

To whom it may concern.

While I have pasted below verbatim the CIO information regarding keeping the EDR schemes as is, to note I agree with them wholly.

Please see below.

Benefits of maintaining two EDR schemes

1. A consolidation of CIO and FOS would mean financial services providers (FSPs) who are dissatisfied with service levels or costs can't vote with their feet. This is a poor outcome, particularly because FSPs are legally required to join an EDR scheme and fully meet the scheme's operating costs.
2. CIO understands the non-bank sector well, and those who operate in it, from one-man finance brokers to large aggregators, to time-share operators to small amount lenders to finance companies and to building societies and credit unions.
3. CIO's membership base differs significantly from FOS'. Over 95% of CIO's members are sole traders and small businesses. They have different needs, expectations and resources than the large financial organisations that are members of FOS.
4. CIO's smaller members are generally not supportive of being in a single EDR scheme which is geared towards large institutional players, such as banks and insurers, who attract the vast majority of complaints and whose corporate structure and governance are nothing like theirs.
5. Having two EDR schemes allow each scheme to benchmark its performance against the other. This produces better outcomes for FSPs and consumers alike because the schemes are forced to adopt best practice and improve their service offering. This cannot be achieved under a single EDR scheme model.
6. Without this competitive tension, turnaround times, service levels, innovation and continuous improvement would suffer, and there would be less incentive to keep costs in check and run the scheme efficiently.
7. A single merged EDR scheme would be prone to be monopolistic in its behaviour – dictating terms, rather than being responsive to stakeholder concerns about performance.
8. A mega statutory scheme is not the answer because a large bureaucracy would have less specialisation, be substantially less flexible or capable of responding quickly to changes in the market. This will affect turnaround times, service levels and innovation.
9. The real issue is whether consumers are able to navigate the various EDR schemes in each of the telecommunications, financial services, energy and utilities sectors where the consumer has a single issue (eg. financial hardship) that involves more than one sector Ombudsman.
10. A consumer-facing common help desk jointly funded by EDR schemes - essentially an online and telephone access point – is a far better option than merging the EDR scheme

Thanks you for your efforts to address the needs of small to middle finance organisations.

Regards

Mike Cole

MEC Finance

loans, investment, property

m 0428 841826

mike.ed.cole@gmail.com

www.mecfinance.com.au

Your greatest compliment is to refer family and friends.



Michael Cole is a credit representative (Credit Representative No. 400191) of BLSSA Pty Ltd (Australian Credit Licence No. 391237)

This e-mail is for the use of the intended recipient(s) only. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and then delete it. If you are not the intended recipient, you must not use, disclose or distribute this e-mail without the author's prior permission. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks with regards to this message or any attachments. We cannot accept liability for any loss or damage caused by software viruses.