Eric Wepsic; Ira Ziering⁵⁰⁷

Executive Leadership: Frances Beinecke, Action Fund President; Peter Lehner, Action Fund Executive Director; Wesley Warren, Director of Programs; David Goldston, Director of Government Affairs; Sarah Gillman, Treasurer; Heather Taylor-Miesle, Action Fund Director; Melissa Harrison, Communications Director; Steve VanLandingham, Development Director; Emily Bischof, Operations Coordinator; Chelsea Phipps, Program

Sierra Club Foundation

Type: 501(c)(3)⁵⁰⁸

Total Assets: \$98,974,748

Board of Directors: Larry Keeshan, Chair; Marni McKinney, Vice Chair; Steven Barkenfeld, Vice Chair; Tim Ryan, Treasurer; Sanjay Ranchod, Secretary; Molly O. Ross, Officer at Large; Geeta Aiyer; Peter Cartwright; Allison Chin; Susan Heitman; Lynn Jurich; Juan Martinez; Michael Ortiz; Mike Richter; Dan Shugar; Doug Walker; Marc Weiss; Shirley Weese Young⁵⁰⁹

Executive Leadership: Peter Martin, Executive Director; Ginny Quick, Chief Financial Officer; Henry Holmes, Grants and Compliance Director; Brian Kavanagh, Senior Accountant; Jennie Palmer, Manager of Administration and Board Relations; Jessica Hulce, Grants and Compliance Manager; Naomi Reed, Bookkeeper; Ting Lee, Administrative Assistant.⁵¹⁰

Party Affiliation: “The Sierra Club Foundation cannot engage in or support partisan political activity.”⁵¹¹

Sierra Club

Type: 501(c)(4)⁵¹²

⁵⁰⁶ *Id.*

⁵⁰⁷ NRDC Action Fund, *NRDC Action Fund Board of Directors*, <http://www.nrdcactionfund.org/nrdc-action-fund-board-of-directors/>

⁵⁰⁸ The Sierra Club Foundation, *FAQS*, <http://www.sierraclubfoundation.org/faqs> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁰⁹ The Sierra Club Foundation, *Board of Directors*, <http://www.sierraclubfoundation.org/about-tscf/board-directors> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹⁰ The Sierra Club Foundation, *Staff*, <http://www.sierraclubfoundation.org/about-tscf/staff> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹¹ The Sierra Club Foundation, *Building a Better Democracy through Environmental Activism*, <http://www.sierraclubfoundation.org/node/100> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹² Sierra Club, IRS Form 990 (2012).

Total Assets: \$79,578,841⁵¹³

Board of Directors: David A. Scott, President, 2015; Spencer Black, Vice President, 2015; Lane E. Boldman, Secretary, 2015; Loren Blackford, Treasurer, 2017; Susana Reyes, Fifth Officer, 2016; Donna Buell, 2016; Michael Dorsey, 2017; Jim Dougherty, 2016; Charles Frank, 2016; Jessica Helm, 2017; Aaron Mair, 2017; Robin Mann, 2016; Dean Wallraff, 2017; Liz Walsh, 2015; Chris Warshaw, 2015⁵¹⁴

Executive Leadership: Michael Brune, Executive Director⁵¹⁵

Membership Dues: When you become a member they require you to give a “gift” of a certain amount (\$15 is suggested but you can give lower than that). After that they charge you \$7.50 for the magazine and \$1.00 for the newsletter. It is noted on the website that this amount is included in a members dues. Not tax-deductible.⁵¹⁶

Party Affiliation: There is no mention of any partisan affiliation or nonpartisan affiliation.⁵¹⁷

Union of Concerned Scientists

Type: 501(c)(3)⁵¹⁸

Total Assets: \$8,195,448⁵¹⁹

Board Members: James J. McCarthy, Chair; Peter A. Bradford, Vice-Chair; James S. Hoyte, Treasurer; Thomas H. Stone, Secretary; James A. Fay, Board Member Emeritus; Kurt Gottfried, Board Chair Emeritus; Richard L. Garwin; Andrew Gunther; Geoffrey Heal; Anne R. Kapuscinski; Mario J. Molina; Stuart L. Pimm; Lou Salkind; Adele Simmons; Nancy Stephens; Elyn R. Weiss⁵²⁰

Executive Leadership: Kenneth Kimmell, President; Kathleen Rest, Executive Director; Peter Frumhoff, Director of Science & Policy; Alden Meyer, Director of Strategy & Policy; Suzanne Shaw, Director of Communications; Laurie Marden, Chief Development Officer; Cheryl Schaffer, Chief Administrative and Financial Officer⁵²¹

Membership Dues: New members must give a gift of \$5 or more (suggested \$25). It is tax-deductible.⁵²²

⁵¹³ Sierra Club IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁵¹⁴ Sierra Club, *Board of Directors*, <http://www.sierraclub.org/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹⁵ Sierra Club, *About*, <http://www.sierraclub.org/about> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹⁶ Sierra Club, *Join the Sierra Club*,

https://secure.sierraclub.org/site/Donation2?idb=0&df_id=18021&18021.donation=form1&autologin=true&s_src=N10ZSCZZ01&s_subsrc=JRG (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹⁷ *Id.*

⁵¹⁸ Union of Concerned Scientists, *Ways to Give*, http://www.ucsusa.org/about/ways_to_give/benefits-of-membership.html (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵¹⁹ Union of Concerned Scientists, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁵²⁰ Union of Concerned Scientists, *Board of Directors*, <http://www.ucsusa.org/about/staff/staff/board.html> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵²¹ Union of Concerned Scientists, *Leadership*, <http://www.ucsusa.org/about/staff/staff/ucs-leadership.html> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵²² Union of Concerned Scientists, *Become a Member*,

[https://secure3.convio.net/ucs/site/Donation2?df_id=1420&1420.donation=form1&s_src=tasknavJ&__utma=118858381.1975270860.1406300201.1406300523.1406312806.3&__utmb=118858381.1.10.1406312806&__utmc=118858381&__utmz=118858381.1406300523.2.2.utmcsr=google|utmccn=\(organic\)|utmcmd=organic|utmctr=\(not%20provided\)&__utmv=-&__utmk=50211046](https://secure3.convio.net/ucs/site/Donation2?df_id=1420&1420.donation=form1&s_src=tasknavJ&__utma=118858381.1975270860.1406300201.1406300523.1406312806.3&__utmb=118858381.1.10.1406312806&__utmc=118858381&__utmz=118858381.1406300523.2.2.utmcsr=google|utmccn=(organic)|utmcmd=organic|utmctr=(not%20provided)&__utmv=-&__utmk=50211046) (last visited July 28, 2014).

Party Affiliation: Says it is a “reliable source for independent scientific analysis.” It does not explicitly state that the nonprofit is nonpartisan.⁵²³

U.S. Public Interest Research Group (PIRG) Education Fund

Type: 501(c)(3)⁵²⁴

Total Assets: \$6,935,903⁵²⁵

Executive Leadership: Andre Delattre, Executive Director; Steve Blackledge, Deputy Director; Allison Cairo, Deputy Director; Ed Mierzwinski, Consumer Program Director; Michael Russo, Federal Program Director; Chris Lindstrom, Higher Education Program Director; Meghan Hess, Associate Federal Field Director; Naomi Roth, Field Director⁵²⁶

Party Affiliation: “United States Public Interest Research Group Education Fund, Inc. is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization exempt from taxation under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.”⁵²⁷

U.S. Public Interest Research Group, Inc. (PIRG)

Type: 501(c)(4)⁵²⁸

Total Assets: \$5,434,433⁵²⁹

Board Members: Douglas H. Phelps, President and Chairman⁵³⁰

Executive Leadership: Andre Delattre, Executive Director; Steve Blackledge, Deputy Director; Allison Cairo, Deputy Director⁵³¹

Party Affiliation: “The United States Public Interest Research Group, Inc. is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization.”⁵³²

WildEarth Guardians

Type: 501(c)(3)⁵³³

Total Assets: \$1,840,797⁵³⁴

⁵²³ *Id.*

⁵²⁴ U.S. PIRG Education Fund, *About U.S. PIRG Education Fund – Our Mission*, <http://uspirgedfund.org/page/usf/about-us-pirg-education-fund> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵²⁵ U.S. PIRG Education Fund IRS Form 990 (2011).

⁵²⁶ U.S. PIRG Education Fund, *Our Staff*, <http://uspirgedfund.org/staff?page=1> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵²⁷ U.S. PIRG, *Contribute to the U.S. PIRG Education Fund*, [https://secure2.roisolutions.net/enterprise/donatenow?client=FUND&page=2770&__utma=1.807164658.1406216801.1406216801.1406216801.1&__utmb=1.4.10.1406216801&__utmc=1&__utmx=-&__utmz=1.1406216801.1.1.utmcsr=\(direct\)|utmccn=\(direct\)|utmcmd=\(none\)&__utmv=-&__utmk=241001731](https://secure2.roisolutions.net/enterprise/donatenow?client=FUND&page=2770&__utma=1.807164658.1406216801.1406216801.1406216801.1&__utmb=1.4.10.1406216801&__utmc=1&__utmx=-&__utmz=1.1406216801.1.1.utmcsr=(direct)|utmccn=(direct)|utmcmd=(none)&__utmv=-&__utmk=241001731) (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵²⁸ U.S. Public Interest Research Group, IRS Form 990, 2012.

⁵²⁹ U.S. PIRG IRS Form 990 (2011).

⁵³⁰ U.S. PIRG, *U.S. PIRG Staff*, <http://www.uspirg.org/staff/xp/douglas-h-phelps> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³¹ U.S. PIRG, *Staff*, <http://www.uspirg.org/staff> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³² U.S. PIRG, *Become a Member of U.S. PIRG*, [https://secure2.roisolutions.net/enterprise/donatenow?client=FUND&page=2495&__utma=1.1061420184.1406212672.1406212672.1406212672.1&__utmb=1.100.10.1406212672&__utmc=1&__utmx=-&__utmz=1.1406212672.1.1.utmcsr=google|utmccn=\(organic\)|utmcmd=organic|utmctr=\(not%20provided\)&__utmv=-&__utmk=232076063](https://secure2.roisolutions.net/enterprise/donatenow?client=FUND&page=2495&__utma=1.1061420184.1406212672.1406212672.1406212672.1&__utmb=1.100.10.1406212672&__utmc=1&__utmx=-&__utmz=1.1406212672.1.1.utmcsr=google|utmccn=(organic)|utmcmd=organic|utmctr=(not%20provided)&__utmv=-&__utmk=232076063) (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³³ WildEarth Guardians, *Support Us – Donate and Join*, https://secure3.convio.net/wg/site/Donation2;jsessionid=2BEF3AA46DD5D6368F507266394FE674.app325b?df_id=1342&1342.donation=form1 (last visited July 28, 2014).

Board of Directors: Robin Smith, President; Peter Schoenburg, Vice President; Todd Ringler, Secretary; Shannon Larsen, Treasurer; Jess Alford, Director; Cathy Bailey, Director; Nat Cobb, Director; Marion Hourdequin, Director; Janet McHard, Director; Mimsi Milton, Director; Brett Paben, Director; Jon Spar, Director; Bill Syme, Director; David Will, Director⁵³⁵

Executive Leadership: John Horning, Executive Director; Carol Norton, Associate Director; Bryan Bird, Wild Places Program Director; Lori Colt, Communication Director; Bethany Cotton, Wildlife Program Director; Kevin Gaither-Banchoff, Development Director; Jim Matison, Restoration Director; Erik Molvar, Sagebrush Sea Campaign Director; Jeremy Nichols, Climate and Energy Program Director; Jen Pelz, Wild Rivers Program Director; Jodie Wheeler, Finance Director⁵³⁶

Membership Dues: \$35/individual, \$50/family⁵³⁷

Party Affiliation: No mention of any partisan or nonpartisan affiliation.⁵³⁸

350.org

Type: 501(c)(3)⁵³⁹

Total Assets: \$3,127,221⁵⁴⁰

Board Members: Bill McKibben, President, Co-founder, and Board Chair; Jay Halfon, Treasurer; K.C. Golden, Secretary; Melina Laboucan Massimo; Naomi Klein; Jessy Tolkan⁵⁴¹

Executive Leadership: May Boeve, Executive Director; Phil Aroneanu, U.S. Managing Director and NRDC Co-Founder; Samantha Bailey, Global Organizing Director; Will Bates, Global Campaigns Director and Co-Founder; Rikimah Glymph, Human Resources Director; Jamie Henn, Strategy and Communications Director and Co-Founder; Jason Kowalski, U.S. Policy Director; Matt Leonard, Director of Special Projects; Payal Parekh, Global Managing Director; Jon Warnow, Digital Director⁵⁴²

Membership Dues: No charge to join. Requests email, city, and country.⁵⁴³

Party Affiliation: Non-partisan⁵⁴⁴

350.org Action Fund

Type: 501(c)(4)⁵⁴⁵

Total Assets: \$331,289⁵⁴⁶

⁵³⁴ WildEarth Guardians, IRS Form 990 (2012).

⁵³⁵ WildEarth Guardians, *About Us – Board of Directors*,

http://www.wildearthguardians.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_board (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³⁶ WildEarthGuardians, *About Us – Staff*,

http://www.wildearthguardians.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about_staff (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³⁷ *Id.*

⁵³⁸ WildEarth Guardians, *About Us*, <http://www.wildearthguardians.org/site/PageServer?pagename=about> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵³⁹ 350.org, <http://350.org/> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁴⁰ 350.org IRS Form 990 (2011).

⁵⁴¹ 350.org, *About – Board of Directors*, <http://350.org/about/board> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁴² 350.org, *About – Staff*, <http://350.org/about/team> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁴³ *Id.*

⁵⁴⁴ 350.org, *Getting Political on 10/10/10*, <http://350.org/getting-political-101010> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁴⁵ 350 Action, *Donate to 350 Action*, <https://act.350.org/donate/action> (last visited July 28, 2014).

Board Members: Betsy Taylor, President; Jessy Tolkan, Secretary; Bill McKibben, Treasurer⁵⁴⁷
Executive Leadership: May Boeve, Executive Director and Co-Founder; Phil Aroneanu, U.S.
Campaign Director and Co-Founder; Jamie Henn, Communications
Director and Co-Founder; Jason Kowalski, Policy Director; Jeremy
Osborn, Operations Director and Co-Founder; Jon Warnow, Web
Director and Co-Founder⁵⁴⁸

⁵⁴⁶ 350.org Action Fund IRS Form 990 (2011).

⁵⁴⁷ 350 Action, *Board of Directors*, <http://350action.org/board-of-directors> (last visited July 28, 2014).

⁵⁴⁸ 350 Action, *Staff*, <http://350action.org/staff> (last visited July 28, 2014).