## Dear Sir/Madam re this issue

...deductible gift recipients spend at least 25 per cent or even more of their gift income on environmental remediation.

I fully support the detailed submission by the Australian Rainforest Conservation society that you have already received on this matter

I have been a member of Australian Rainforest Conservation society for many years

This kind of simplistic rule that is not in keeping with the real world - You cannot pretend there are simple answers (like simple rules) for complex problems.

Please stop meddling.

You are just making more problems for persons working very hard to help the longterm health of the (very complex) planetary systems - your short sighted simplistic rule will have an impact long after the politicians have retired

ARCS is a case in point

In 2015-16, ARCS spent \$46,460 on rainforest restoration, but none of the funding for that work came from donations.

The tax-deductible donations covered ongoing operational expenses including electricity, rates, telephone and internet, insurance, etc. (no salaries involved).Without the admin they can't do the restoration work.

In summary, I do not support any mandatory requirement to allocate expenditure from the public fund to environmental remediation.



