

Travel Claim disallowed comments

Hi,

This measure seems too broad, as it excludes legitimate expenses in order to encompass travel 'rorts' where it is obviously not economically viable to undertake travel for the sake of managing or maintaining a rental house (for example in a different state). In these cases the travel is likely done for other reasons, such as visiting relatives or friends or for a holiday.

We regularly drive 10k or so to fix a leaking tap or clear gutters in order to save hundreds of dollars in maintenance bills. We have records of telephone requests for the maintenance and receipts for the purchase of materials on the days of the travel. We do this in order to save money in our rental business.

It seems unfair that we should be penalised in order to halt the 'rorters'.

How about: "travel costs of up to \$20 (adjusted annually for inflation) per visit are permissible for the sake of inspecting and/or maintaining a rental property"?

Regards,

Russell Burton