

**From:** [Kate Eddy](#)  
**To:** [DGR Inbox](#)  
**Subject:** Submission re: Tax DGRs reform opportunities discussion paper  
**Date:** Wednesday, 2 August 2017 10:14:57 PM

---

Dear Sir or Madam

In response to the discussion paper, I would like to submit that, as a donor to several charities whose stated purpose is advocacy, including environmental advocacy, that I strongly oppose the proposal that the ACNC require additional information from all charities about their advocacy activities as I am already able to access this information via annual reports and through the credibility given to charities by the registration compliance criteria for the ACNC. I also oppose the proposal to introduce annual DGR certification or a rolling review or a five year sunset rule, due to the additional unnecessary administrative burden and cost this would incur, and because as stated above, there are already substantial satisfactory processes in place.

I strongly oppose requiring DGR status environmental organisations to commit no less than 25 per cent of their annual expenditure from their public fund to environmental remediation, if the stated purpose of the organisation is advocacy, as this will impinge on the ability of the organisation to achieve its advocacy aims, for which I have chosen to fund it.

Yours faithfully  
Kate Eddy